



2014 ESC Guidelines on the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism

The Task Force for the Diagnosis and Management of Acute Pulmonary Embolism of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC)

Endorsed by the European Respiratory Society (ERS)

Authors/Task Force Members: Stavros Konstantinides* (Chairperson) (Germany/Greece), Adam Torbicki* (Co-chairperson) (Poland), Giancarlo Agnelli (Italy), Nicolas Danchin (France), David Fitzmaurice (UK), Nazzareno Galiè (Italy), J. Simon R. Gibbs (UK), Menno Huisman (The Netherlands), Marc Humbert† (France), Nils Kucher (Switzerland), Irene Lang (Austria), Mareike Lankeit (Germany), John Lekakis (Greece), Christoph Maack (Germany), Eckhard Mayer (Germany), Nicolas Meneveau (France), Arnaud Perrier (Switzerland), Piotr Pruszczyk (Poland), Lars H. Rasmussen (Denmark), Thomas H. Schindler (USA), Pavel Svtil (Czech Republic), Anton Vonk Noordegraaf (The Netherlands), Jose Luis Zamorano (Spain), Maurizio Zompatori (Italy)

ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG): Jose Luis Zamorano (Chairperson) (Spain), Stephan Achenbach (Germany), Helmut Baumgartner (Germany), Jeroen J. Bax (Netherlands), Hector Bueno (Spain), Veronica Dean (France), Christi Deaton (UK), Çetin Erol (Turkey), Robert Fagard (Belgium), Roberto Ferrari (Italy), David Hasdai (Israel), Arno Hoes (Netherlands), Paulus Kirchhof (Germany/UK), Juhani Knuuti (Finland), Philippe Kolh (Belgium), Patrizio Lancellotti (Belgium), Ales Linhart (Czech Republic), Petros Nihoyannopoulos (UK), Massimo F. Piepoli

* Corresponding authors. Stavros Konstantinides, Centre for Thrombosis and Hemostasis, Johannes Gutenberg University of Mainz, University Medical Centre Mainz, Langenbeckstrasse 1, 55131 Mainz, Germany. Tel: +49 613 1176255, Fax: +49 613 1173456. Email: stavros.konstantinides@unimedizin-mainz.de, and Department of Cardiology, Democritus University of Thrace, Greece. Email: skonst@med.duth.gr.

Adam Torbicki, Department of Pulmonary Circulation and Thromboembolic Diseases, Medical Centre of Postgraduate Education, ECZ-Otwock, Ul. Borowa 14/18, 05-400 Otwock, Poland. Tel: +48 22 7103052, Fax: +48 22 710315. Email: adam.torbicki@ecz-otwock.pl.

† Representing the European Respiratory Society

Other ESC entities having participated in the development of this document:

ESC Associations: Acute Cardiovascular Care Association (ACCA), European Association for Cardiovascular Prevention & Rehabilitation (EACPR), European Association of Cardiovascular Imaging (EACVI), Heart Failure Association (HFA), ESC Councils: Council on Cardiovascular Nursing and Allied Professions (CCNAP), Council for Cardiology Practice (CCP), Council on Cardiovascular Primary Care (CCPC)

ESC Working Groups: Cardiovascular Pharmacology and Drug Therapy, Nuclear Cardiology and Cardiac Computed Tomography, Peripheral Circulation, Pulmonary Circulation and Right Ventricular Function, Thrombosis.

Disclaimer: The ESC Guidelines represent the views of the ESC and were produced after careful consideration of the scientific and medical knowledge and the evidence available at the time of their publication.

The ESC is not responsible in the event of any contradiction, discrepancy and/or ambiguity between the ESC Guidelines and any other official recommendations or guidelines issued by the relevant public health authorities, in particular in relation to good use of healthcare or therapeutic strategies. Health professionals are encouraged to take the ESC Guidelines fully into account when exercising their clinical judgment, as well as in the determination and the implementation of preventive, diagnostic or therapeutic medical strategies; however, the ESC Guidelines do not override, in any way whatsoever, the individual responsibility of health professionals to make appropriate and accurate decisions in consideration of each patient's health condition and in consultation with that patient and, where appropriate and/or necessary, the patient's caregiver. Nor do the ESC Guidelines exempt health professionals from taking into full and careful consideration the relevant official updated recommendations or guidelines issued by the competent public health authorities, in order to manage each patient's case in light of the scientifically accepted data pursuant to their respective ethical and professional obligations. It is also the health professional's responsibility to verify the applicable rules and regulations relating to drugs and medical devices at the time of prescription.

National Cardiac Societies document reviewers: listed in the Appendix.

© The European Society of Cardiology 2014. All rights reserved. For permissions please email: journals.permissions@oup.com.

(Italy), Piotr Ponikowski (Poland), Per Anton Sirnes (Norway), Juan Luis Tamargo (Spain), Michal Tendera (Poland), Adam Torbicki (Poland), William Wijns (Belgium), Stephan Windecker (Switzerland).

Document Reviewers: Çetin Erol (CPG Review Coordinator) (Turkey), David Jimenez (Review Coordinator) (Spain), Walter Ageno (Italy), Stefan Agewall (Norway), Riccardo Asteggiano (Italy), Rupert Bauersachs (Germany), Cecilia Becattini (Italy), Henri Bounameaux (Switzerland), Harry R. Büller (Netherlands), Constantinos H. Davos (Greece), Christi Deaton (UK), Geert-Jan Geersing (Netherlands), Miguel Angel Gómez Sanchez (Spain), Jeroen Hendriks (Netherlands), Arno Hoes (Netherlands), Mustafa Kilickap (Turkey), Viacheslav Mareev (Russia), Manuel Monreal (Spain), Joao Morais (Portugal), Petros Nihoyannopoulos (UK), Bogdan A. Popescu (Romania), Olivier Sanchez[†] (France), Alex C. Spyropoulos (USA).

The disclosure forms provided by the experts involved in the development of these guidelines are available on the ESC website www.escardio.org/guidelines.

Keywords

Guidelines • Pulmonary embolism • Venous thrombosis • Shock • Hypotension • Chest pain • Dyspnoea
• Heart failure • Diagnosis • Treatment—Anticoagulation • Thrombolysis

Table of Contents

Abbreviations and acronyms	3	5.2.1 Parenteral anticoagulation	20
1. Preamble	3	5.2.2 Vitamin K antagonists	21
2. Introduction	4	5.2.3 New oral anticoagulants	22
2.1 Epidemiology	5	5.3 Thrombolytic treatment	23
2.2 Predisposing factors	5	5.4 Surgical embolectomy	24
2.3 Natural history	6	5.5 Percutaneous catheter-directed treatment	24
2.4 Pathophysiology	6	5.6 Venous filters	24
2.5 Clinical classification of pulmonary embolism severity	7	5.7 Early discharge and home treatment	25
3. Diagnosis	7	5.8 Therapeutic strategies	26
3.1 Clinical presentation	7	5.8.1 Pulmonary embolism with shock or hypotension (high-risk pulmonary embolism)	26
3.2 Assessment of clinical probability	8	5.8.2 Pulmonary embolism without shock or hypotension (intermediate- or low-risk pulmonary embolism)	26
3.3 D-dimer testing	8	5.9 Areas of uncertainty	27
3.4 Computed tomographic pulmonary angiography	10	6. Duration of anticoagulation	29
3.5 Lung scintigraphy	11	6.1 New oral anticoagulants for extended treatment	30
3.6 Pulmonary angiography	11	7. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension	31
3.7 Magnetic resonance angiography	11	7.1 Epidemiology	31
3.8 Echocardiography	11	7.2 Pathophysiology	31
3.9 Compression venous ultrasonography	12	7.3 Clinical presentation and diagnosis	31
3.10. Diagnostic strategies	12	7.4 Treatment and prognosis	32
3.10.1 Suspected pulmonary embolism with shock or hypotension	12	8. Specific problems	34
3.10.2 Suspected pulmonary embolism without shock or hypotension	13	8.1 Pregnancy	34
3.11. Areas of uncertainty	14	8.1.1 Diagnosis of pulmonary embolism in pregnancy	34
4. Prognostic assessment	15	8.1.2 Treatment of pulmonary embolism in pregnancy	34
4.1 Clinical parameters	15	8.2 Pulmonary embolism and cancer	35
4.2 Imaging of the right ventricle by echocardiography or computed tomographic angiography	16	8.2.1 Diagnosis of pulmonary embolism in patients with cancer	35
4.3 Laboratory tests and biomarkers	17	8.2.2 Prognosis for pulmonary embolism in patients with cancer	35
4.3.1 Markers of right ventricular dysfunction	17	8.2.3 Management of pulmonary embolism in patients with cancer	35
4.3.2 Markers of myocardial injury	17	8.2.4 Occult cancer presenting as unprovoked pulmonary embolism	36
4.3.3 Other (non-cardiac) laboratory biomarkers	18	8.3 Non-thrombotic pulmonary embolism	36
4.4 Combined modalities and scores	19	8.3.1 Septic embolism	36
4.5 Prognostic assessment strategy	19	8.3.2 Foreign-material pulmonary embolism	36
5. Treatment in the acute phase	20		
5.1 Haemodynamic and respiratory support	20		
5.2 Anticoagulation	20		

8.3.3 Fat embolism	36
8.3.4 Air embolism	37
8.3.5 Amniotic fluid embolism	37
8.3.6 Tumour embolism	37
9. Appendix	37
References	37

Abbreviations and acronyms

ACS	acute coronary syndrome
AMPLIFY	Apixaban for the Initial Management of Pulmonary Embolism and Deep-Vein Thrombosis as First-line Therapy
aPTT	activated partial thromboplastin time
b.i.d.	bis in diem (twice daily)
b.p.m.	beats per minute
BNP	brain natriuretic peptide
BP	blood pressure
CI	confidence interval
CO	cardiac output
COPD	chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
CPG	Committee for Practice Guidelines
CRNM	clinically relevant non-major
CT	computed tomographic/tomogram
CTEPH	chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension
CUS	compression venous ultrasonography
DSA	digital subtraction angiography
DVT	deep vein thrombosis
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
ESC	European Society of Cardiology
H-FABP	heart-type fatty acid-binding protein
HIT	heparin-induced thrombocytopenia
HR	hazard ratio
ICOPEP	International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registry
ICRP	International Commission on Radiological Protection
INR	international normalized ratio
iPAH	idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension
IVC	inferior vena cava
LMWH	low molecular weight heparin
LV	left ventricle/left ventricular
MDCT	multi-detector computed tomographic (angiography)
MRA	magnetic resonance angiography
NGAL	neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin
NOAC(s)	Non-vitamin K-dependent new oral anticoagulant(s)
NT-proBNP	N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide
o.d.	omni die (every day)
OR	odds ratio
PAH	pulmonary arterial hypertension
PE	pulmonary embolism
PEA	pulmonary endarterectomy
PEITHO	Pulmonary Embolism Thrombolysis trial
PESI	pulmonary embolism severity index
PH	pulmonary hypertension

PIOPED	Prospective Investigation On Pulmonary Embolism Diagnosis
PVR	pulmonary vascular resistance
RIETE	Registro Informatizado de la Enfermedad Tromboembolica venosa
RR	relative risk
rtPA	recombinant tissue plasminogen activator
RV	right ventricle/ventricular
SPECT	single photon emission computed tomography
sPESI	simplified pulmonary embolism severity index
TAPSE	tricuspid annulus plane systolic excursion
Tc	technetium
TOE	transoesophageal echocardiography
TTR	time in therapeutic range
TV	tricuspid valve
UFH	unfractionated heparin
V/Q scan	ventilation–perfusion scintigraphy
VKA	vitamin K antagonist(s)
VTE	venous thromboembolism

1. Preamble

Guidelines summarize and evaluate all available evidence at the time of the writing process, on a particular issue with the aim of assisting health professionals in selecting the best management strategies for an individual patient, with a given condition, taking into account the impact on outcome, as well as the risk-benefit-ratio of particular diagnostic or therapeutic means. Guidelines and recommendations should help the health professionals to make decisions in their daily practice. However, the final decisions concerning an individual patient must be made by the responsible health professional(s) in consultation with the patient and caregiver as appropriate.

A great number of Guidelines have been issued in recent years by the European Society of Cardiology (ESC) as well as by other societies and organisations. Because of the impact on clinical practice, quality criteria for the development of guidelines have been established in order to make all decisions transparent to the user. The recommendations for formulating and issuing ESC Guidelines can be found on the ESC Web Site (<http://www.escardio.org/guidelines-surveys/esc-guidelines/about/Pages/rules-writing.aspx>). ESC Guidelines represent the official position of the ESC on a given topic and are regularly updated.

Members of this Task Force were selected by the ESC to represent professionals involved with the medical care of patients with this pathology. Selected experts in the field undertook a comprehensive review of the published evidence for management (including diagnosis, treatment, prevention and rehabilitation) of a given condition according to ESC Committee for Practice Guidelines (CPG) policy. A critical evaluation of diagnostic and therapeutic procedures was performed including assessment of the risk-benefit-ratio. Estimates of expected health outcomes for larger populations were included, where data exist. The level of evidence and the strength of recommendation of particular management options were weighed and graded according to predefined scales, as outlined in *Tables 1 and 2*.

The experts of the writing and reviewing panels filled in declarations of interest forms which might be perceived as real or potential

Table 1 Classes of recommendations

Classes of recommendations	Definition	Suggested wording to use
Class I	Evidence and/or general agreement that a given treatment or procedure is beneficial, useful, effective.	Is recommended/is indicated
Class II	Conflicting evidence and/or a divergence of opinion about the usefulness/efficacy of the given treatment or procedure.	
Class IIa	Weight of evidence/opinion is in favour of usefulness/efficacy.	Should be considered
Class IIb	Usefulness/efficacy is less well established by evidence/opinion.	May be considered
Class III	Evidence or general agreement that the given treatment or procedure is not useful/effective, and in some cases may be harmful.	Is not recommended

sources of conflicts of interest. These forms were compiled into one file and can be found on the ESC Web Site (<http://www.escardio.org/guidelines>). Any changes in declarations of interest that arise during the writing period must be notified to the ESC and updated. The Task Force received its entire financial support from the ESC without any involvement from healthcare industry.

The ESC CPG supervises and coordinates the preparation of new Guidelines produced by Task Forces, expert groups or consensus panels. The Committee is also responsible for the endorsement process of these Guidelines. The ESC Guidelines undergo extensive review by the CPG and external experts. After appropriate revisions it is approved by all the experts involved in the Task Force. The finalized document is approved by the CPG for publication in the European Heart Journal. It was developed after careful consideration of the scientific and medical knowledge and the evidence available at the time of their dating.

The task of developing ESC Guidelines covers not only the integration of the most recent research, but also the creation of educational tools and implementation programmes for the recommendations. To implement the guidelines, condensed pocket guidelines versions, summary slides, booklets with essential messages, summary cards for non-specialists, electronic version for digital applications (smart-phones etc) are produced. These versions are abridged and, thus, if needed, one should always refer to the full text version which is freely available on the ESC Website. The National Societies of the ESC are encouraged to endorse, translate and implement the ESC Guidelines. Implementation programmes are needed because it has been shown that the outcome of disease may be favourably influenced by the thorough application of clinical recommendations.

Surveys and registries are needed to verify that real-life daily practice is in keeping with what is recommended in the guidelines, thus completing the loop between clinical research, writing of guidelines, disseminating them and implementing them into clinical practice.

Health professionals are encouraged to take the ESC Guidelines fully into account when exercising their clinical judgment as well as

Table 2 Levels of evidence

Level of evidence A	Data derived from multiple randomized clinical trials or meta-analyses.
Level of evidence B	Data derived from a single randomized clinical trial or large non-randomized studies.
Level of evidence C	Consensus of opinion of the experts and/or small studies, retrospective studies, registries.

in the determination and the implementation of preventive, diagnostic or therapeutic medical strategies. However, the ESC Guidelines do not override in any way whatsoever the individual responsibility of health professionals to make appropriate and accurate decisions in consideration of each patient's health condition and in consultation with that patient and the patient's caregiver where appropriate and/or necessary. It is also the health professional's responsibility to verify the rules and regulations applicable to drugs and devices at the time of prescription.

2. Introduction

This document follows the two previous ESC Guidelines focussing on clinical management of pulmonary embolism, published in 2000 and 2008. Many recommendations have retained or reinforced their validity; however, new data has extended or modified our knowledge in respect of optimal diagnosis, assessment and treatment of patients with PE. The most clinically relevant new aspects of this 2014 version as compared with its previous version published in 2008 relate to:

- (1) Recently identified predisposing factors for venous thromboembolism
- (2) Simplification of clinical prediction rules
- (3) Age-adjusted D-dimer cut-offs
- (4) Sub-segmental pulmonary embolism
- (5) Incidental, clinically unsuspected pulmonary embolism
- (6) Advanced risk stratification of intermediate-risk pulmonary embolism
- (7) Initiation of treatment with vitamin K antagonists
- (8) Treatment and secondary prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism with the new direct oral anticoagulants
- (9) Efficacy and safety of reperfusion treatment for patients at intermediate risk
- (10) Early discharge and home (outpatient) treatment of pulmonary embolism
- (11) Current diagnosis and treatment of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension
- (12) Formal recommendations for the management of pulmonary embolism in pregnancy and of pulmonary embolism in patients with cancer.

These new aspects have been integrated into previous knowledge to suggest optimal and—whenever possible—objectively validated management strategies for patients with suspected or confirmed pulmonary embolism.

In order to limit the length of the printed text, additional information, tables, figures and references are available as web addenda at the ESC website (www.escardio.org).

2.1 Epidemiology

Venous thromboembolism (VTE) encompasses deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE). It is the third most frequent cardiovascular disease with an overall annual incidence of 100–200 per 100 000 inhabitants.^{1,2} VTE may be lethal in the acute phase or lead to chronic disease and disability,^{3–6} but it is also often preventable.

Acute PE is the most serious clinical presentation of VTE. Since PE is, in most cases, the consequence of DVT, most of the existing data on its epidemiology, risk factors, and natural history are derived from studies that have examined VTE as a whole.

The epidemiology of PE is difficult to determine because it may remain asymptomatic, or its diagnosis may be an incidental finding;² in some cases, the first presentation of PE may be sudden death.^{7,8} Overall, PE is a major cause of mortality, morbidity, and hospitalization in Europe. As estimated on the basis of an epidemiological model, over 317 000 deaths were related to VTE in six countries of the European Union (with a total population of 454.4 million) in 2004.² Of these cases, 34% presented with sudden fatal PE and 59% were deaths resulting from PE that remained undiagnosed during life; only 7% of the patients who died early were correctly diagnosed with PE before death. Since patients older than 40 years are at increased risk compared with younger patients and the risk approximately doubles with each subsequent decade, an ever-larger number of patients are expected to be diagnosed with (and perhaps die of) PE in the future.⁹

In children, studies reported an annual incidence of VTE between 53 and 57 per 100 000 among hospitalized patients,^{10,11} and between 1.4 and 4.9 per 100 000 in the community at large.^{12,13}

2.2 Predisposing factors

A list of predisposing (risk) factors for VTE is shown in *Web Addenda Table I*. There is an extensive collection of predisposing environmental and genetic factors. VTE is considered to be a consequence of the interaction between patient-related—usually permanent—risk factors and setting-related—usually temporary—risk factors. VTE is considered to be 'provoked' in the presence of a temporary or reversible risk factor (such as surgery, trauma, immobilization, pregnancy, oral contraceptive use or hormone replacement therapy) within the last 6 weeks to 3 months before diagnosis,¹⁴ and 'unprovoked' in the absence thereof. PE may also occur in the absence of any known risk factor. The presence of persistent—as opposed to major, temporary—risk factors may affect the decision on the duration of anticoagulation therapy after a first episode of PE.

Major trauma, surgery, lower limb fractures and joint replacements, and spinal cord injury, are strong provoking factors for VTE.^{9,15} Cancer is a well-recognized predisposing factor for VTE. The risk of VTE varies with different types of cancer;^{16,17} haematological malignancies, lung cancer, gastrointestinal cancer, pancreatic cancer and brain cancer carry the highest risk.^{18,19} Moreover, cancer is a strong risk factor for all-cause mortality following an episode of VTE.²⁰

In fertile women, oral contraception is the most frequent predisposing factor for VTE.^{21,22} When occurring during pregnancy, VTE is a major cause of maternal mortality.²³ The risk is highest in the third trimester of pregnancy and over the 6 weeks of the postpartum period, being up to 60 times higher 3 months after delivery, compared with the risk in non-pregnant women.²³ *In vitro* fertilization further increases the risk of pregnancy-associated VTE. In a cross-sectional study derived from a Swedish registry, the overall risk of PE (compared with the risk of age-matched women whose first child was born without *in vitro* fertilization) was particularly increased during the first trimester of pregnancy [hazard ratio (HR) 6.97; 95% confidence interval (CI) 2.21–21.96]. The absolute number of women who suffered PE was low in both groups (3 vs. 0.4 cases per 10 000 pregnancies during the first trimester, and 8.1 vs. 6.0 per 10 000 pregnancies overall).²⁴ In post-menopausal women who receive hormone replacement therapy, the risk of VTE varies widely depending on the formulation used.²⁵

Infection has been found to be a common trigger for hospitalization for VTE.^{15,26,27} Blood transfusion and erythropoiesis-stimulating agents are also associated with an increased risk of VTE.^{15,28}

In children, PE is usually associated with DVT and is rarely unprovoked. Serious chronic medical conditions and central venous lines are considered to be likely triggers of PE.²⁹

VTE may be viewed as part of the cardiovascular disease continuum and common risk factors—such as cigarette smoking, obesity, hypercholesterolaemia, hypertension and diabetes mellitus^{30–33}—are shared with arterial disease, notably atherosclerosis.^{34–37} However, at least in part, this may be an indirect association, mediated by the effects of coronary artery disease and,

in the case of smoking, cancer.^{38,39} Myocardial infarction and heart failure increase the risk of PE,^{40,41} conversely, patients with VTE have an increased risk of subsequent myocardial infarction and stroke.⁴²

2.3 Natural history

The first studies on the natural history of VTE were carried out in the setting of orthopaedic surgery during the 1960s.⁴³ Evidence collected since this initial report has shown that DVT develops less frequently in non-orthopaedic surgery. The risk of VTE is highest during the first two post-operative weeks but remains elevated for two to three months. Antithrombotic prophylaxis significantly reduces the risk of perioperative VTE. The incidence of VTE is reduced with increasing duration of thromboprophylaxis after major orthopaedic surgery and (to a lesser extent) cancer surgery: this association has not been shown for general surgery.^{44,45} The majority of patients with symptomatic DVT have proximal clots, complicated by PE in 40–50% of cases, often without clinical manifestations.^{44,45}

Registries and hospital discharge datasets of unselected patients with PE or VTE yielded 30-day all-cause mortality rates between 9% and 11%, and three-month mortality ranging between 8.6% and 17%.^{46–48} Following the acute PE episode, resolution of pulmonary thrombi, as evidenced by lung perfusion defects, is frequently incomplete. In one study, lung perfusion scintigraphy demonstrated abnormalities in 35% of patients a year after acute PE, although the degree of pulmonary vascular obstruction was <15% in 90% of the cases.⁴⁹ Two relatively recent cohort studies covering 173 and 254 patients yielded incidences approaching 30%.^{50,51} The incidence of confirmed chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH) after unprovoked PE is currently estimated at approximately 1.5% (with a wide range reported by mostly small-cohort studies), with most cases appearing within 24 months of the index event.^{52,53}

The risk of recurrence of VTE has been reviewed in detail.^{54–56} Based on historical data, the cumulative proportion of patients with *early* recurrence of VTE (on anticoagulant treatment) amounts to 2.0% at 2 weeks, 6.4% at 3 months and 8% at 6 months; more recent, randomized anticoagulation trials (discussed in the section on acute phase treatment) indicate that recurrence rates may have dropped considerably recently. The rate of recurrence is highest during the first two weeks and declines thereafter. During the early period, active cancer and failure to rapidly achieve therapeutic levels of anticoagulation appear to independently predict an increased risk of recurrence.^{56,57}

The cumulative proportion of patients with *late* recurrence of VTE (after six months, and in most cases after discontinuation of anticoagulation) has been reported to reach 13% at 1 year, 23% at 5 years, and 30% at 10 years.⁵⁶ Overall, the frequency of recurrence does not appear to depend on the clinical presentation (DVT or PE) of the first event, but recurrent VTE is likely to occur in the same clinical form as the index episode (i.e. if VTE recurs after PE, it will most likely be PE again). Recurrence is more frequent after multiple VTE episodes as opposed to a single event, and after unprovoked VTE as opposed to the presence of temporary risk factors, particularly surgery.⁵⁸ It is also more frequent in women who continue hormone intake after a VTE episode, and in patients who have

suffered PE or proximal vein thrombosis compared to distal (calf) vein thrombosis. On the other hand, factors for which an independent association with late recurrence have not been definitely established include age, male sex,^{59,60} a family history of VTE, and an increased body mass index.^{54,56} Elevated D-dimer levels, either during or after discontinuation of anticoagulation, indicate an increased risk of recurrence,^{61–63} on the other hand, single thrombophilic defects have a low predictive value and anticoagulation management based on thrombophilia testing has not been found to reduce VTE recurrence.^{64,65}

2.4 Pathophysiology

Acute PE interferes with both the circulation and gas exchange. Right ventricular (RV) failure due to pressure overload is considered the primary cause of death in severe PE.

Pulmonary artery pressure increases only if more than 30–50% of the total cross-sectional area of the pulmonary arterial bed is occluded by thromboemboli.⁶⁶ PE-induced vasoconstriction, mediated by the release of thromboxane A₂ and serotonin, contributes to the initial increase in pulmonary vascular resistance after PE,⁶⁷ an effect that can be reversed by vasodilators.^{68,69} Anatomical obstruction and vasoconstriction lead to an increase in pulmonary vascular resistance and a proportional decrease in arterial compliance.⁷⁰

The abrupt increase in pulmonary vascular resistance results in RV dilation, which alters the contractile properties of the RV myocardium via the Frank-Starling mechanism. The increase in RV pressure and volume leads to an increase in wall tension and myocyte stretch. RV contraction time is prolonged, while neurohumoral activation leads to inotropic and chronotropic stimulation. Together with systemic vasoconstriction, these compensatory mechanisms increase pulmonary artery pressure, improving flow through the obstructed pulmonary vascular bed, and thus temporarily stabilize systemic blood pressure (BP).⁷¹ The extent of immediate adaptation is limited, since a non-preconditioned, thin-walled right ventricle (RV) is unable to generate a mean pulmonary artery pressure above 40 mm Hg.

The prolongation of RV contraction time into early diastole in the left ventricle leads to leftward bowing of the interventricular septum.⁷² The desynchronization of the ventricles may be exacerbated by the development of right bundle-branch block. As a result, left ventricular (LV) filling is impeded in early diastole, and this may lead to a reduction of the cardiac output and contribute to systemic hypotension and haemodynamic instability.⁷³

As described above, excessive neurohumoral activation in PE can be the result both of abnormal RV wall tension and of circulatory shock. The finding of massive infiltrates in the RV myocardium of patients who died within 48 hours of acute PE may be explained by high levels of epinephrine released as a result of the PE-induced 'myocarditis'.⁷⁴ This inflammatory response might explain the secondary haemodynamic destabilization which sometimes occurs 24–48 hours after acute PE, although early recurrence of PE may be an alternative explanation in some of these cases.⁷⁵

Finally, the association between elevated circulating levels of biomarkers of myocardial injury and an adverse early outcome indicates




Figure 1 Key factors contributing to haemodynamic collapse in acute pulmonary embolism

that RV ischaemia is of pathophysiological significance in the acute phase of PE.^{76–78} Although RV infarction is uncommon after PE, it is likely that the imbalance between oxygen supply and demand can result in damage to cardiomyocytes and further reduce contractile forces.

The detrimental effects of acute PE on the RV myocardium and the circulation are summarized in *Figure 1*.

Respiratory failure in PE is predominantly a consequence of haemodynamic disturbances.⁷⁹ Low cardiac output results in desaturation of the mixed venous blood. In addition, zones of reduced flow in obstructed vessels, combined with zones of overflow in the capillary bed served by non-obstructed vessels, result in ventilation–perfusion mismatch, which contributes to hypoxaemia. In about one-third of patients, right-to-left shunting through a patent *foramen ovale* can be detected by echocardiography: this is caused by an inverted pressure gradient between the right atrium and left atrium and may lead to severe hypoxaemia and an increased risk of paradoxical embolization and stroke.⁸⁰ Finally, even if they do not affect haemodynamics, small distal emboli may create areas of alveolar haemorrhage resulting in haemoptysis, pleuritis, and pleural effusion, which is usually mild. This clinical presentation is known as ‘pulmonary infarction’. Its effect on gas exchange is normally mild, except in patients with pre-existing cardiorespiratory disease.

2.5 Clinical classification of pulmonary embolism severity

The clinical classification of the severity of an episode of acute PE is based on the estimated PE-related early mortality risk defined by in-hospital or 30-day mortality (*Figure 2*). This stratification, which has important implications both for the diagnostic and therapeutic strategies proposed in these guidelines, is based on the patient’s clinical status at presentation, with *high-risk* PE being suspected or confirmed in the presence of shock or persistent arterial hypotension and *not high-risk* PE in their absence.




Figure 2 Initial risk stratification of acute PE.

3. Diagnosis

Throughout these Guidelines and for the purpose of clinical management, ‘confirmed PE’ is defined as a probability of PE high enough to indicate the need for PE-specific treatment, and ‘excluded PE’ as a probability of PE low enough to justify withholding PE-specific treatment with an acceptably low risk.

3.1 Clinical presentation

PE may escape prompt diagnosis since the clinical signs and symptoms are non-specific (*Table 3*). When the clinical presentation raises the suspicion of PE in an individual patient, it should prompt further objective testing. In most patients, PE is suspected on the basis of dyspnoea, chest pain, pre-syncope or syncope, and/or haemoptysis.^{81–83} Arterial hypotension and shock are rare but important clinical presentations, since they indicate central PE and/or a severely reduced haemodynamic reserve. Syncope is infrequent, but may occur regardless of the presence of haemodynamic instability.⁸⁴ Finally, PE may be completely asymptomatic and be discovered incidentally during diagnostic work-up for another disease or at autopsy.

Chest pain is a frequent symptom of PE and is usually caused by pleural irritation due to distal emboli causing pulmonary infarction.⁸⁵ In central PE, chest pain may have a typical angina character, possibly reflecting RV ischaemia and requiring differential diagnosis with acute coronary syndrome (ACS) or aortic dissection. Dyspnoea may be acute and severe in central PE; in small peripheral PE, it is often mild and may be transient. In patients with pre-existing heart failure or pulmonary disease, worsening dyspnoea may be the only symptom indicative of PE.

Table 3 Clinical characteristics of patients with suspected PE in the emergency department (adapted from Pollack et al. (2011)).⁸²

Feature	PE confirmed (n = 1880)	PE not confirmed (n = 528)
Dyspnoea	50%	51%
Pleuritic chest pain	39%	28%
Cough	23%	23%
Substernal chest pain	15%	17%
Fever	10%	10%
Haemoptysis	8%	4%
Syncope	6%	6%
Unilateral leg pain	6%	5%
Signs of DVT (unilateral extremity swelling)	24%	18%

DVT = deep vein thrombosis.

Knowledge of the predisposing factors for VTE is important in determining the likelihood of PE, which increases with the number of predisposing factors present; however, in as many as 30% of the patients with PE, no provoking factors can be detected.⁸⁶ In blood gas analysis, hypoxaemia is considered a typical finding in acute PE, but up to 40% of the patients have normal arterial oxygen saturation and 20% a normal alveolar-arterial oxygen gradient.^{87,88} Hypocapnia is also often present. The chest X-ray is frequently abnormal and, although its findings are usually non-specific in PE, it is useful for excluding other causes of dyspnoea or chest pain.⁸⁹ Electrocardiographic changes indicative of RV strain, such as inversion of T waves in leads V1–V4, a QR pattern in V1, S1Q3T3 pattern, and incomplete or complete right bundle-branch block, may be helpful. These electrocardiographic changes are usually found in more severe cases of PE;⁹⁰ in milder cases, the only anomaly may be sinus tachycardia, present in 40% of patients. Finally, atrial arrhythmias, most frequently atrial fibrillation, may be associated with acute PE.

3.2 Assessment of clinical probability

Despite the limited sensitivity and specificity of individual symptoms, signs, and common tests, the combination of findings evaluated by clinical judgement or by the use of prediction rules allows to classify patients with suspected PE into distinct categories of clinical or pre-test probability that correspond to an increasing actual prevalence of confirmed PE. As the post-test (e.g. after computed tomography) probability of PE depends not only on the characteristics of the diagnostic test itself but also on pre-test probability, this has become a key step in all diagnostic algorithms for PE.

The value of clinical judgement has been confirmed in several large series,^{91–93} including the Prospective Investigation On Pulmonary Embolism Diagnosis (PIOPED).⁹⁴ Note that clinical judgement usually includes commonplace tests such as chest X-ray and electrocardiogram for differential diagnosis. However, clinical judgement lacks standardization; therefore, several explicit clinical prediction rules have been developed. Of these, the most frequently used

prediction rule is the one offered by Wells et al. (Table 4).⁹⁵ This rule has been validated extensively using both a three-category scheme (low, moderate, or high clinical probability of PE) and a two-category scheme (PE likely or unlikely).^{96–100} It is simple and based on information that is easy to obtain; on the other hand, the weight of one subjective item ('alternative diagnosis less likely than PE') may reduce the inter-observer reproducibility of the Wells rule.^{101–103} The revised Geneva rule is also simple and standardized (Table 4).⁹³ Both have been adequately validated.^{104–106}

More recently, both the Wells and the revised Geneva rule were simplified in an attempt to increase their adoption into clinical practice (Table 4),^{107,108} and the simplified versions were externally validated.^{105,109} Whichever is used, the proportion of patients with confirmed PE can be expected to be around 10% in the low-probability category, 30% in the moderate-probability category, and 65% in the high-clinical probability category when using the three-level classification.¹⁰⁴ When the two-level classification is used, the proportion of patients with confirmed PE in the PE-unlikely category is around 12%.¹⁰⁴

3.3 D-dimer testing

D-dimer levels are elevated in plasma in the presence of acute thrombosis, because of simultaneous activation of coagulation and fibrinolysis. The negative predictive value of D-dimer testing is high and a normal D-dimer level renders acute PE or DVT unlikely. On the other hand, fibrin is also produced in a wide variety of conditions such as cancer, inflammation, bleeding, trauma, surgery and necrosis. Accordingly, the positive predictive value of elevated D-dimer levels is low and D-dimer testing is not useful for confirmation of PE.

A number of D-dimer assays are available.^{110,111} The quantitative enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or ELISA-derived assays have a diagnostic sensitivity of 95% or better and can therefore be used to exclude PE in patients with either a low or a moderate pre-test probability. In the emergency department, a negative ELISA D-dimer, in combination with clinical probability, can exclude the disease without further testing in approximately 30% of patients with suspected PE.^{100,112,113} Outcome studies have shown that the three-month thromboembolic risk was <1% in patients left untreated on the basis of a negative test result (Table 5);^{99,112–116} these findings were confirmed by a meta-analysis.¹¹⁷

Quantitative latex-derived assays and a whole-blood agglutination assay have a diagnostic sensitivity <95% and are thus often referred to as moderately sensitive. In outcome studies, those assays proved safe in ruling out PE in PE-unlikely patients as well as in patients with a low clinical probability.^{99,100,105} Their safety in ruling out PE has not been established in the intermediate clinical probability category. Point-of-care tests have moderate sensitivity, and data from outcome studies in PE are lacking, with the exception of a recent primary care-based study using the Simplify D-dimer assay,¹¹⁸ in which the three-month thromboembolic risk was 1.5% in PE-unlikely patients with a negative D-dimer.

The specificity of D-dimer in suspected PE decreases steadily with age, to almost 10% in patients >80 years.¹¹⁹ Recent evidence suggests using age-adjusted cut-offs to improve the performance of D-dimer testing in the elderly.^{120,121} In a recent meta-analysis, age-adjusted cut-off values (age × 10 µg/L above 50 years) allowed increasing specificity from 34–46% while retaining a sensitivity

Table 4 Clinical prediction rules for PE

Items	Clinical decision rule points	
	Original version ⁹⁵	Simplified version ¹⁰⁷
Wells rule		
Previous PE or DVT	1.5	1
Heart rate ≥ 100 b.p.m.	1.5	1
Surgery or immobilization within the past four weeks	1.5	1
Haemoptysis	1	1
Active cancer	1	1
Clinical signs of DVT	3	1
Alternative diagnosis less likely than PE	3	1
Clinical probability		
Three-level score		
Low	0–1	N/A
Intermediate	2–6	N/A
High	≥ 7	N/A
Two-level score		
PE unlikely	0–4	0–1
PE likely	≥ 5	≥ 2
Revised Geneva score	Original version⁹³	Simplified version¹⁰⁸
Previous PE or DVT	3	1
Heart rate 75–94 b.p.m. ≥ 95 b.p.m.	3 5	1 2
Surgery or fracture within the past month	2	1
Haemoptysis	2	1
Active cancer	2	1
Unilateral lower limb pain	3	1
Pain on lower limb deep venous palpation and unilateral oedema	4	1
Age >65 years	1	1
Clinical probability		
Three-level score		
Low	0–3	0–1
Intermediate	4–10	2–4
High	≥ 11	≥ 5
Two-level score		
PE unlikely	0–5	0–2
PE likely	≥ 6	≥ 3

b.p.m. = beats per minute; DVT = deep vein thrombosis; PE = pulmonary embolism.

above 97%.¹²² A multicentre, prospective management study evaluated this age-adjusted cut-off in a cohort of 3346 patients. Patients with a normal age-adjusted D-dimer value did not undergo computed tomographic pulmonary angiography and were left untreated and formally followed up for a three-month period. Among the 766 patients who were 75 years or older, 673 had a non-high clinical probability. On the basis of D-dimer, using the age-adjusted cut-off

(instead of the 'standard' 500 $\mu\text{g/L}$ cut-off) increased the number of patients in whom PE could be excluded from 43 (6.4%; 95% CI 4.8–8.5%) to 200 (29.7%; 95% CI 26.4–33.3%), without any additional false-negative findings.¹²³ D-dimer is also more frequently elevated in patients with cancer,^{124,125} in hospitalized patients,^{105,126} and during pregnancy.^{127,128} Thus, the number of patients in whom D-dimer must be measured to exclude one PE (number needed to

Table 5 Diagnostic yield of various D-dimer assays in excluding acute PE according to outcome studies

Study	D-dimer assay	Patients <i>n</i>	PE prevalence %	PE excluded by D-dimer and clinical probability ^a <i>n</i> (%)	Three-month thromboembolic risk % (95% CI)
Carrier, 2009 (meta-analysis) ¹¹⁷	Vidas Exclusion	5622	22	2246 (40)	0.1 (0.0–0.4)
Kearon, 2006; Wells, 2001 ^{97,100}	SimpliRed	2056	12	797 (39)	0.0 (0.0–0.5)
Leclercq, 2003; ten Wolde, 2004; van Belle, 2006 ^{99,129,130}	Tinaquant	3508	21	1123 (32)	0.4 (0.0–1.0)

CI = confidence interval; PE = pulmonary embolism.

^aLow or intermediate clinical probability, or PE unlikely, depending on the studies.

test) varies between 3 in the emergency department and ≥ 10 in the specific situations listed above. The negative predictive value of a (negative) D-dimer test remains high in these situations.

3.4 Computed tomographic pulmonary angiography

Since the introduction of multi-detector computed tomographic (MDCT) angiography with high spatial and temporal resolution and quality of arterial opacification, computed tomographic (CT) angiography has become the method of choice for imaging the pulmonary vasculature in patients with suspected PE. It allows adequate visualization of the pulmonary arteries down to at least the segmental level.^{131–133} The PLOPED II trial observed a sensitivity of 83% and a specificity of 96% for (mainly four-detector) MDCT.¹³⁴ PLOPED II also highlighted the influence of clinical probability on the predictive value of MDCT. In patients with a low or intermediate clinical probability of PE as assessed by the Wells rule, a negative CT had a high negative predictive value for PE (96% and 89%, respectively), whereas this was only 60% in those with a high pre-test probability. Conversely, the positive predictive value of a positive CT was high (92–96%) in patients with an intermediate or high clinical probability but much lower (58%) in patients with a low pre-test likelihood of PE. Therefore, clinicians should be particularly cautious in case of discordance between clinical judgement and the MDCT result.

Four studies provided evidence in favour of computed tomography as a stand-alone imaging test for excluding PE. In a prospective management study covering 756 consecutive patients referred to the emergency department with a clinical suspicion of PE, all patients with either a high clinical probability or a non-high clinical probability and a positive ELISA D-dimer test underwent both lower limb ultrasonography and MDCT.¹¹³ The proportion of patients in whom—despite a negative MDCT—a proximal DVT was found on ultrasound was only 0.9% (95% CI 0.3–2.7).¹¹³ In another study,⁹⁹ all patients classified as PE-likely by the dichotomized Wells rule, or those with a positive D-dimer test, underwent a chest MDCT. The three-month thromboembolic risk in the patients left untreated because of a negative CT was low (1.1%; 95% CI 0.6–1.9).⁹⁹ Two randomized, controlled trials reached similar conclusions. In a Canadian trial comparing V/Q scan and CT (mostly MDCT), only seven of the 531 patients

(1.3%) with a negative CT had a DVT, and one had a thromboembolic event during follow-up.¹³⁵ Hence, the three-month thromboembolic risk would have been 1.5% (95% CI 0.8–2.9) if only CT had been used.¹³⁵ A European study compared two diagnostic strategies based on D-dimer and MDCT, one with- and the other without lower limb compression venous ultrasonography (CUS).¹¹⁶ In the D-dimer–CT arm, the three-month thromboembolic risk was 0.3% (95% CI 0.1–1.2) among the 627 patients left untreated, based on a negative D-dimer or MDCT.

Taken together, these data suggest that a negative MDCT is an adequate criterion for excluding PE in patients with a non-high clinical probability of PE. Whether patients with a negative CT and a high clinical probability should be further investigated is controversial. MDCT showing PE at the segmental or more proximal level is adequate proof of PE in patients with a non-low clinical probability; however, the positive predictive value of MDCT is lower in patients with a low clinical probability of PE, and further testing may be considered, especially if the clots are limited to segmental or sub-segmental arteries.

The clinical significance of isolated sub-segmental PE on CT angiography is questionable. This finding was present in 4.7% (2.5–7.6%) of patients with PE imaged by single-detector CT angiography and 9.4% (5.5–14.2%) of those submitted to MDCT.¹³⁶ The positive predictive value is low and inter-observer agreement is poor at this distal level.¹³⁷ There may be a role for CUS in this situation, to ensure that the patient does not have DVT that would require treatment. In a patient with isolated sub-segmental PE and no proximal DVT, the decision on whether to treat should be made on an individual basis, taking into account the clinical probability and the bleeding risk.

Computed tomographic venography has been advocated as a simple way to diagnose DVT in patients with suspected PE, as it can be combined with chest CT angiography as a single procedure, using only one intravenous injection of contrast dye. In PLOPED II, combining CT venography with CT angiography increased sensitivity for PE from 83% to 90% and had a similar specificity (around 95%);^{134,138} however, the corresponding increase in negative predictive value was not clinically significant. CT venography adds a significant amount of irradiation, which may be a concern, especially in younger women.¹³⁹ As CT venography and CUS yielded similar results in patients with signs or symptoms of DVT in PLOPED II,¹³⁸ ultrasonography should be used instead of CT venography if indicated (see Section 3.10).

The incidental discovery of clinically unsuspected PE on CT is an increasingly frequent problem, arising in 1–2% of all thoracic CT examinations, most often in patients with cancer, but also among those with paroxysmal atrial fibrillation or heart failure and history of atrial fibrillation.^{140–143} There are no robust data to guide the decision on how to manage unsuspected PE with anticoagulants, but most experts agree that patients with cancer and those with clots at the lobar or more proximal level should be treated with anticoagulants.¹⁴⁴

3.5 Lung scintigraphy

Ventilation–perfusion scintigraphy (V/Q scan) is an established diagnostic test for suspected PE. It is safe and few allergic reactions have been described. The test is based on the intravenous injection of technetium (Tc)-99m-labelled macroaggregated albumin particles, which block a small fraction of the pulmonary capillaries and thereby enable scintigraphic assessment of lung perfusion. Perfusion scans are combined with ventilation studies, for which multiple tracers such as xenon-133 gas, Tc-99m-labelled aerosols, or Tc-99m-labelled carbon microparticles (Technegas) can be used. The purpose of the ventilation scan is to increase specificity: in acute PE, ventilation is expected to be normal in hypoperfused segments (mismatch).^{145,146} According to the International Commission on Radiological Protection (ICRP), the radiation exposure from a lung scan with 100 MBq of Tc-99m macroaggregated albumin particles is 1.1 mSv for an average sized adult, and thus is significantly lower than that of CT angiography (2–6 mSv).^{147,148}

Being a radiation- and contrast medium-sparing procedure, the V/Q scan may preferentially be applied in outpatients with low clinical probability and a normal chest X-ray, in young (particularly female) patients, in pregnancy, in patients with history of contrast medium-induced anaphylaxis and strong allergic history, in severe renal failure, and in patients with myeloma and paraproteinaemia.¹⁴⁹

Lung scan results are frequently classified according to the criteria established in the PLOPED study: normal or near-normal, low, intermediate (non-diagnostic), and high probability of PE.⁹⁴ These criteria have been the subject of debate, following which they were revised.^{150,151} To facilitate communication with clinicians, a three-tier classification is preferable: normal scan (excluding PE), high-probability scan (considered diagnostic of PE in most patients), and non-diagnostic scan.^{135,152,153} Prospective clinical outcome studies suggested that it is safe to withhold anticoagulant therapy in patients with a normal perfusion scan. This was recently confirmed by a randomized trial comparing the V/Q scan with CT.¹³⁵ An analysis from the recent PLOPED II study confirmed the effectiveness of the high-probability V/Q scan for diagnosing PE and of the normal perfusion scan for ruling it out.¹⁵⁴ Performing only a perfusion scan is acceptable in patients with a normal chest X-ray; any perfusion defect in this situation will be considered to be a mismatch.¹⁵⁵ The high frequency of non-diagnostic intermediate probability scans has been a cause for criticism, because they indicate the necessity for further diagnostic testing. Various strategies to overcome this problem have been proposed, notably the incorporation of clinical probability.^{91,156,157}

Recent studies suggest that data acquisition in the tomographic mode in single photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) imaging, with or without low-dose CT may reduce the frequency of non-diagnostic scans.^{152,158–161} SPECT imaging may even allow the use of automated detection algorithms for PE.¹⁶² Large-scale prospective studies are needed to validate these new approaches.

3.6 Pulmonary angiography

Pulmonary angiography has for decades remained the 'gold standard' for the diagnosis or exclusion of PE, but is rarely performed now as less-invasive CT angiography offers similar diagnostic accuracy.¹⁶³ Pulmonary angiography is more often used to guide percutaneous catheter-directed treatment of acute PE. Digital subtraction angiography (DSA) requires less contrast medium than conventional cineangiography and has excellent imaging quality for peripheral pulmonary vessels in patients who can hold their breath; it is less useful for imaging of the main pulmonary arteries, due to cardiac motion artefacts.

The diagnosis of acute PE is based on direct evidence of a thrombus in two projections, either as a filling defect or as amputation of a pulmonary arterial branch.⁹⁴ Thrombi as small as 1–2 mm within the sub-segmental arteries can be visualized by DSA, but there is substantial inter-observer variability at this level.^{164,165} Indirect signs of PE, such as slow flow of contrast, regional hypoperfusion, and delayed or diminished pulmonary venous flow, are not validated and hence are not diagnostic. The Miller score may be used in quantifying the extent of luminal obstruction.¹⁶⁶

Pulmonary angiography is not free of risk. In a study of 1111 patients, procedure-related mortality was 0.5%, major non-fatal complications occurred in 1%, and minor complications in 5%.¹⁶⁷ The majority of deaths occurred in patients with haemodynamic compromise or respiratory failure. The risk of access-related bleeding complications is increased if thrombolysis is attempted in patients with PE diagnosed by pulmonary angiography.¹⁶⁸

Haemodynamic measurements should always be recorded during pulmonary angiography for estimation of the severity of PE and because they may suggest alternative cardiopulmonary disorders. In patients with haemodynamic compromise, the amount of contrast agent should be reduced and non-selective injections avoided.¹⁶⁹

3.7 Magnetic resonance angiography

Magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) has been evaluated for several years in suspected PE but large-scale studies were published only recently.^{170,171} Their results show that this technique, although promising, is not yet ready for clinical practice due to its low sensitivity, high proportion of inconclusive MRA scans, and low availability in most emergency settings. The hypothesis—that a negative MRA combined with the absence of proximal DVT on CUS may safely rule out clinically significant PE—is being tested in a multicentre outcome study (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT 02059551).

3.8 Echocardiography

Acute PE may lead to RV pressure overload and dysfunction, which can be detected by echocardiography. Given the peculiar geometry of the RV, there is no individual echocardiographic parameter that provides fast and reliable information on RV size or function. This is why echocardiographic criteria for the diagnosis of PE have differed between studies. Because of the reported negative predictive value of 40–50%, a negative result cannot exclude PE.^{157,172,173} On the other hand, signs of RV overload or dysfunction may also be found in the absence of acute PE and be due to concomitant cardiac or respiratory disease.¹⁷⁴

RV dilation is found in at least 25% of patients with PE, and its detection, either by echocardiography or CT, is useful for risk stratification of the disease. Echocardiographic findings—based either on a disturbed RV ejection pattern (so-called '60–60 sign') or on depressed

contractility of the RV free wall compared with the RV apex ('McConnell sign')—were reported to retain a high positive predictive value for PE, even in the presence of pre-existing cardiorespiratory disease.¹⁷⁵ Additional echocardiographic signs of pressure overload may be required to avoid a false diagnosis of acute PE in patients with RV free wall hypokinesia or akinesia due to RV infarction, which may mimic the McConnell sign.¹⁷⁶ Measurement of the tricuspid annulus plane systolic excursion (TAPSE) may also be useful.¹⁷⁷ New echocardiographic parameters of RV function, derived from Doppler tissue imaging and wall strain assessment, were reported to be affected by the presence of acute PE, but they are non-specific and may be normal in haemodynamically stable patients, despite the presence of PE.^{178–181}

Echocardiographic examination is not recommended as part of the diagnostic work-up in haemodynamically stable, normotensive patients with suspected (not high-risk) PE.¹⁵⁷ This is in contrast to suspected high-risk PE, in which the absence of echocardiographic signs of RV overload or dysfunction practically excludes PE as the cause of haemodynamic instability. In the latter case, echocardiography may be of further help in the differential diagnosis of the cause of shock, by detecting pericardial tamponade, acute valvular dysfunction, severe global or regional LV dysfunction, aortic dissection, or hypovolaemia. Conversely, in a haemodynamically compromised patient with suspected PE, unequivocal signs of RV pressure overload and dysfunction justify emergency reperfusion treatment for PE if immediate CT angiography is not feasible.¹⁸²

Mobile right heart thrombi are detected by transthoracic or transoesophageal echocardiography (or by CT angiography) in less than 4% of unselected patients with PE,^{183–185} but their prevalence may reach 18% in the intensive care setting.¹⁸⁵ Mobile right heart thrombi essentially confirm the diagnosis of PE and their presence is associated with RV dysfunction and high early mortality.^{184,186,187} Consequently, transoesophageal echocardiography may be considered when searching for emboli in the main pulmonary arteries in specific clinical situations,^{188,189} and it can be of diagnostic value in haemodynamically unstable patients due to the high prevalence of bilateral central pulmonary emboli in most of these cases.¹⁹⁰

In some patients with suspected acute PE, echocardiography may detect increased RV wall thickness and/or tricuspid insufficiency jet velocity beyond values compatible with acute RV pressure overload. In these cases, chronic pulmonary hypertension, and CTEPH in particular, should be included in the differential diagnosis.

3.9 Compression venous ultrasonography

In the majority of cases, PE originates from DVT in a lower limb. In a study using venography, DVT was found in 70% of patients with proven PE.¹⁹¹ Nowadays, lower limb CUS has largely replaced venography for diagnosing DVT. CUS has a sensitivity >90% and a specificity of approximately 95% for symptomatic DVT.^{192,193} CUS shows a DVT in 30–50% of patients with PE,^{116,192,193} and finding a proximal DVT in patients suspected of having PE is considered sufficient to warrant anticoagulant treatment without further testing.¹⁹⁴

In the setting of suspected PE, CUS can be limited to a simple four-point examination (groin and popliteal fossa). The only validated diagnostic criterion for DVT is incomplete compressibility of the vein, which indicates the presence of a clot, whereas flow measurements are unreliable. The diagnostic yield of CUS in suspected PE may be increased further by performing complete ultrasonography, which includes the distal veins. Two recent studies assessed the proportion

of patients with suspected PE and a positive D-dimer result, in whom a DVT could be detected by complete CUS.^{195,196} The diagnostic yield of complete CUS was almost twice that of proximal CUS, but a high proportion (26–36%) of patients with distal DVT had no PE on thoracic MDCT. In contrast, a positive proximal CUS result has a high positive predictive value for PE, as confirmed by data from a large prospective outcome study, in which 524 patients underwent both MDCT and CUS. The sensitivity of CUS for the presence of PE on MDCT was 39% and its specificity was 99%.¹⁹⁴ The probability of a positive proximal CUS in suspected PE is higher in patients with signs and symptoms related to the leg veins than in asymptomatic patients.^{192,193}

3.10 Diagnostic strategies

The prevalence of confirmed PE in patients undergoing diagnostic work-up because of suspicion of disease has been rather low (10–35%) in large series.^{99,100,113,116,197} Hence, the use of diagnostic algorithms is warranted, and various combinations of clinical assessment, plasma D-dimer measurement, and imaging tests have been proposed and validated. These strategies were tested in patients presenting with suspected PE in the emergency ward,^{99,113,114,116,197} during the hospital stay and more recently in the primary care setting.^{118,126} Failure to comply with evidence-based diagnostic strategies when withholding anticoagulation was associated with a significant increase in the number of VTE episodes and sudden cardiac death at three-month follow-up.¹⁹⁸ The most straightforward diagnostic algorithms for suspected PE—with and without shock or hypotension—are presented in *Figures 3 and 4*, respectively; however, it is recognized that the diagnostic approach to suspected PE may vary, depending on the availability of—and expertise in—specific tests in various hospitals and clinical settings. Accordingly, *Table 6* provides the necessary evidence for alternative evidence-based diagnostic algorithms.

The diagnostic strategy for suspected acute PE in pregnancy is discussed in Section 8.1.

3.10.1 Suspected pulmonary embolism with shock or hypotension

The proposed strategy is shown in *Figure 3*. Suspected high-risk PE is an immediately life-threatening situation, and patients presenting with shock or hypotension present a distinct clinical problem. The clinical probability is usually high, and the differential diagnosis includes acute valvular dysfunction, tamponade, acute coronary syndrome (ACS), and aortic dissection. The most useful initial test in this situation is bedside transthoracic echocardiography, which will yield evidence of acute pulmonary hypertension and RV dysfunction if acute PE is the cause of the patient's haemodynamic decompensation. In a highly unstable patient, echocardiographic evidence of RV dysfunction is sufficient to prompt immediate reperfusion without further testing. This decision may be strengthened by the (rare) visualization of right heart thrombi.^{184,199,200} Ancillary bedside imaging tests include transoesophageal echocardiography which, if available, may allow direct visualization of thrombi in the pulmonary artery and its main branches,^{188,190,201} and bedside CUS, which can detect proximal DVT. As soon as the patient can be stabilized by supportive treatment, final confirmation of the diagnosis by CT angiography should be sought.

For unstable patients admitted directly to the catheterization laboratory with suspected ACS, pulmonary angiography may be considered as a diagnostic procedure after the ACS has been excluded, provided that PE is a probable diagnostic alternative and particularly if percutaneous catheter-directed treatment is a therapeutic option.




Figure 3 Proposed diagnostic algorithm for patients with suspected high-risk PE, i.e. presenting with shock or hypotension.

3.10.2 Suspected pulmonary embolism without shock or hypotension

Strategy based on computed tomographic angiography (Figure 4)

Computed tomographic angiography has become the main thoracic imaging test for investigating suspected PE but, since most patients with suspected PE do not have the disease, CT should not be the first-line test.

In patients admitted to the emergency department, plasma D-dimer measurement, combined with clinical probability assessment, is the logical first step and allows PE to be ruled out in around 30% of patients, with a three-month thromboembolic risk in patients left untreated of <1%. D-dimer should not be measured in patients with a high clinical probability, owing to a low negative predictive value in this population.²⁰² It is also less useful in hospitalized patients because the number needed to test to obtain a clinically relevant negative result is high.

In most centres, MDCT angiography is the second-line test in patients with an elevated D-dimer level and the first-line test in patients with a high clinical probability. CT angiography is considered

to be diagnostic of PE when it shows a clot at least at the segmental level of the pulmonary arterial tree. False-negative results of MDCT have been reported in patients with a high clinical probability of PE;¹³⁴ however, this situation is infrequent, and the three-month thromboembolic risk was low in these cases.⁹⁹ Therefore, both the necessity of performing further tests and the nature of these tests in such patients remain controversial.

Value of lower limb compression ultrasonography

Under certain circumstances, CUS can still be useful in the diagnostic work-up of suspected PE. CUS shows a DVT in 30–50% of patients with PE,^{116,192,193} and finding proximal DVT in a patient suspected of PE is sufficient to warrant anticoagulant treatment without further testing.¹⁹⁴ Hence, performing CUS before CT may be an option in patients with relative contraindications for CT such as in renal failure, allergy to contrast dye, or pregnancy.^{195,196}

Value of ventilation–perfusion scintigraphy

In centres in which V/Q scintigraphy is readily available, it remains a valid option for patients with an elevated D-dimer and a




Figure 4 Proposed diagnostic algorithm for patients with suspected not high-risk pulmonary embolism.

contraindication to CT. Also, V/Q scintigraphy may be preferred over CT to avoid unnecessary radiation, particularly in younger and female patients in whom thoracic CT may raise the lifetime risk of breast cancer.¹³⁹ V/Q lung scintigraphy is diagnostic (with either normal or high-probability findings) in approximately 30–50% of emergency ward patients with suspected PE.^{83,94,135,203} The proportion of diagnostic V/Q scans is higher in patients with a normal chest X-ray, and this supports the recommendation to use V/Q scan as the first-line imaging test for PE in younger patients.²⁰⁴

The number of patients with inconclusive findings may also be reduced by taking into account clinical probability.⁹⁴ Thus, patients with a non-diagnostic lung scan and low clinical probability of PE have a low prevalence of confirmed PE.^{94,157,203} The negative predictive value of this combination is further increased by the absence of a DVT on lower-limb CUS. If a high-probability lung scan is obtained from a patient with low clinical probability of PE, confirmation by other tests may be considered on a case-by-case basis.

3.11 Areas of uncertainty

Despite considerable progress in the diagnosis of PE, several areas of uncertainty persist. The diagnostic value and clinical significance of sub-segmental defects on MDCT are still under debate.^{136,137} A recent retrospective analysis of two patient cohorts with suspected PE showed similar outcomes (in terms of three-month recurrence

and mortality rates) between patients with sub-segmental and more proximal PE; outcomes were largely determined by comorbidities.²⁰⁵ The definition of sub-segmental PE has yet to be standardized and a single sub-segmental defect probably does not have the same clinical relevance as multiple, sub-segmental thrombi.

There is also growing evidence suggesting over-diagnosis of PE.²⁰⁶ A randomized comparison showed that, although CT detected PE more frequently than V/Q scanning, three-month outcomes were similar, regardless of the diagnostic method used.¹³⁵ Data from the United States show an 80% rise in the apparent incidence of PE after the introduction of CT, without a significant impact on mortality.^{207,208}

Some experts believe that patients with incidental (unsuspected) PE on CT should be treated,¹⁴⁴ especially if they have cancer and a proximal clot, but solid evidence in support of this recommendation is lacking. The value and cost-effectiveness of CUS in suspected PE should be further clarified.

Finally, ‘triple rule-out’ (for coronary artery disease, PE and aortic dissection) CT angiography for patients presenting with non-traumatic chest pain appears to be accurate for the detection of coronary artery disease.²⁰⁹ However, the benefits vs. risks (including increased radiation and contrast exposure) of such a diagnostic approach need thorough evaluation, given the low (<1%) prevalence of PE and aortic dissection in the studies published thus far.

Recommendations for diagnosis

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref ^c
Suspected PE with shock or hypotension			
In suspected high-risk PE, as indicated by the presence of shock or hypotension, emergency CT angiography or bedside transthoracic echocardiography (depending on availability and clinical circumstances) is recommended for diagnostic purposes.	I	C	182
In patients with suspected high-risk PE and signs of RV dysfunction who are too unstable to undergo confirmatory CT angiography, bedside search for venous and/or pulmonary artery thrombi with CUS and/or TOE may be considered to further support the diagnosis of PE, if immediately available.	IIb	C	188, 189
Pulmonary angiography may be considered in unstable patients referred directly to the catheterization laboratory, in case coronary angiography has excluded an acute coronary syndrome and PE emerges as a probable diagnostic alternative.	IIb	C	
Suspected PE without shock or hypotension			
The use of validated criteria for diagnosing PE is recommended.	I	B	198
Clinical evaluation			
It is recommended that the diagnostic strategy be based on clinical probability assessed either by clinical judgement or a validated prediction rule.	I	A	92–94, 99, 100, 104–106
D-dimer			
Plasma D-dimer measurement is recommended in outpatients/emergency department patients with low or intermediate clinical probability, or PE-unlikely, to reduce the need for unnecessary imaging and irradiation, preferably using a highly sensitive assay.	I	A	99, 100, 112–116, 135
In low clinical probability or PE-unlikely patients, normal D-dimer level using either a highly or moderately sensitive assay excludes PE.	I	A	99, 100, 112–116
Further testing may be considered in intermediate probability patients with a negative moderately sensitive assay.	IIb	C	99, 100, 105
D-dimer measurement is not recommended in patients with high clinical probability, as a normal result does not safely exclude PE, even when using a highly sensitive assay.	III	B	110, 111

CT angiography^d			
Normal CT angiography safely excludes PE in patients with low or intermediate clinical probability or PE-unlikely.	I	A	99, 113, 116, 135
Normal CT angiography may safely exclude PE in patients with high clinical probability or PE-likely.	IIa	B	99
CT angiography showing a segmental or more proximal thrombus confirms PE.	I	B	134
Further testing to confirm PE may be considered in case of isolated sub-segmental clots.	IIb	C	134
V/Q scintigraphy			
Normal perfusion lung scintigram excludes PE.	I	A	83, 94, 114, 135
High probability V/Q scan confirms PE.	IIa	B	94
A non-diagnostic V/Q scan may exclude PE when combined with a negative proximal CUS in patients with low clinical probability or PE-unlikely.	IIa	B	83, 114, 135
Lower-limb CUS			
Lower-limb CUS in search of DVT may be considered in selected patients with suspected PE, to obviate the need for further imaging tests if the result is positive.	IIb	B	113, 114, 116
CUS showing a proximal DVT in a patient with clinical suspicion of PE confirms PE.	I	B	116, 194
If CUS shows only a distal DVT, further testing should be considered to confirm PE.	IIa	B	116
Pulmonary angiography			
Pulmonary angiography may be considered in cases of discrepancy between clinical evaluation and results of non-invasive imaging tests.	IIb	C	134
MRA			
MRA should not be used to rule out PE.	III	A	170, 171

CT = computed tomographic (pulmonary angiography); CUS = compression venous ultrasonography; DVT = deep vein thrombosis; MRA = magnetic resonance angiography; PE = pulmonary embolism; RV = right ventricular; TOE = transoesophageal echocardiography; V/Q = ventilation–perfusion.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cReferences.

^dRefers to multi-detector CT.

4. Prognostic assessment

4.1 Clinical parameters

Acute RV dysfunction is a critical determinant of outcome in acute PE. Accordingly, clinical symptoms and signs of acute RV failure such as persistent arterial hypotension and cardiogenic shock indicate a high risk of early death. Further, syncope and tachycardia—as well as routinely available clinical parameters related to pre-existing conditions and comorbidity—are associated with an unfavourable

Table 6 Validated diagnostic criteria (based on non-invasive tests) for diagnosing PE in patients without shock or hypotension according to clinical probability

Diagnostic criterion	Clinical probability of PE				
	Low	Intermediate	High	PE unlikely	PE likely
Exclusion of PE					
D-dimer					
Negative result, highly sensitive assay	+	+	-	+	-
Negative result, moderately sensitive assay	+	±	-	+	-
Chest CT angiography					
Normal multidetector CT alone	+	+	±	+	±
V/Q scan					
Normal perfusion lung scan	+	+	+	+	+
Non-diagnostic lung scan ^a and negative proximal CUS	+	±	-	+	-
Confirmation of PE					
Chest CT angiogram showing at least segmental PE	+	+	+	+	+
High probability V/Q scan	+	+	+	+	+
CUS showing proximal DVT	+	+	+	+	+

+ / green = valid diagnostic criterion (no further testing required); - / red = invalid criterion (further testing mandatory); ± / yellow = controversial criterion (further testing to be considered).

^aLow or intermediate probability lung scan according to the PIOPED classification.

CT = computed tomographic; CUS = proximal lower limb venous ultrasonography; DVT = deep vein thrombosis; PE = pulmonary embolism; PIOPED = Prospective Investigation of Pulmonary Embolism Diagnosis; V/Q scan = ventilation-perfusion scintigram.

short-term prognosis. For example, in the International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registry (ICOPER), age > 70 years, systolic BP < 90 mm Hg, respiratory rate > 20 breaths/min, cancer, chronic heart failure and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), were all identified as prognostic factors.⁴⁸ In the Registro Informatizado de la Enfermedad Tromboembolica venosa (RIETE) study, immobilization for neurological disease, age > 75 years, and cancer were independently associated with an increased risk of death within the first three months after acute VTE.⁴⁷ The diagnosis of concomitant DVT has also been reported to be an independent predictor of death within the first three months following diagnosis.²¹⁰

Various prediction rules based on clinical parameters have been shown to be helpful in the prognostic assessment of patients with acute PE. Of those, the pulmonary embolism severity index (PESI; Table 7) is the most extensively validated score to date.^{211–214} In one study,²¹⁵ the PESI performed better than the older Geneva prognostic score²¹⁶ for identification of patients with an adverse 30-day outcome. The principal strength of the PESI lies in the reliable identification of patients at low risk for 30-day mortality (PESI Class I and II). One randomized trial employed a low PESI as the inclusion criterion for home treatment of acute PE.²¹⁷

Owing to the complexity of the original PESI, which includes 11 differently weighted variables, a simplified version known as sPESI (Table 7) has been developed and validated.^{218,219} In patients with PE, the sPESI was reported to quantify their 30-day prognosis better than the shock index (defined as heart rate divided by systolic BP),²²⁰ and a simplified PESI of 0 was at least as accurate for identification of low-risk patients as the imaging parameters and laboratory

biomarkers proposed by the previous ESC Guidelines.²²¹ Combination of the sPESI with troponin testing provided additional prognostic information,²²² especially for identification of low-risk patients.⁷⁶

4.2 Imaging of the right ventricle by echocardiography or computed tomographic angiography

Echocardiographic findings indicating RV dysfunction have been reported in ≥ 25% of patients with PE.²²³ They have been identified as independent predictors of an adverse outcome,²²⁴ but are heterogeneous and have proven difficult to standardize.²²⁵ Still, in haemodynamically stable, normotensive patients with PE, echocardiographic assessment of the morphology and function of the RV may help in prognostic stratification.

As already mentioned in the previous section on the diagnosis of PE, echocardiographic findings used to risk stratify patients with PE include RV dilation, an increased RV–LV diameter ratio, hypokinesia of the free RV wall, increased velocity of the jet of tricuspid regurgitation, decreased tricuspid annulus plane systolic excursion, or combinations of the above. Meta-analyses have shown that RV dysfunction detected by echocardiography is associated with an elevated risk of short-term mortality in patients without haemodynamic instability, but its overall positive predictive value is low (Table 8).^{226,227} In addition to RV dysfunction, echocardiography can also identify right-to-left shunt through a patent *foramen ovale* and the presence of right heart thrombi, both of which are associated with increased mortality in patients with acute PE.^{80,184}

Table 7 Original and simplified PESI

Parameter	Original version ²¹⁴	Simplified version ²¹⁸
Age	Age in years	1 point (if age >80 years)
Male sex	+10 points	–
Cancer	+30 points	1 point
Chronic heart failure	+10 points	1 point
Chronic pulmonary disease	+10 points	
Pulse rate ≥ 110 b.p.m.	+20 points	1 point
Systolic blood pressure <100 mm Hg	+30 points	1 point
Respiratory rate >30 breaths per minute	+20 points	–
Temperature <36 °C	+20 points	–
Altered mental status	+60 points	–
Arterial oxyhaemoglobin saturation <90%	+20 points	1 point
Risk strata^a		
	Class I: ≤ 65 points very low 30-day mortality risk (0–1.6%) Class II: 66–85 points low mortality risk (1.7–3.5%) Class III: 86–105 points moderate mortality risk (3.2–7.1%) Class IV: 106–125 points high mortality risk (4.0–11.4%) Class V: >125 points very high mortality risk (10.0–24.5%)	0 points = 30-day mortality risk 1.0% (95% CI 0.0%–2.1%) ≥ 1 point(s) = 30-day mortality risk 10.9% (95% CI 8.5%–13.2%)

b.p.m. = beats per minute; PESI = Pulmonary embolism severity index.

^abased on the sum of points.

Four-chamber views of the heart by CT angiography may detect RV enlargement (end-diastolic diameter, compared with that of the left ventricle) as an indicator of RV dysfunction. Following a number of early retrospective studies,²²⁷ the prognostic value of an enlarged RV on CT angiography was confirmed by a prospective multicentre cohort study of 457 patients (Table 8).²²⁸ In-hospital death or clinical deterioration occurred in 44 patients with- and in 8 patients without RV dysfunction on CT (14.5% vs. 5.2%; $P < 0.004$). Right ventricular dysfunction was an independent predictor for an adverse in-hospital outcome, both in the overall population (HR 3.5; 95% CI 1.6–7.7; $P = 0.002$) and in haemodynamically stable patients (HR 3.8; 95% CI 1.3–10.9; $P = 0.007$). Additional recent publications have confirmed these findings.^{229,230}

4.3 Laboratory tests and biomarkers

4.3.1 Markers of right ventricular dysfunction

Right ventricular pressure overload is associated with increased myocardial stretch, which leads to the release of brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) or N-terminal (NT)-proBNP. The plasma levels of natriuretic peptides reflect the severity of haemodynamic compromise and (presumably) RV dysfunction in acute PE.²³¹ A meta-analysis found that 51% of 1132 unselected patients with acute PE had elevated BNP or NT-proBNP concentrations on admission. These patients had a 10% risk of early death (95% CI 8.0–13) and a 23% (95% CI 20–26) risk of an adverse clinical outcome.²³²

In normotensive patients with PE, the positive predictive value of elevated BNP or NT-proBNP concentrations for early mortality is low.²³³ In a prospective, multicentre cohort study that included 688 patients, NT-proBNP plasma concentrations of 600 pg/mL were identified as the optimal cut-off value for the identification of elevated risk (Table 8).²³⁴ On the other hand, low levels of BNP or NT-proBNP can identify patients with a favourable short-term clinical outcome based on their high negative predictive value.^{226,232,235,236}

Haemodynamically stable patients with low NT-proBNP levels may be candidates for early discharge and outpatient treatment.²³⁷

4.3.2 Markers of myocardial injury

Transmural RV infarction *despite patent coronary arteries* has been found at autopsy of patients who died of massive PE.²³⁸ Elevated plasma troponin concentrations on admission have been reported in connection with PE and were associated with worse prognosis. A meta-analysis covering a total of 1985 patients showed elevated cardiac troponin I or -T concentrations in approximately 50% of the patients with acute PE (Table 8).²³⁹ Elevated troponin concentrations were associated with high mortality both in unselected patients [odds ratio (OR) 9.44; 95% CI 4.14–21.49] and in haemodynamically stable patients [OR 5.90; 95% CI 2.68–12.95], and the results were consistent for troponin I or -T; however, other reports have suggested a limited prognostic value of elevated troponins in normotensive patients.²⁴⁰

The reported positive predictive value of troponin elevation for PE-related early mortality ranges from 12–44%, while the negative

predictive value is high, irrespective of the assays and cut-off values used. Recently developed high-sensitivity assays have improved the prognostic performance of this biomarker, particularly with regard to the exclusion of patients with an adverse short-term outcome.²⁴¹ For example, in a prospective, multicentre cohort of 526 normotensive patients with acute PE, troponin T concentrations < 14 pg/mL, measured by a high-sensitivity assay, had a negative predictive value of 98% with regard to a complicated clinical course, which was similar to that of the sPESI.⁷⁶

Heart-type fatty acid-binding protein (H-FABP), an early marker of myocardial injury, was also found to possess prognostic value in acute PE.^{242,243} In normotensive patients, circulating H-FABP levels

≥ 6 ng/mL had a positive predictive value of 28% and a negative predictive value of 99% for an adverse 30-day outcome (Table 8).²⁴⁴ A simple score, based on the presence of tachycardia, syncope, and a positive bedside test for H-FABP, provided prognostic information similar to that of RV dysfunction on echocardiography.^{245,246}

4.3.3 Other (non-cardiac) laboratory biomarkers

Elevated serum creatinine levels and a decreased (calculated) glomerular filtration rate are related to 30-day all-cause mortality in acute PE.²⁴⁷ Elevated neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin (NGAL) and cystatin C, both indicating acute kidney injury, have also been found to be of prognostic value.²⁴⁸ Elevated D-dimer

Table 8 Imaging and laboratory tests^a for prediction of early^b mortality in acute PE

Test or biomarker	Cut-off value	Sensitivity, % (95% CI)	Specificity, % (95% CI)	NPV, % (95% CI)	PPV, % (95% CI)	OR or HR (95% CI)	No. patients	Study design (reference)	Remarks
Echocardiography	Various criteria of RV dysfunction	74 (61–84)	54 (51–56)	98 (96–99)	8 (6–10)	2.4 (1.3–4.3)	1249	Meta-analysis ²²⁶	RV dysfunction on echocardiography or CT was one of the inclusion criteria in two randomized trials investigating thrombolysis in normotensive patients with PE. ^{252, 253}
CT angiography	RV/LV ≥ 1.0	46 (27–66)	59 (54–64)	93 (89–96)	8 (5–14)	1.5 (0.7–3.4)	383	Meta-analysis ²²⁶	
	RV/LV ≥ 0.9	84 (65–94)	35 (30–39)	97 (94–99)	7 (5–10)	2.8 (0.9–8.2)	457	Prospective cohort ²²⁸	
BNP	75–100 pg/mL	85 (64–95)	56 (50–62)	98 (94–99)	14 (9–21)	6.5 (2.0–21)	261	Meta-analysis ²³²	The optimal cut-off value for PE has not been defined.
NT-proBNP	600 pg/mL	86 (69–95)	50 (46–54)	99 (97–100)	7 (5–19)	6.3 (2.2–18.3)	688	Prospective cohort ^{234e}	NT-proBNP < 500 pg/mL was one of the inclusion criteria in a single-armed management trial investigating home treatment of PE. ²³⁷
Troponin I	Different assays/cut-off values ^c	NR	NR	NR	NR	4.0 (2.2–7.2)	1303	Meta-analysis ²³⁹	A positive cardiac troponin test was one of the inclusion criteria in a randomized trial investigating thrombolysis in normotensive patients with PE. ²⁵³
Troponin T	Different assays/cut-off values ^c	NR	NR	NR	NR		682	Meta-analysis ²³⁹	
	14 pg/mL ^d	87 (71–95)	42 (38–47)	98 (95–99)	9 (6–12)	5.0 (1.7–14.4)	526	Prospective cohort ^{76e}	
H-FABP	6 ng/mL	89 (52–99)	82 (74–89)	99 (94–99)	28 (13–47)	36.6 (4.3–304)	126	Prospective cohort ^{244e}	

BNP = brain natriuretic peptide; CT = computed tomographic; H-FABP = heart-type fatty acid-binding protein; HR = hazard ratio; LV = left ventricular; NPV = negative predictive value; NR = not reported in the reference cited; NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; OR = odds ratio; PE = pulmonary embolism; PPV = positive predictive value; RV = right ventricular.

^aThe Table shows the results of meta-analyses or, in the absence thereof, of the largest prospective cohort studies.

^bIn most studies, 'early' refers to the in-hospital period or the first 30 days after the index event.

^cIn the studies included in this meta-analysis, cut-off values for the cardiac troponin tests used corresponded to the 99th percentile of healthy subjects with a coefficient variation of < 10%.

^dHigh-sensitivity assay.

^eThese studies included only normotensive patients and used a combined outcome (all-cause death or major cardiovascular complications).

concentrations were associated with increased short-term mortality in some studies,^{249,250} while levels <1500 ng/mL had a negative predictive value of 99% for excluding three-month all-cause mortality.²⁵¹

4.4 Combined modalities and scores

In patients with acute PE who appear haemodynamically stable at diagnosis, no individual clinical, imaging, or laboratory finding has been shown to predict risk of an adverse in-hospital outcome that could be considered high enough to justify primary reperfusion. As a result, various combinations of clinical findings with imaging and laboratory tests have been proposed and tested in registries and cohort studies in an attempt to improve risk stratification.^{222,246,254–259} The clinical relevance of most of these modalities and scores, particularly with regard to the therapeutic implications, remains to be determined; however, the combination of RV dysfunction on the echocardiogram (or CT angiogram) with a positive cardiac troponin test^{256,260} was used as an inclusion criterion in a recently published randomized thrombolysis trial,²⁶¹ which enrolled 1006 normotensive patients with acute PE. Patients treated with standard anticoagulation had a 5.6% incidence of death or haemodynamic decompensation within the first 7 days following randomization.²⁵³

4.5 Prognostic assessment strategy

For prediction of early (in-hospital or 30-day) outcome in patients with acute PE, both the PE-related risk and the patient’s clinical status and comorbidities should be taken into consideration. The definition for level of clinical risk is shown in Table 9. The risk-adjusted therapeutic strategies and algorithms recommended on the basis of this classification are discussed in the following section and summarized in Figure 5.

At the stage of clinical suspicion of PE, haemodynamically unstable patients with shock or hypotension should immediately be identified as *high-risk patients* (Figure 2). They require an emergency diagnostic algorithm as outlined in the previous section and, if PE is confirmed, primary pharmacological (or, alternatively, surgical or interventional) reperfusion therapy.

Patients without shock or hypotension are not at high risk of an adverse early outcome. Further risk stratification should be considered after the diagnosis of PE has been confirmed, as this may influence the therapeutic strategy and the duration of the hospitalization (see Section 5.8). In these patients, risk assessment should begin with a validated clinical prognostic score, preferably the PESI or sPESI, its simplified version, to distinguish between intermediate and low risk. Around one-third of PE patients are at low risk of an early adverse outcome as indicated by a PESI Class I or II, or a simplified PESI, of 0. On the other hand, in registries and cohort studies, patients in PESI Class III–V had a 30-day mortality rate of up to 24.5%,²¹⁴ and those with a simplified PESI ≥ 1 up to 11%.²¹⁸ Accordingly, normotensive patients in PESI Class $\geq III$ or a simplified PESI of ≥ 1 are considered to constitute an *intermediate-risk* group. Within this category, further risk assessment should be considered, focusing on the status of the RV in response to the PE-induced acute pressure overload. Patients who display evidence of both RV dysfunction (by echocardiography or CT angiography) and elevated cardiac biomarker levels in the circulation (particularly a positive cardiac troponin test) should be classified into an *intermediate-high-risk* category. As discussed in more detail in the following section, close monitoring is recommended in these cases to permit early detection of haemodynamic decompensation and the need for initiation of rescue reperfusion therapy.²⁵³ On the other hand, patients in whom the RV is normal on echocardiography or CT angiography, and/or have normal cardiac biomarker levels, belong to an *intermediate-low-risk* group.

Table 9 Classification of patients with acute PE based on early mortality risk

Early mortality risk		Risk parameters and scores			
		Shock or hypotension	PESI class III-V or sPESI >1 ^a	Signs of RV dysfunction on an imaging test ^b	Cardiac laboratory biomarkers ^c
High		+	(+) ^d	+	(+) ^d
Intermediate	Intermediate–high	–	+	Both positive	
	Intermediate–low	–	+	Either one (or none) positive ^e	
Low		–	–	Assessment optional; if assessed, both negative ^e	

PE = pulmonary embolism; PESI = Pulmonary embolism severity index; RV = right ventricular; sPESI = simplified Pulmonary embolism severity index.
^aPESI Class III to V indicates moderate to very high 30-day mortality risk; sPESI ≥ 1 point(s) indicate high 30-day mortality risk.
^bEchocardiographic criteria of RV dysfunction include RV dilation and/or an increased end-diastolic RV–LV diameter ratio (in most studies, the reported threshold value was 0.9 or 1.0); hypokinesia of the free RV wall; increased velocity of the tricuspid regurgitation jet; or combinations of the above. On computed tomographic (CT) angiography (four-chamber views of the heart), RV dysfunction is defined as an increased end-diastolic RV/LV (left ventricular) diameter ratio (with a threshold of 0.9 or 1.0).
^cMarkers of myocardial injury (e.g. elevated cardiac troponin I or -T concentrations in plasma), or of heart failure as a result of (right) ventricular dysfunction (elevated natriuretic peptide concentrations in plasma).
^dNeither calculation of the PESI (or sPESI) nor laboratory testing are considered necessary in patients with hypotension or shock.
^ePatients in the PESI Class I–II, or with sPESI of 0, and elevated cardiac biomarkers or signs of RV dysfunction on imaging tests, are also to be classified into the intermediate-low-risk category. This might apply to situations in which imaging or biomarker results become available before calculation of the clinical severity index.

Data from registries and cohort studies suggest that patients in PESI Class I–II, or with sPESI of 0, but with elevated cardiac biomarkers or signs of RV dysfunction on imaging tests, should also be classified into the intermediate-low-risk category.^{76,222,262} Nevertheless, routine performance of imaging or laboratory tests in the presence of a low PESI or a simplified PESI of 0 is not considered necessary at present as, in these cases, it has not been shown to have therapeutic implications.

Recommendations for prognostic assessment

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref ^c
Initial risk stratification of suspected or confirmed PE—based on the presence of shock or persistent hypotension—is recommended to identify patients at high risk of early mortality.	I	B	47, 48
In patients not at high risk, use of a validated clinical risk prediction score, preferably the PESI or sPESI, should be considered to distinguish between low- and intermediate-risk PE.	IIa	B	214, 218
In patients at intermediate risk, assessment of the right ventricle with echocardiography or CT, and of myocardial injury using a laboratory biomarker, should be considered for further risk stratification.	IIa	B	253

CT = computed tomographic (pulmonary angiography); PE = pulmonary embolism; PESI = pulmonary embolism severity index; sPESI = simplified pulmonary embolism severity index.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cReferences.

5. Treatment in the acute phase

5.1 Haemodynamic and respiratory support

Acute RV failure with resulting low systemic output is the leading cause of death in patients with high-risk PE. Therefore, supportive treatment is vital in patients with PE and RV failure. Experimental studies indicate that aggressive volume expansion is of no benefit and may even worsen RV function by causing mechanical overstretch, or by reflex mechanisms that depress contractility.²⁶³ On the other hand, modest (500 mL) fluid challenge may help to increase cardiac index in patients with PE, low cardiac index, and normal BP.²⁶⁴

Use of vasopressors is often necessary, in parallel with (or while waiting for) pharmacological, surgical, or interventional reperfusion treatment. Norepinephrine appears to improve RV function via a direct positive inotropic effect, while also improving RV coronary perfusion by peripheral vascular alpha-receptor stimulation and the increase in systemic BP. Its use should probably be limited to hypotensive patients. Based on the results of small series, the use of dobutamine and/or dopamine may be considered for patients with PE, low cardiac index, and normal BP; however, raising the cardiac index above physiological values may aggravate the ventilation–perfusion

mismatch by further redistributing flow from (partly) obstructed to unobstructed vessels.²⁶⁵ Epinephrine combines the beneficial properties of norepinephrine and dobutamine, without the systemic vasodilatory effects of the latter. It may therefore exert beneficial effects in patients with PE and shock.

Vasodilators decrease pulmonary arterial pressure and pulmonary vascular resistance, but the main concern is the lack of specificity of these drugs for the pulmonary vasculature after systemic (intravenous) administration. According to data from small clinical studies, inhalation of nitric oxide may improve the haemodynamic status and gas exchange of patients with PE.^{266,267} Preliminary data suggest that levosimendan may restore right ventricular–pulmonary arterial coupling in acute PE by combining pulmonary vasodilation with an increase in RV contractility.²⁶⁸

Hypoxaemia and hypocapnia are frequently encountered in patients with PE, but they are of moderate severity in most cases. A patent *foramen ovale* may aggravate hypoxaemia due to shunting when right atrial pressure exceeds left atrial pressure.⁸⁰ Hypoxaemia is usually reversed with administration of oxygen. When mechanical ventilation is required, care should be taken to limit its adverse haemodynamic effects. In particular, the positive intrathoracic pressure induced by mechanical ventilation may reduce venous return and worsen RV failure in patients with massive PE; therefore, positive end-expiratory pressure should be applied with caution. Low tidal volumes (approximately 6 mL/kg lean body weight) should be used in an attempt to keep the end-inspiratory plateau pressure <30 cm H₂O.

Experimental evidence suggests that extracorporeal cardiopulmonary support can be an effective procedure in massive PE.²⁶⁹ This notion is supported by occasional case reports and patient series.^{270–272}

5.2 Anticoagulation

In patients with acute PE, anticoagulation is recommended, with the objective of preventing both early death and recurrent symptomatic or fatal VTE. The standard duration of anticoagulation should cover at least 3 months (also see Section 6). Within this period, acute-phase treatment consists of administering parenteral anticoagulation [unfractionated heparin (UFH), LMWH or fondaparinux] over the first 5–10 days. Parenteral heparin should overlap with the initiation of a vitamin K antagonist (VKA); alternatively, it can be followed by administration of one of the new oral anticoagulants: dabigatran or edoxaban. If rivaroxaban or apixaban is given instead, oral treatment with one of these agents should be started directly or after a 1–2 day administration of UFH, LMWH or fondaparinux. In this latter case, acute-phase treatment consists of an increased dose of the oral anticoagulant over the first 3 weeks (for rivaroxaban), or over the first 7 days (for apixaban).

In some cases, extended anticoagulation beyond the first 3 months, or even indefinitely, may be necessary for secondary prevention, after weighing the individual patient's risk of recurrence vs. bleeding risk.

5.2.1 Parenteral anticoagulation

In patients with high or intermediate clinical probability for PE (see Section 3), parenteral anticoagulation should be initiated whilst awaiting the results of diagnostic tests. Immediate anticoagulation

can be achieved with parenteral anticoagulants such as intravenous UFH, subcutaneous low-molecular-weight heparin (LMWH), or subcutaneous fondaparinux. LMWH or fondaparinux are preferred over UFH for initial anticoagulation in PE, as they carry a lower risk of inducing major bleeding and heparin-induced thrombocytopenia (HIT).^{273–276} On the other hand, UFH is recommended for patients in whom primary reperfusion is considered, as well as for those with serious renal impairment (creatinine clearance <30 mL/min), or severe obesity. These recommendations are based on the short half-life of UFH, the ease of monitoring its anticoagulant effects, and its rapid reversal by protamine. The dosing of UFH is adjusted, based on the activated partial thromboplastin time (aPTT; *Web Addenda Table II*).²⁷⁷

The LMWHs approved for the treatment of acute PE are listed in *Table 10*. LMWH needs no routine monitoring, but periodic measurement of anti-factor Xa activity (anti-Xa levels) may be considered during pregnancy.²⁷⁹ Peak values of anti-factor Xa activity should be measured 4 hours after the last injection and trough values just before the next dose of LMWH would be due; the target range is 0.6–1.0 IU/mL for twice-daily administration, and 1.0–2.0 IU/mL for once-daily administration.²⁸⁰

Fondaparinux is a selective factor Xa inhibitor administered once daily by subcutaneous injection at weight-adjusted doses, without the need for monitoring (*Table 10*). In patients with acute PE and no indication for thrombolytic therapy, fondaparinux was associated with recurrent VTE and major bleeding rates similar to those obtained with intravenous UFH.²⁸¹ No proven cases of HIT have been

reported with fondaparinux.²⁸² Subcutaneous fondaparinux is contraindicated in patients with severe renal insufficiency (creatinine clearance <30 mL/min) because it will accumulate and increase the risk of haemorrhage. Accumulation also occurs in patients with moderate renal insufficiency (clearance 30–50 mL/min) and, therefore, the dose should be reduced by 50% in these patients.²⁸³

5.2.2 Vitamin K antagonists

Oral anticoagulants should be initiated as soon as possible, and preferably on the same day as the parenteral anticoagulant. VKAs have been the ‘gold standard’ in oral anticoagulation for more than 50 years and warfarin, acenocoumarol, phenprocoumon, phenindione and flunidione remain the predominant anticoagulants prescribed for PE.²⁸⁴ Anticoagulation with UFH, LMWH, or fondaparinux should be continued for at least 5 days and until the international normalized ratio (INR) has been 2.0–3.0 for two consecutive days.²⁸⁵

Warfarin can be started at a dose of 10 mg in younger (e.g. <60 years of age), otherwise healthy outpatients, and at a dose of 5 mg in older patients and in those who are hospitalized. The daily dose is adjusted according to the INR over the next 5–7 days, aiming for an INR level of 2.0–3.0. Rapid-turnaround pharmacogenetic testing may increase the precision of warfarin dosing.^{286,287} In particular, variations in two genes may account for more than one-third of the dosing variability of warfarin. One gene determines the activity of cytochrome CYP2C9, the hepatic isoenzyme that metabolizes the S-enantiomer of warfarin into its inactive form, while the other determines the activity of vitamin K epoxide reductase, the enzyme that produces the active form of vitamin K.²⁸⁸ Pharmacogenetic algorithms incorporate genotype and clinical information and recommend warfarin doses according to integration of these data. A trial published in 2012 indicated that, compared with standard care, pharmacogenetic guidance of warfarin dosing resulted in a 10% absolute reduction in out-of-range INRs at one month, primarily due to fewer INR values <1.5; this improvement coincided with a 66% lower rate of DVT.²⁸⁹ In 2013, three large randomized trials were published.^{290–292} All used, as the primary endpoint, the percentage of time in therapeutic range (TTR) (a surrogate for the quality of anticoagulation) for the INR during the first 4–12 weeks of therapy. In 455 patients, genotype-guided doses of warfarin, with a point-of-care test, resulted in a significant but modest increase in TTR over the first 12 weeks, compared with a fixed 3-day loading-dose regimen (67.4% vs. 60.3%; $P < 0.001$). The median time to reaching a therapeutic INR was reduced from 29 to 21 days.²⁹² Another study in 1015 patients compared warfarin loading—based on genotype data in combination with clinical variables—with a loading regimen based on the clinical data alone; no significant improvement was found in either group in terms of the TTR achieved between days 4 and 28 of therapy.²⁹¹ Lack of improvement was also shown by a trial involving 548 patients, comparing acenocoumarol or phenprocoumon loading—based on point-of-care genotyping in combination with clinical variables (age, sex, height, weight, amiodarone use)—with a loading regimen based entirely on clinical information.²⁹⁰

In summary, the results of recent trials appear to indicate that pharmacogenetic testing, used on top of clinical parameters, does not improve the quality of anticoagulation. They also suggest that dosing based on the patient’s clinical data is possibly superior to

Table 10 Low-molecular-weight heparins and pentasaccharide (fondaparinux) approved for the treatment of pulmonary embolism

	Dosage	Interval
Enoxaparin	1.0 mg/kg or 1.5 mg/kg ^a	Every 12 hours Once daily ^a
Tinzaparin	175 U/kg	Once daily
Dalteparin	100 IU/kg ^b or 200 IU/kg ^b	Every 12 hours ^b Once daily ^b
Nadroparin ^c	86 IU/kg or 171 IU/kg	Every 12 hours Once daily
Fondaparinux	5 mg (body weight <50 kg); 7.5 mg (body weight 50–100 kg); 10 mg (body weight >100 kg)	Once daily

All regimens administered subcutaneously.

IU = international units; LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin.

^aOnce-daily injection of enoxaparin at the dosage of 1.5 mg/kg is approved for inpatient (hospital) treatment of PE in the United States and in some, but not all, European countries.

^bIn cancer patients, dalteparin is given at a dose of 200 IU/kg body weight (maximum, 18 000 IU) once daily over a period of 1 month, followed by 150 IU/kg once daily for 5 months.²⁷⁸ After this period, anticoagulation with a vitamin K antagonist or a LMWH should be continued indefinitely or until the cancer is considered cured.

^cNadroparin is approved for treatment of PE in some, but not all, European countries.

fixed loading regimens, and they point out the need to place emphasis on improving the infrastructure of anticoagulation management by optimizing the procedures that link INR measurement with provision of feedback to the patient and individually tailoring dose adjustments.

5.2.3 New oral anticoagulants

The design and principal findings of phase III clinical trials on the acute-phase treatment and standard duration of anticoagulation after PE or VTE with non-vitamin K-dependent new oral anticoagulants (NOACs) are summarized in Table 11. In the RE-COVER trial, the direct thrombin inhibitor dabigatran was compared with warfarin for the treatment of VTE.²⁹³ The primary outcome was the 6-month incidence of recurrent, symptomatic, objectively confirmed VTE. Overall, 2539 patients were enrolled, 21% with PE only and 9.6% with PE plus DVT. Parenteral anticoagulation was administered for a mean of 10 days in both groups. With regard to the efficacy endpoint, dabigatran was non-inferior to warfarin (HR 1.10; 95% CI 0.65–1.84). No significant differences were observed with regard to major bleeding episodes (Table 11), but there were fewer episodes of any bleeding with dabigatran (HR 0.71; 95% CI 0.59–0.85). Its twin study, RE-COVER II,²⁹⁴ enrolled 2589 patients and confirmed these

results (primary efficacy outcome: HR 1.08; 95% CI 0.64–1.80; major bleeding: HR 0.69; 95% CI 0.36–1.32) (Table 11). For the pooled RE-COVER population, the HR for efficacy was 1.09 (95% CI 0.76–1.57) and for major bleeding 0.73 (95% CI 0.48–1.11).²⁹⁴

In the EINSTEIN-DVT and EINSTEIN-PE trials,^{295,296} single oral drug treatment with the direct factor Xa inhibitor rivaroxaban (15 mg twice daily for 3 weeks, followed by 20 mg once daily) was tested against enoxaparin/warfarin in patients with VTE using a randomized, open-label, non-inferiority design. In particular, EINSTEIN-PE enrolled 4832 patients who had acute symptomatic PE, with or without DVT. Rivaroxaban was non-inferior to standard therapy for the primary efficacy outcome of recurrent symptomatic VTE (HR 1.12; 95% CI 0.75–1.68). The principal safety outcome [major or clinically relevant non-major (CRNM) bleeding] occurred with similar frequency in the two treatment groups (HR for rivaroxaban, 0.90; 95% CI 0.76–1.07) (Table 11), but major bleeding was less frequent in the rivaroxaban group, compared with the standard-therapy group (1.1% vs. 2.2%, HR 0.49; 95% CI 0.31–0.79).

The Apixaban for the Initial Management of Pulmonary Embolism and Deep-Vein Thrombosis as First-line Therapy (AMPLIFY) study compared single oral drug treatment using the direct factor Xa

Table 11 Overview of phase III clinical trials with non-vitamin K-dependent new oral anticoagulants (NOACs) for the acute-phase treatment and standard duration of anticoagulation after VTE

Drug	Trial	Design	Treatments and dosage	Duration	Patients	Efficacy outcome (results)	Safety outcome (results)
Dabigatran	RE-COVER ²⁹³	Double-blind, double-dummy	Enoxaparin/dabigatran (150 mg b.i.d.) ^a vs. enoxaparin/warfarin	6 months	2539 patients with acute VTE	Recurrent VTE or fatal PE: 2.4% under dabigatran vs. 2.1% under warfarin	Major bleeding: 1.6% under dabigatran vs. 1.9% under warfarin
	RE-COVER II ²⁹⁴	Double-blind, double-dummy	Enoxaparin/dabigatran (150 mg b.i.d.) ^a vs. enoxaparin/warfarin	6 months	2589 patients with acute VTE	Recurrent VTE or fatal PE: 2.3% under dabigatran vs. 2.2% under warfarin	Major bleeding: 15 patients under dabigatran vs. 22 patients under warfarin
Rivaroxaban	EINSTEIN-DVT ²⁹⁵	Open-label	Rivaroxaban (15 mg b.i.d. for 3 weeks, then 20 mg o.d.) vs. enoxaparin/warfarin	3, 6, or 12 months	3449 patients with acute DVT	Recurrent VTE or fatal PE: 2.1% under rivaroxaban vs. 3.0% under warfarin	Major or CRNM bleeding: 8.1% under rivaroxaban vs. 8.1% under warfarin
	EINSTEIN-PE ²⁹⁶	Open-label	Rivaroxaban (15 mg b.i.d. for 3 weeks, then 20 mg o.d.) vs. enoxaparin/warfarin	3, 6, or 12 months	4832 patients with acute PE	Recurrent VTE or fatal PE: 2.1% under rivaroxaban vs. 1.8% under warfarin	Major or CRNM bleeding: 10.3% under rivaroxaban vs. 11.4% under warfarin
Apixaban	AMPLIFY ²⁹⁷	Double-blind, double-dummy	Apixaban (10 mg b.i.d. for 7 days, then 5 mg b.i.d.) vs. enoxaparin/warfarin	6 months	5395 patients with acute DVT or PE	Recurrent VTE or fatal PE: 2.3% under apixaban vs. 2.7% under warfarin	Major bleeding: 0.6% under apixaban vs. 1.8% under warfarin
Edoxaban	Hokusai-VTE ²⁹⁸	Double-blind, double-dummy	LMWH/edoxaban (60 mg o.d.; 30 mg o.d. if creatinine clearance 30–50 ml/min or body weight <60 kg) vs. UFH or LMWH/warfarin	Variable, 3–12 months	8240 patients with acute DVT and/or PE	Recurrent VTE or fatal PE: 3.2% under edoxaban vs. 3.5% under warfarin	Major or CRNM bleeding: 8.5% under edoxaban vs. 10.3% under warfarin

b.i.d. = bis in die (twice daily); CRNM = clinically relevant non-major; DVT = deep vein thrombosis; o.d. = omni die (once daily); PE = pulmonary embolism; UFH = unfractionated heparin; VTE = venous thromboembolism.

^a Approved doses of dabigatran are 150 mg b.i.d. and 110 mg b.i.d.

inhibitor apixaban (10 mg twice daily for 7 days, followed by 5 mg once daily) with conventional therapy (enoxaparin/warfarin) in 5395 patients with acute VTE, 1836 of whom presented with PE (Table 11).²⁹⁷ The primary efficacy outcome was recurrent symptomatic VTE or death related to VTE. The principal safety outcomes were major bleeding alone, and major bleeding plus CRNM bleeding. Apixaban was non-inferior to conventional therapy for the primary efficacy outcome (relative risk [RR] 0.84; 95% CI 0.60–1.18). Major bleeding occurred less frequently under apixaban compared with conventional therapy (RR 0.31; 95% CI 0.17–0.55; $P < 0.001$ for superiority) (Table 11). The composite outcome of major bleeding and CRNM bleeding occurred in 4.3% of the patients in the apixaban group, compared with 9.7% of those in the conventional-therapy group (RR 0.44; 95% CI 0.36–0.55; $P < 0.001$).

The Hokusal–VTE study compared the direct factor Xa inhibitor edoxaban with conventional therapy in 8240 patients with acute VTE (3319 of whom presented with PE) who had initially received heparin for at least 5 days (Table 11).²⁹⁸ Patients received edoxaban at a dose of 60 mg once daily (reduced to 30 mg once daily in the case of creatinine clearance of 30–50 mL/min or a body weight < 60 kg), or warfarin. The study drug was administered for 3–12 months; all patients were followed up for 12 months. Edoxaban was non-inferior to warfarin with respect to the primary efficacy outcome of recurrent symptomatic VTE or fatal PE (HR 0.89; 95% CI 0.70–1.13). The principal safety outcome, major or CRNM bleeding, occurred less frequently in the edoxaban group (HR 0.81; 95% CI 0.71–0.94; $P = 0.004$ for superiority) (Table 11). In 938 patients who presented with acute PE and elevated NT-proBNP concentrations (≥ 500 pg/mL), the rate of recurrent VTE was 3.3% in the edoxaban group and 6.2% in the warfarin group (HR 0.52; 95% CI 0.28–0.98).

In summary, the results of the trials using NOACs in the treatment of VTE indicate that these agents are non-inferior (in terms of efficacy) and possibly safer (particularly in terms of major bleeding) than the standard heparin/VKA regimen.²⁹⁹ High TTR values were achieved under VKA treatment in all trials; on the other hand, the study populations included relatively young patients, very few of whom had cancer. At present, NOACs can be viewed as an alternative to standard treatment. At the moment of publication of these guidelines, rivaroxaban, dabigatran and apixaban are approved for treatment of VTE in the European Union; edoxaban is currently under regulatory review. Experience with NOACs is still limited but continues to accumulate. Practical recommendations for the handling of NOACs in different clinical scenarios and the management of their bleeding complications have recently been published by the European Heart Rhythm Association.³⁰⁰

5.3 Thrombolytic treatment

Thrombolytic treatment of acute PE restores pulmonary perfusion more rapidly than anticoagulation with UFH alone.^{301,302} The early resolution of pulmonary obstruction leads to a prompt reduction in pulmonary artery pressure and resistance, with a concomitant improvement in RV function.³⁰² The haemodynamic benefits of thrombolysis are confined to the first few days; in survivors, differences are no longer apparent at one week after treatment.^{301,303,304}

The approved regimens of thrombolytic agents for PE are shown in Web Addenda Table III; the contraindications to thrombolysis are displayed in Web Addenda Table IV. Accelerated regimens administered

over 2 hours are preferable to prolonged infusions of first-generation thrombolytic agents over 12–24 hours.^{305–308} Reteplase and desmoteplase have been tested against recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rtPA) in acute PE, with similar results in terms of haemodynamic parameters;^{309,310} tenecteplase was tested against placebo in patients with intermediate-risk PE.^{253,303,311} At present, none of these agents is approved for use in PE.

Unfractionated heparin infusion should be stopped during administration of streptokinase or urokinase; it can be continued during rtPA infusion. In patients receiving LMWH or fondaparinux at the time that thrombolysis is initiated, infusion of UFH should be delayed until 12 hours after the last LMWH injection (given twice daily), or until 24 hours after the last LMWH or fondaparinux injection (given once daily). Given the bleeding risks associated with thrombolysis and the possibility that it may become necessary to immediately discontinue or reverse the anticoagulant effect of heparin, it appears reasonable to continue anticoagulation with UFH for several hours after the end of thrombolytic treatment before switching to LMWH or fondaparinux.

Overall, $> 90\%$ of patients appear to respond favourably to thrombolysis, as judged by clinical and echocardiographic improvement within 36 hours.³¹³ The greatest benefit is observed when treatment is initiated within 48 hours of symptom onset, but thrombolysis can still be useful in patients who have had symptoms for 6–14 days.³¹⁴

A review of randomized trials performed before 2004 indicated that thrombolysis may be associated with a reduction in mortality or recurrent PE in high-risk patients who present with haemodynamic instability.¹⁶⁸ In a recent epidemiological report, in-hospital mortality attributable to PE was lower in unstable patients who received thrombolytic therapy, compared with those who did not (RR 0.20; 95% CI 0.19–0.22; $P < 0.0001$).³¹⁵ Most contraindications to thrombolysis (Web Addenda Table IV) should be considered relative in patients with life-threatening, high-risk PE.

In the absence of haemodynamic compromise at presentation, the clinical benefits of thrombolysis have remained controversial for many years. In a randomized comparison of heparin vs. alteplase in 256 normotensive patients with acute PE and evidence of RV dysfunction or pulmonary hypertension—obtained by clinical examination, echocardiography, or right heart catheterization—thrombolytic treatment (mainly secondary thrombolysis) reduced the incidence of escalation to emergency treatment (from 24.6% to 10.2%; $P = 0.004$), without affecting mortality.²⁵² More recently, the Pulmonary Embolism Thrombolysis (PEITHO) trial was published.²⁵³ This was a multicentre, randomized, double-blind comparison of thrombolysis with a single weight-adapted intravenous bolus of tenecteplase plus heparin vs. placebo plus heparin. Patients with acute PE were eligible for the study if they had RV dysfunction, confirmed by echocardiography or CT angiography, and myocardial injury confirmed by a positive troponin I or -T test. A total of 1006 patients were enrolled. The primary efficacy outcome, a composite of all-cause death or haemodynamic decompensation/collapse within 7 days of randomization, was significantly reduced with tenecteplase (2.6% vs. 5.6% in the placebo group; $P = 0.015$; OR 0.44; 95% CI 0.23–0.88). The benefit of thrombolysis was mainly driven by a significant reduction in the rate of haemodynamic collapse (1.6% vs. 5.0%; $P = 0.002$); all-cause 7-day mortality was low: 1.2% in the tenecteplase group and

1.8% in the placebo group ($P = 0.43$). In another randomized study comparing LMWH alone vs. LMWH plus an intravenous bolus of tenecteplase in intermediate-risk PE, patients treated with tenecteplase had fewer adverse outcomes, better functional capacity, and greater quality of life at 3 months.³¹¹

Thrombolytic treatment carries a risk of major bleeding, including intracranial haemorrhage. Analysis of pooled data from trials using various thrombolytic agents and regimens reported intracranial bleeding rates between 1.9% and 2.2%.^{316,317} Increasing age and the presence of comorbidities have been associated with a higher risk of bleeding complications.³¹⁸ The PEITHO trial showed a 2% incidence of haemorrhagic stroke after thrombolytic treatment with tenecteplase (versus 0.2% in the placebo arm) in patients with intermediate-high-risk PE. Major non-intracranial bleeding events were also increased in the tenecteplase group, compared with placebo (6.3% vs. 1.5%; $P < 0.001$).²⁵³ These results underline the need to improve the safety of thrombolytic treatment in patients at increased risk of intracranial or other life-threatening bleeding. A strategy using reduced-dose rtPA appeared to be safe in the setting of 'moderate' PE in a study that included 121 patients,³¹⁹ and another trial on 118 patients with haemodynamic instability or 'massive pulmonary obstruction' reported similar results.³²⁰ An alternative approach may consist of local, catheter-delivered, ultrasound-assisted thrombolysis using small doses of a thrombolytic agent. (See Section 5.5.)

In patients with mobile right heart thrombi, the therapeutic benefits of thrombolysis remain controversial. Good results were reported in some series,^{199,200} but in other reports short-term mortality exceeded 20% despite thrombolysis.^{184,321,322}

5.4 Surgical embolectomy

The first successful surgical pulmonary embolectomy was performed in 1924, several decades before the introduction of medical treatment for PE. Multidisciplinary teams enjoying the early and active involvement of cardiac surgeons have recently reintroduced the concept of surgical embolectomy for high-risk PE, and also for selected patients with intermediate-high-risk PE, particularly if thrombolysis is contraindicated or has failed. Surgical embolectomy has also been successfully performed in patients with right heart thrombi straddling the interatrial septum through a patent *foramen ovale*.^{323,324}

Pulmonary embolectomy is technically a relatively simple operation. The site of surgical care does not appear to have a significant effect on operative outcomes, and thus patients need not be transferred to a specialized cardiothoracic centre if on-site embolectomy using extracorporeal circulation is possible.³²⁵ Transportable extracorporeal assistance systems with percutaneous femoral cannulation can be helpful in critical situations, ensuring circulation and oxygenation until definitive diagnosis.^{326,327} Following rapid transfer to the operating room and induction of anaesthesia and median sternotomy, normothermic cardiopulmonary bypass should be instituted. Aortic cross-clamping and cardioplegic cardiac arrest should be avoided.³²⁸ With bilateral PA incisions, clots can be removed from both pulmonary arteries down to the segmental level under direct vision. Prolonged periods of post-operative cardiopulmonary bypass and weaning may be necessary for recovery of RV function.

With a rapid multidisciplinary approach and individualized indications for embolectomy before haemodynamic collapse, perioperative mortality rates of 6% or less have been reported.^{326,328–330} Pre-operative thrombolysis increases the risk of bleeding, but it is not an absolute contraindication to surgical embolectomy.³³¹

Over the long term, the post-operative survival rate, World Health Organization functional class, and quality of life were favourable in published series.^{327,329,332,333}

Patients presenting with an episode of acute PE superimposed on a history of long-lasting dyspnoea and pulmonary hypertension are likely to suffer from chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. These patients should be transferred to an expert centre for pulmonary endarterectomy (see Section 7).

5.5 Percutaneous catheter-directed treatment

The objective of interventional treatment is the removal of obstructing thrombi from the main pulmonary arteries to facilitate RV recovery and improve symptoms and survival.¹⁶⁹ For patients with absolute contraindications to thrombolysis, interventional options include (i) thrombus fragmentation with pigtail or balloon catheter, (ii) rheolytic thrombectomy with hydrodynamic catheter devices, (iii) suction thrombectomy with aspiration catheters and (iv) rotational thrombectomy. On the other hand, for patients without absolute contraindications to thrombolysis, catheter-directed thrombolysis or pharmacomechanical thrombolysis are preferred approaches. An overview of the available devices and techniques for percutaneous catheter-directed treatment of PE is given in *Web Addenda Table V*.^{169,334}

A review on interventional treatment included 35 non-randomized studies covering 594 patients.³³⁴ Clinical success, defined as stabilization of haemodynamic parameters, resolution of hypoxia, and survival to discharge, was 87%. The contribution of the mechanical catheter intervention *per se* to clinical success is unclear because 67% of patients also received adjunctive local thrombolysis. Publication bias probably resulted in underreporting of major complications (reportedly affecting 2% of interventions), which may include death from worsening RV failure, distal embolization, pulmonary artery perforation with lung haemorrhage, systemic bleeding complications, pericardial tamponade, heart block or bradycardia, haemolysis, contrast-induced nephropathy, and puncture-related complications.¹⁶⁹

While anticoagulation with heparin alone has little effect on improvement of RV size and performance within the first 24–48 hours,³⁰⁴ the extent of early RV recovery after low-dose catheter-directed thrombolysis appears comparable to that after standard-dose systemic thrombolysis.^{303,335} In a randomized, controlled clinical trial of 59 intermediate-risk patients, when compared with treatment by heparin alone, catheter-directed ultrasound-accelerated thrombolysis—administering 10 mg t-PA per treated lung over 15 hours—significantly reduced the subannular RV/LV dimension ratio between baseline and 24-hour follow-up without an increase in bleeding complications.³³⁶

5.6 Venous filters

Venous filters are usually placed in the infrarenal portion of the inferior *vena cava* (IVC). If thrombus is identified in the renal veins,

suprarenal placement may be indicated. Venous filters are indicated in patients with acute PE who have absolute contraindications to anticoagulant drugs, and in patients with objectively confirmed recurrent PE despite adequate anticoagulation treatment. Observational studies suggest that insertion of a venous filter might reduce PE-related mortality rates in the acute phase,^{337,338} benefit possibly coming at the cost of an increased risk of recurrence of VTE.³³⁸

Complications associated with permanent IVC filters are common, although they are rarely fatal.³³⁹ Overall, early complications—which include insertion site thrombosis—occur in approximately 10% of patients. Placement of a filter in the superior *cava* carries the risk of pericardial tamponade.³⁴⁰ Late complications are more frequent and include recurrent DVT in approximately 20% of patients and post-thrombotic syndrome in up to 40%. Occlusion of the IVC affects approximately 22% of patients at 5 years and 33% at 9 years, regardless of the use and duration of anticoagulation.^{341,342}

Eight-year follow-up of a randomized study on 400 patients with DVT (with or without PE), all of whom had initially received anticoagulant treatment for at least 3 months, showed that patients undergoing permanent IVC filter insertion had a reduced risk of recurrent PE—at the cost of an increased risk of recurrent DVT—and no overall effect on survival.³⁴¹

Non-permanent IVC filters are classified as temporary or retrievable devices. Temporary filters must be removed within few days, while retrievable filters can be left in place for longer periods.

When non-permanent filters are used, it is recommended that they be removed as soon as it is safe to use anticoagulants. Despite this, they are often left *in situ* for longer periods, with a late complication rate of at least 10%; this includes filter migration, tilting or deformation, penetration of the *cava* wall by filter limbs, fracturing of the filter and embolization of fragments, and thrombosis of the device.^{343,344}

There are no data to support the routine use of venous filters in patients with free-floating thrombi in the proximal veins; in one series, among PE patients who received adequate anticoagulant treatment alone (without a venous filter), the recurrence rate was low (3.2%).³⁴⁵ There is also no evidence to support the use of IVC filters in patients scheduled for systemic thrombolysis, surgical embolectomy, or pulmonary thrombendarterectomy.

5.7 Early discharge and home treatment

When considering early discharge and outpatient treatment of patients with acute PE, the crucial issue is to select those patients who are at low risk of an adverse early outcome. A number of risk-prediction models have been developed (see Section 4).³⁴⁶ Of these, the PESI (Table 7) is the most extensively validated score to date.^{211–214} One randomized trial employed a low (Class I or II) PESI as one of the inclusion criteria for home treatment of acute PE.²¹⁷ The simplified form of this index (sPESI) possesses a high sensitivity for identification of low-risk PE,^{76,221} but its value for selecting

Table 12 Design of recent multicentre trials on home treatment of acute PE (modified from (348))

Author	Design	Inclusion criteria	Main exclusion criteria	Patients included	Treatment
Aujesky ²¹⁷	Open-label Randomized Non-inferiority 19 centres (ED) Discharge within 24 hours vs. inpatient therapy	Age ≥18 years Confirmed acute PE PESI Class I or II	BP <100 mm Hg Pain needing opioids Active bleeding or high risk Extreme obesity CrCl <30 mL/min HIT history Barriers to home treatment	344 (of 1557 screened)	Both arms: enoxaparin s.c. twice daily; overlap with VKA (starting 'early')
Otero ³⁴⁹	Open-label Randomized 9 centres Discharge after 3–5 days vs. inpatient therapy	Age ≥18 years Confirmed acute PE Low-risk by Uresandi clinical prediction rule ³⁵⁰	Haemodynamic instability Troponin T ≥0.1 ng/mL RV dysfunction (TTE) High bleeding risk Severe comorbidity O ₂ saturation <93% COPD, asthma Extreme obesity	132 (of 1016 screened)	Both arms: LMWH s.c. overlap with VKA (starting day 10)
Zondag ³⁴⁷	Prospective cohort 12 centres (ED) All treated as outpatients, discharge within 24 hours	Age ≥18 years Confirmed acute PE	Haemodynamic instability Active bleeding or high risk Oxygen requirement CrCl <30 mL/min Hepatic failure HIT history Barriers to home treatment	297 (of 581 screened)	Nadroparin s.c. once daily; overlap with VKA (starting day 1)
Agterof ²³⁷	Prospective cohort 5 centres (ED) Discharge within 24 hours	Age ≥18 years Confirmed acute PE NT-proBNP <500 pg/mL	Haemodynamic instability Active bleeding or high risk Severe comorbidity Pain with i.v. analgesia Oxygen requirement Creatinine >150 μmol/L Barriers to home treatment	152 (of 351 screened)	LMWH s.c. once daily; overlap with VKA (starting 'early')

BP = (systolic) blood pressure; COPD = (severe) chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; CrCl = creatinine clearance; ED = emergency department(s); HIT = heparin-induced thrombocytopenia; i.v. = intravenous; LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin; NT-proBNP = N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide; PE = pulmonary embolism; PESI = Pulmonary embolism severity index (see Table 7); RV = right ventricular; s.c. = subcutaneous; TTE = transthoracic echocardiography; VKA = vitamin K antagonist.

candidates for early discharge and home treatment has not yet been directly investigated.

The Hestia criteria comprise a set of clinical parameters that can easily be obtained at the bedside. In a single-arm management trial that used these criteria to select candidates for home treatment, the rate of recurrent VTE was 2.0% (0.8–4.3%) in patients with acute PE who were discharged within 24 hours.³⁴⁷ The Hestia criteria have not yet been externally validated.

The value of NT-proBNP as a laboratory biomarker for selecting candidates for home treatment has been evaluated in a single-arm management study in which, out of 152 patients (upper margin of the 95% CI: 2.4%) with clinically defined *very low-risk* PE and BNP levels <500 pg/mL, none died or suffered recurrence of VTE or major bleeding complications during three-month follow-up.²³⁷ The value of imaging procedures (echocardiography or CT scan) for excluding RV dysfunction before early discharge has not been investigated in clinical outcome trials.

Table 12 summarizes the designs of the recent multicentre clinical trials that investigated the three-month clinical outcome of patients with PE, who were discharged early or treated entirely as outpatients. Overall, the proportion of screened patients who were identified as eligible for home treatment ranged from 13–51%.³⁴⁸ Two of the studies were randomized, one allocating patients to receive either in-hospital treatment for only 3 days (followed by discharge) or a 'full' hospital stay,³⁴⁹ while the other assigned them to receive anticoagulation either entirely outside the hospital (patients were discharged within 24 hours) or partly in hospital.²¹⁷ The first of these studies, in which a prospectively developed prediction rule was used to define low risk, was terminated prematurely because of an increased short-term mortality rate in the early-discharge arm; two patients (2.8%) in this arm died early, one from gastrointestinal bleeding and the other as a result of cardiac arrest in the presence of a right heart thrombus. Overall mortality was 4.2% in the early-discharge arm, as against 8.3% in the hospitalization arm.³⁴⁹ In the second trial, which was larger, there was one non-VTE related death in each treatment group (0.6%); one patient in the outpatient arm (0.6%) but none in the hospital group suffered non-fatal recurrent VTE.²¹⁷ In a meta-analysis of 14 (mostly cohort-) studies, the pooled incidences of recurrent VTE, major bleeding and total mortality did not differ significantly between outpatients, patients discharged early, and those treated as inpatients.³⁵¹

5.8 Therapeutic strategies

An algorithm of the recommended therapeutic strategies for acute PE is shown in Figure 5.

5.8.1 Pulmonary embolism with shock or hypotension (high-risk pulmonary embolism)

Patients with PE presenting with shock or hypotension are at high risk of in-hospital death, particularly during the first few hours after admission. Besides haemodynamic and respiratory support, intravenous UFH should be administered to these patients as the preferred mode of initial anticoagulation, as LMWH or fondaparinux have not been tested in the setting of hypotension and shock.

Primary reperfusion treatment, particularly systemic thrombolysis, is the treatment of choice for patients with high-risk PE. In patients

with contraindications to thrombolysis—and in those in whom thrombolysis has failed to improve the haemodynamic status—surgical embolectomy is recommended if surgical expertise and resources are available. As an alternative to surgery, percutaneous catheter-directed treatment should be considered if expertise with this method and the appropriate resources are available on site. In these cases, treatment decisions should be made by an interdisciplinary team involving a thoracic surgeon or interventional cardiologist, as appropriate.

5.8.2 Pulmonary embolism without shock or hypotension (intermediate- or low-risk pulmonary embolism)

For most cases of acute PE without haemodynamic compromise, LMWH or fondaparinux, given subcutaneously at weight-adjusted doses without monitoring, is the treatment of choice unless there is severe renal dysfunction.

Patients not suffering from shock or hypotension require further risk stratification after the diagnosis of PE has been confirmed. In these patients, risk assessment should begin with a validated clinical score, preferably the PESI or sPESI.

Low-risk patients in the PESI Class I or II, and probably those with sPESI of 0 (Table 9), should be considered for early discharge and outpatient treatment, if this appears feasible based on the patient's anticipated compliance as well as his/her family and social background. For all other patients, assessment of RV function by echocardiography (or CT angiography) and cardiac troponin testing should be considered.

Based on the results of a recently published randomized trial,²⁵³ and as explained in the section on prognostic assessment, patients with acute PE, an echocardiogram or CT scan indicating RV dysfunction, and a positive cardiac troponin test belong to an *intermediate-high-risk* group (Table 9). Full-dose systemic thrombolytic therapy, given as primary reperfusion therapy, can prevent potentially life-threatening haemodynamic decompensation or collapse in these patients, but this benefit is counterbalanced by a high risk of haemorrhagic stroke or major non-intracranial bleeding.²⁵³ Accordingly, systemic thrombolysis is not routinely recommended as primary treatment for patients with intermediate-high-risk PE, but should be considered if clinical signs of haemodynamic decompensation appear. Surgical pulmonary embolectomy or percutaneous catheter-directed treatment may be considered as alternative, 'rescue' procedures for patients with intermediate-high-risk PE, in whom haemodynamic decompensation appears imminent and the anticipated bleeding risk under systemic thrombolysis is high.

Other laboratory markers, such as BNP, NT-proBNP and H-FABP, have also been shown to possess additive prognostic value to clinical and imaging parameters in cohort studies; their potential therapeutic implications have not yet been investigated in prospective trials.

Normotensive patients in the PESI Class III or higher, or sPESI of at least 1, in whom the echocardiogram (or CT angiogram) or the cardiac troponin test—or both—are normal, belong to an *intermediate-low-risk* group. Anticoagulation is indicated. Existing evidence does not support primary reperfusion treatment. There is no evidence to suggest that bed rest has any beneficial effect on these patients' clinical outcome.




Figure 5 Risk-adjusted management strategies in acute PE (see Table 9 for definition of the risk categories).

5.9 Areas of uncertainty

Although a large number of recent cohort studies have helped to further refine risk stratification in not-high-risk patients with confirmed PE, the clinical implications of prognostic assessment—and

in particular the therapeutic strategy for patients at intermediate-high risk—warrant further investigation. It will be necessary to elaborate on (i) whether reduced-dose intravenous thrombolysis is indeed safe and effective and (ii) whether catheter-directed

treatment can evolve to become a widely available (and affordable) alternative option. The results of the completed large phase III trials on the use of new oral anticoagulants in the treatment of PE and secondary prevention of VTE appear convincing and confirm that the breakthrough in anticoagulation therapy has extended to include VTE. Nevertheless, the accumulation of clinical experience with these drugs under 'real world' conditions will have to proceed at a prudent pace. Finally, further management trials are necessary to 'crystallize' the criteria that might permit early discharge and home treatment of low-risk patients with acute PE.

Recommendations for acute phase treatment

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref ^c
PE with shock or hypotension (high-risk)			
It is recommended that intravenous anticoagulation with UFH be initiated without delay in patients with high-risk PE.	I	C	
Thrombolytic therapy is recommended.	I	B	168
Surgical pulmonary embolectomy is recommended for patients in whom thrombolysis is contraindicated or has failed. ^d	I	C	313
Percutaneous catheter-directed treatment should be considered as an alternative to surgical pulmonary embolectomy for patients in whom full-dose systemic thrombolysis is contraindicated or has failed. ^d	IIa	C	

PE = pulmonary embolism; UFH = unfractionated heparin.
^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.
^cReferences.
^dIf appropriate expertise and resources are available on site.

Recommendations for acute phase treatment

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref ^c
PE without shock or hypotension (intermediate-or low-risk)^d			
Anticoagulation: combination of parenteral treatment with VKA			
Initiation of parenteral anticoagulation is recommended without delay in patients with high or intermediate clinical probability of PE while diagnostic work-up is in progress.	I	C	352
LMWH or fondaparinux is the recommended form of acute phase parenteral anticoagulation for most patients.	I	A	273, 274, 281, 353
In parallel to parenteral anticoagulation, treatment with a VKA is recommended, targeting an INR of 2.5 (range 2.0–3.0).	I	B	352, 354
Anticoagulation: new oral anticoagulants			
As an alternative to the combination of parenteral anticoagulation with a VKA, anticoagulation with rivaroxaban (15 mg twice daily for 3 weeks, followed by 20 mg once daily) is recommended.	I	B	296

As an alternative to the combination of parenteral anticoagulation with a VKA, anticoagulation with apixaban (10 mg twice daily for 7 days, followed by 5 mg twice daily) is recommended.	I	B	297
As an alternative to VKA treatment, administration of dabigatran (150 mg twice daily, or 110 mg twice daily for patients ≥80 years of age or those under concomitant verapamil treatment) is recommended following acute-phase parenteral anticoagulation.	I	B ^e	293, 294
As an alternative to VKA treatment, administration of edoxaban* is recommended following acute-phase parenteral anticoagulation.	I	B	298
New oral anticoagulants (rivaroxaban, apixaban, dabigatran, edoxaban) are not recommended in patients with severe renal impairment. ^f	III	A	293, 295–298
Reperfusion treatment			
Routine use of primary systemic thrombolysis is not recommended in patients not suffering from shock or hypotension.	III	B	253
Close monitoring is recommended in patients with intermediate-high risk PE to permit early detection of haemodynamic decompensation and timely initiation of 'rescue' reperfusion therapy.	I	B	253
Thrombolytic therapy should be considered for patients with intermediate-high-risk PE and clinical signs of haemodynamic decompensation.	IIa	B	252, 253
Surgical pulmonary embolectomy may be considered in intermediate-high-risk patients if the anticipated risk of bleeding under thrombolytic treatment is high. ^g	IIb	C	
Percutaneous catheter-directed treatment may be considered in intermediate-high-risk patients if the anticipated risk of bleeding under thrombolytic treatment is high. ^g	IIb	B	336
Early discharge and home treatment			
Patients with acute low-risk PE should be considered for early discharge and continuation of treatment at home if proper outpatient care and anticoagulant treatment can be provided.	IIa	B	217, 237, 347, 349

* CAUTION: Edoxaban is currently subject to regulatory review for the treatment of venous thromboembolism in the European Union.
 aPTT = activated partial thromboplastin time; INR = international normalized ratio; LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin; PE = pulmonary embolism; UFH = unfractionated heparin; VKA = vitamin K antagonist.
^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.
^cReferences.
^dSee Table 9 for definition of the risk categories.
^eRE-COVER and RE-COVER II are considered one large trial.
^fCreatinine clearance <30 mL/min for rivaroxaban, dabigatran and edoxaban; and <25 mL/min for apixaban.
^gIf appropriate expertise and resources are available on site.

Recommendations for venous filters

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref ^c
IVC filters should be considered in patients with acute PE and absolute contraindications to anticoagulation.	IIa	C	
IVC filters should be considered in case of recurrence of PE, despite therapeutic levels of anticoagulation.	IIa	C	
Routine use of IVC filters in patients with PE is not recommended.	III	A	341, 355

IVC = inferior vena cava; PE = pulmonary embolism.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cReferences.

6. Duration of anticoagulation

The aim of anticoagulant treatment in patients with PE is to prevent recurrence of VTE. VKAs are used in most cases, while LMWH is preferred in patients with VTE and cancer.^{356,357} Three new oral anticoagulant agents have been evaluated in the extended treatment of VTE.

Most of the studies focusing on long-term anticoagulation for VTE have included patients with DVT, with or without PE, and only one study specifically focussed on patients with PE.³⁵⁸ The incidence of recurrent VTE does not depend on the clinical manifestation of the first event (i.e. it is similar after PE and after DVT); however, in patients who have suffered PE, VTE more frequently recurs as symptomatic PE while, in patients who have suffered DVT, it tends to recur more frequently as DVT.³⁵⁹

Clinical trials have evaluated various durations of anticoagulant treatment for VTE. The main findings of these studies were (i) patients with PE should receive at least 3 months of anticoagulant treatment, (ii) after withdrawal of anticoagulant treatment, the risk of recurrence if anticoagulants are stopped after 6 or 12 months can be expected to be similar to that after 3 months and (iii) indefinite treatment reduces the risk for recurrent VTE by about 90%, but this benefit is partially offset by a 1% or higher annual risk of major bleeding.^{360–363} In general, VKAs are highly effective in preventing recurrent VTE during treatment, but they do not eliminate the risk of subsequent recurrence after discontinuation of treatment.^{361,362} Thus, anticoagulants are discontinued when the perceived risk of anticoagulation-related bleeding and the inconvenience of remaining on treatment outweigh the risk of recurrent VTE.

Active cancer is a major risk factor for recurrence of VTE, the rate of recurrence being approximately 20% during the first 12 months after the index event.^{364,365} Therefore, patients with cancer are candidates for indefinite anticoagulant treatment after an initial episode of PE. In a randomized trial of patients with DVT and cancer, the LMWH dalteparin, given at the dose of 200 U/kg once daily for 4–6 weeks, followed by 75% of the initial dose given once daily for up to 6 months, was more effective than warfarin in preventing recurrent VTE.²⁷⁸ Accordingly, at least 3–6 months of treatment with LMWH are recommended for patients with VTE and cancer (see Section 8.2). The optimal treatment to be given after the first 6 months is less clear but treatment with LMWH or VKA is recommended as long as the disease is considered active.

With the exception of patients with cancer, the risk for recurrent VTE after discontinuation of treatment is related to the features of the index VTE event. A study that followed patients with a first episode of acute PE found that the recurrence rate after discontinuation of treatment was approximately 2.5% per year after PE associated with reversible risk factors compared with 4.5% per year after unprovoked PE.³⁵⁸ Similar observations were made in other prospective studies in patients with DVT.³⁶⁰ Recurrence rates may be higher, up to 10%, in the first year after withdrawal of anticoagulant treatment. As mentioned in the Introduction, VTE is held to be 'provoked' in the presence of a temporary or reversible risk factor (such as surgery, trauma, immobilization, pregnancy, oral contraceptive use or hormone replacement therapy) at the time of diagnosis, and 'unprovoked' in the absence thereof. For patients with provoked PE, treatment with a VKA for 3 months is preferable to a shorter period. Treatment for longer than 3 months is generally not recommended, provided that the transient risk factor no longer exists.³⁵⁸

The assessment of the risk of recurrence in patients with unprovoked PE is more complex.^{54–56} The following risk factors may help to identify patients at higher long-term relative risk of recurrence (1.5–2.0): (i) one or more previous episodes of VTE, (ii) antiphospholipid antibody syndrome, (iii) hereditary thrombophilia and (iv) residual thrombosis in the proximal veins. An additional risk factor for recurrence after PE was reported to be the persistence of RV dysfunction at hospital discharge as assessed by echocardiography.³⁶⁶ On the other hand, a negative D-dimer test one month after withdrawal of VKA seems to be a protective factor for recurrence of VTE (RR 0.4).³⁶⁷

Among carriers of molecular thrombophilia, patients with lupus anticoagulant, those with a confirmed deficit of protein C or protein S, and patients with homozygous factor V Leiden or homozygous prothrombin G20210A (PTG20210A), may be candidates for indefinite anticoagulant treatment after a first unprovoked VTE. No evidence of the clinical benefit of extended anticoagulant treatment is currently available for carriers of heterozygous factor V Leiden or PTG20210A.

There are no properly evaluated bleeding risk scores for patients receiving anticoagulant treatment for VTE. Based on currently available evidence, risk factors include (i) old age (particularly >75 years), (ii) previous gastrointestinal bleeding (particularly if not associated with a reversible or treatable cause), (iii) previous stroke, either haemorrhagic or ischaemic, (iv) chronic renal or hepatic disease, (v) concomitant antiplatelet therapy (to be avoided, if possible), (vi) other serious acute or chronic illness, (vii) poor anticoagulation control and (viii) sub-optimal monitoring of anticoagulant therapy.

Based on the balance between the risk of recurrence of VTE and that of bleeding, patients with unprovoked PE should be treated with VKA for at least 3 months. After this period, indefinite anticoagulation therapy should be considered for patients with a first unprovoked proximal DVT or PE and a low risk of bleeding, provided that this is consistent with the patient's preference. Notably, the term 'indefinite anticoagulation' is not synonymous with 'lifelong treatment'; it simply indicates that the duration of treatment cannot be defined at three-month follow-up after the acute event. In these patients, the option to withdraw anticoagulant treatment should periodically be re-assessed, based on the dynamic balance between the risks of recurrence and bleeding. Lifelong treatment is recommended for most patients with a second unprovoked DVT or PE.

In two recent trials with a total of 1224 patients, extended therapy with aspirin (after termination of standard oral anticoagulation) was associated with a 30–35% reduction in the risk of recurrence after unprovoked DVT and/or PE.^{368,369} This corresponds to less than half of the risk reduction achieved by oral anticoagulants; on the other hand, the bleeding rates associated with aspirin were low (Table 13).

6.1 New oral anticoagulants for extended treatment

Three NOACs have been evaluated in the extended treatment of patients with VTE: dabigatran, rivaroxaban, and apixaban. In all studies, patients with PE made up approximately one-third of the entire study population, while the remaining two-thirds were patients with DVT but no clinically overt PE. To be included in the extended studies, patients needed to have completed the initial and long-term anticoagulation phase.

The design and principal findings of the recent trials on extended anticoagulation are summarized in Table 13. Dabigatran was compared with warfarin or placebo in two different studies. In RE-MEDY, 2866 patients were randomized to receive dabigatran 150 mg twice daily, or warfarin (INR 2–3). Dabigatran was non-inferior to warfarin for the prevention of confirmed recurrent symptomatic VTE or VTE-related death (HR 1.44; 95% CI 0.78–2.64; $P = 0.01$ for non-inferiority).³⁷⁰ The rate of major bleeding was 0.9% under dabigatran, compared with 1.8% under warfarin (HR 0.52; 95% CI 0.27–1.02). In the RE-SONATE study, 1353 patients were randomized to dabigatran or placebo for an additional anticoagulation period of 6 months.³⁷⁰ Dabigatran was associated with a 92% risk reduction in symptomatic recurrent VTE or unexplained death (HR 0.08; 95% CI 0.02–0.25). A 0.3% rate of major bleeding was

observed in the dabigatran group vs. 0% in the placebo group; major or CRNM bleeding occurred in 5.3% and 1.8% of the patients, respectively (HR 2.92; 95% CI 1.52–5.60).³⁷⁰

The randomized, double-blind EINSTEIN Extension study assessed the efficacy and safety of rivaroxaban for extended treatment of VTE.²⁹⁵ An additional 6- or 12-month course of rivaroxaban (20 mg once daily) was compared with placebo in patients who had completed 6–12 months of anticoagulation treatment for a first VTE. Rivaroxaban had superior efficacy over placebo for preventing recurrent VTE (1.3% vs. 7.1%; HR 0.18; 95% CI 0.09–0.39). Non-fatal major bleeding occurred in 0.7% of patients in the rivaroxaban arm vs. none in the placebo arm. The incidence of major or CRNM bleeding was 6.0% in the rivaroxaban group and 1.2% in the placebo group (HR 5.19; 95% CI 2.3–11.7).

The AMPLIFY Extension study was a double-blind trial in which patients with VTE were randomized to receive two different doses of apixaban (2.5 mg or 5 mg twice daily) or placebo.³⁷¹ Patients were eligible for inclusion if there was clinical equipoise regarding the continuation or cessation of anticoagulation therapy. The study treatment was given for a 12-month period. Symptomatic recurrent VTE or death from any cause occurred in 11.6% of patients receiving placebo, compared with 3.8% of those receiving 2.5 mg of apixaban (HR 0.33 vs. placebo; 95% CI 0.22–0.48) and with 4.2% of the patients who were receiving 5 mg of apixaban (HR 0.36 vs. placebo; 95% CI 0.25–0.53). The rates of major bleeding were 0.5% in the placebo group, 0.2% in the 2.5 mg apixaban group, and 0.1% in the 5 mg apixaban group; major or CRNM bleeding occurred in 2.7%, 3.2% (HR 1.20 vs. placebo; 95% CI 0.69–2.10) and 4.3% (HR 1.62 vs. placebo; 95% CI 0.96–2.73) of the patients, respectively.

In summary, the results of the trials using NOACs in the extended treatment of VTE are in line with those of the studies that tested these agents in the acute-phase treatment and standard duration of

Table 13 Clinical trials on extended treatment of venous thromboembolism

Study	Active ^a	Comparator	Design	Expected reduction	Treatment duration	No. Patients enrolled	VTE rate in control group	Risk reduction for recurrent VTE	Major or CRNM bleeding in active ^a group
RE-SONATE ³⁷⁰	Dabigatran 150 mg b.i.d. ^c	Placebo	Superiority	70%	6 months	1343	5.6%	92%	5.3%
RE-MEDY ³⁷⁰	Dabigatran 150 mg b.i.d. ^c	Warfarin (INR 2–3)	Non-inferiority	Absolute increase, <2.8	18–36 months	2856	1.3%	Risk difference, 0.38% vs. VKA	5.6% (vs. 10.2% in warfarin arm)
EINSTEIN Ext ²⁹⁵	Rivaroxaban 20 mg daily	Placebo	Superiority	70%	6–12 months	1196	7.1%	82%	6.0%
AMPLIFY Ext ³⁷¹	Apixaban 5.0 mg b.i.d.	Placebo	Superiority	41%	12 months	2486	8.8%	80%	4.2%
	Apixaban 2.5 mg b.i.d. ^d							81%	3.0%
WARFASA ³⁶⁸	Aspirin	Placebo	Superiority	40%	≥24 months	402	11.2% ^b	40%	1.0% ^b
ASPIRE ³⁶⁹	Aspirin	Placebo	Superiority	30%	4 years (actual, 27 months)	822	6.5% ^b	26%	1.7% ^b

b.i.d. = bis in die (twice daily); CRNM = clinically relevant non-major; SD = standard deviation; VKA = vitamin K antagonists; VTE = venous thromboembolism.

^aActive^a denotes in the Table the direct oral thrombin or factor Xa inhibitor (or aspirin) tested; the comparator arm also received anticoagulation (a vitamin K antagonist) in some of the studies.

^bIncidence per patient-year.

^cApproved doses of dabigatran are 150 mg b.i.d. and 110 mg b.i.d.

^dThis is the approved dose of apixaban for extended treatment.

anticoagulation after PE or VTE (discussed in the previous section). They indicate that NOACs are both effective (in terms of prevention of symptomatic or fatal recurrence of VTE) and safe (particularly in terms of major bleeding)—probably safer than standard VKA regimens.

Recommendations for duration of anticoagulation after pulmonary embolism

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref ^c
For patients with PE secondary to a transient (reversible) risk factor, oral anticoagulation is recommended for 3 months.	I	B	358
For patients with unprovoked PE, oral anticoagulation is recommended for at least 3 months.	I	A	363, 372–374
Extended oral anticoagulation should be considered for patients with a first episode of unprovoked PE and low bleeding risk.	IIa	B	375
Anticoagulation treatment of indefinite duration is recommended for patients with a second episode of unprovoked PE.	I	B	360
Rivaroxaban (20 mg once daily), dabigatran (150 mg twice daily, or 110 mg twice daily for patients ≥80 years of age or those under concomitant verapamil treatment) or apixaban (2.5 mg twice daily) should be considered as an alternative to VKA (except for patients with severe renal impairment) if extended anticoagulation treatment is necessary. ^d	IIa	B ^e	295, 370, 371
In patients who receive extended anticoagulation, the risk–benefit ratio of continuing such treatment should be reassessed at regular intervals.	I	C	
In patients who refuse to take or are unable to tolerate any form of oral anticoagulants, aspirin may be considered for extended secondary VTE prophylaxis.	IIb	B	368, 369
For patients with PE and cancer, weight adjusted subcutaneous LMWH should be considered for the first 3–6 months.	IIa	B	278, 376, 377
For patients with PE and cancer, extended anticoagulation (beyond the first 3–6 months) should be considered for an indefinite period or until the cancer is cured.	IIa	C	

LMWH = low-molecular-weight heparin; PE = pulmonary embolism; VKA = vitamin K antagonist.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cReferences.

^dLong-term data on patients taking new oral anticoagulants for secondary PE prophylaxis are not yet available.

^eB refers to the evidence available for each drug separately.

7. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension

7.1 Epidemiology

Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension is a debilitating disease caused by chronic obstruction of major pulmonary arteries. Although the exact prevalence and annual incidence of CTEPH is unknown, data from the United Kingdom suggest that this condition may occur in approximately five individuals per million population per year.³⁷⁸ According to the 2009 ESC Guidelines on pulmonary hypertension (PH)³⁷⁹ and the recently updated clinical classification of PH,³⁸⁰ CTEPH is listed as a distinct subgroup of PH (group 4).

Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension has been reported to be a long-term complication of PE, with a reported cumulative incidence of 0.1–9.1% within the first two years after a symptomatic PE event.³⁸¹ The large margin of error is probably due to referral bias, absence of early symptoms, and the difficulty in differentiating ‘true’ acute PE from an acute episode superimposed on pre-existing CTEPH. Routine screening for CTEPH after PE is not supported by current evidence; a significant number of CTEPH cases develop in the absence of previous acute PE.

7.2 Pathophysiology

The available evidence indicates that CTEPH is primarily caused by pulmonary thromboembolism. In a recent international registry, a clinical history of VTE was recorded in 80% of patients with CTEPH.³⁸² Inadequate anticoagulation, large thrombus mass, residual thrombi, and recurrence of VTE may contribute to the development of CTEPH. On the other hand, CTEPH does not share the same risk factor profile with VTE and has been associated with only a few specific thrombophilic factors. These include the presence of lupus anticoagulant or antiphospholipid antibodies and elevated levels of coagulation factor VIII.^{4,383} It has been proposed that, in some patients, PE may be followed by a pulmonary vascular remodelling process modified by infection,³⁸⁴ inflammation,³⁸⁵ circulating and vascular-resident progenitor cells,^{386,387} thyroid hormone replacement, or malignancy.⁴ Hypercoagulation, ‘sticky’ red blood cells, high platelet counts, and ‘uncleavable’ fibrinogen may further contribute to obliteration of the pulmonary arteries in CTEPH.³⁸⁸ In addition, non-plasmatic risk factors such as splenectomy, ventriculoatrial shunt for hydrocephalus therapy, inflammatory bowel disease, and chronic osteomyelitis are associated with a higher incidence and a worse prognosis of CTEPH.^{4,389}

Apart from major pulmonary vascular obstruction, the pathophysiology of CTEPH includes a pulmonary microvascular disease,³⁹⁰ which may be responsible for the poor outcome in some cases of pulmonary endarterectomy.³⁹¹ This condition may originate from a high-flow or high-pressure state in previously unaffected vessels, or may be driven by hypoxia, infection, or inflammation.

7.3 Clinical presentation and diagnosis

The median age of patients at diagnosis of CTEPH is 63 years, and both genders are equally affected,³⁹² paediatric cases are rare.^{393,394} Clinical symptoms and signs are non-specific or absent in early CTEPH, with signs of right heart failure only becoming

evident in advanced disease; thus, early diagnosis remains a challenge in CTEPH, with a median time of 14 months between onset of symptoms and diagnosis in expert centres.³⁹² When present, the clinical symptoms of CTEPH may resemble those of acute PE, or of idiopathic pulmonary arterial hypertension (iPAH); in the latter context, oedema and haemoptysis occur more often in CTEPH, while syncope is more common in iPAH.

The diagnosis of CTEPH is based on findings obtained after at least 3 months of effective anticoagulation, in order to discriminate this condition from 'sub-acute' PE. These findings are:

- mean pulmonary arterial pressure ≥ 25 mm Hg, with pulmonary arterial wedge pressure ≤ 15 mm Hg;
- at least one (segmental) perfusion defect detected by perfusion lung scan, or pulmonary artery obstruction seen by MDCT angiography or conventional pulmonary cineangiography.

Some patients, particularly those with complete unilateral obstruction, may present with normal pulmonary haemodynamics at rest, despite symptomatic disease. These patients are also considered as having CTEPH and managed accordingly. Suitable terminology to describe this condition of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary vascular disease is still lacking.


An algorithm for CTEPH diagnosis is shown in *Figure 6*. While MDCT angiography is the investigation of choice for the diagnosis of acute PE, planar V/Q lung scan remains the main first-line imaging modality for CTEPH, as it carries a 96–97% sensitivity and 90–95% specificity for the diagnosis.³⁹⁵ By contrast, in iPAH and pulmonary veno-occlusive disease, perfusion scans are either normal or show sub-segmental defects.³⁹⁶

Right heart catheterization is an essential diagnostic tool. Mean pulmonary artery pressure, pulmonary vascular resistance, and pulmonary arterial wedge pressure are key haemodynamic parameters. In candidates for surgery, pulmonary vascular resistance has prognostic value.³⁹⁸

Multi-detector CT angiography has become an established imaging technique for CTEPH,³⁹⁹ but CT alone cannot rule out the disease.³⁹⁷ CT angiography may help identify complications of the disease, such as pulmonary artery distension, resulting in left main coronary artery compression.

A high-resolution CT scan of the chest delivers images of the lung parenchyma, and identifies emphysema, bronchial disease or interstitial lung disease, as well as infarcts, vascular- and pericardial malformations, and thoracic wall deformities. Perfusion inequalities manifest as a mosaic parenchymal pattern with dark areas corresponding to relatively decreased perfusion. Although a mosaic pattern is frequent in CTEPH, it can also be observed in up to 12% of patients with pulmonary arterial hypertension.⁴⁰⁰ Magnetic resonance imaging of the pulmonary vasculature is still considered inferior to CT,⁴⁰¹ but this modality, as well as angiography,⁴⁰² intravascular ultrasound or optical coherence tomography, may be used according to local experience and practice.

The final step in the diagnostic pathway is side-selective pulmonary angiography in the anterior-posterior and lateral projections, illustrating pulmonary artery webs and bands, wall irregularities, stenoses, aneurysms, and complete vascular obstructions, as well as bronchial collaterals.



7.4 Treatment and prognosis

A proposed algorithm for CTEPH treatment is displayed in *Figure 7*. Pulmonary endarterectomy (PEA) is the treatment of choice for the disease. In Europe, in-hospital mortality is currently as low as 4.7% in expert centres.³⁹⁸ The majority of patients experience substantial relief from symptoms and near-normalization of haemodynamics.^{391,398,403} In contrast to surgical embolectomy for acute PE, treatment of CTEPH necessitates a true endarterectomy through the medial layer of the pulmonary arteries, which is performed under deep hypothermia and circulatory arrest.⁴⁰⁴

The operability of patients with CTEPH is determined by multiple factors that cannot easily be standardized; these are related to the suitability of the patient, the expertise of the surgical team, and available resources. General criteria include pre-operative New York Heart Association functional class II–IV and the surgical accessibility of thrombi in the main, lobar, or segmental pulmonary arteries. Advanced age *per se* is no contraindication for surgery. There is no pulmonary vascular resistance threshold or measure of RV dysfunction that absolutely precludes PEA.

Patients who do not undergo surgery, or suffer from persistent or residual pulmonary hypertension after PEA, face a poor prognosis. Advances in balloon pulmonary angioplasty are continuing in an attempt to make this technique a therapeutic alternative for selected patients with non-operable CTEPH.^{405–408}

Optimal medical treatment for CTEPH consists of anticoagulants, diuretics, and oxygen. Lifelong anticoagulation is recommended, even after PEA, while no data exist on the efficacy and safety of new direct oral anticoagulants. Although there is no consensus, routine *cava* filter placement is not justified by the available evidence. Pulmonary microvascular disease in CTEPH has provided the rationale for use of drugs approved for pulmonary arterial hypertension (PAH).⁴⁰⁹ These drugs may be justified (i) in inoperable patients, (ii) in patients with persistent or residual pulmonary hypertension after PEA or (iii) in the presence of an unacceptable surgical risk–benefit ratio.

The dual endothelin antagonist bosentan was evaluated over 16 weeks in 157 patients with inoperable CTEPH or persistent/recurrent pulmonary hypertension after PEA; the primary combined endpoint of a decrease in pulmonary vascular resistance (PVR) and an increase in the 6-minute walking distance was not met.⁴¹⁰ PVR is defined as the sum of mean pulmonary arterial pressure and pulmonary artery wedge pressure, divided by cardiac output. Riociguat, a soluble, oral stimulator of guanylate cyclase, was administered to 261 of 446 screened patients with inoperable CTEPH—or persistent/recurrent pulmonary hypertension after PEA—for 16 weeks, and led to a mean increase of 39 metres in the 6-minute walking distance ($P < 0.001$; primary endpoint) and to a least-squared mean difference of 246 $\text{dyn}\cdot\text{cm}\cdot\text{s}^{-5}$ in pulmonary vascular resistance ($P < 0.001$; secondary endpoint); the time to clinical worsening remained unchanged.⁴¹¹ Riociguat has received approval for use in the treatment of adults with persistent or recurrent CTEPH after surgical treatment, or inoperable CTEPH, to improve exercise capacity and WHO functional class. Off-label use of drugs approved for PAH, or the use of riociguat as a therapeutic bridge to PEA in patients considered to be at high risk due to poor haemodynamics, is currently not justified.




Figure 7 Algorithm for the treatment of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (adapted from Ghofrani et al. (2013)).⁴¹²

Recommendations for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref ^c
In PE survivors with persistent dyspnoea, diagnostic evaluation for CTEPH should be considered.	IIa	C	414
Screening for CTEPH in asymptomatic survivors of PE is currently not recommended.	III	C	381
It is recommended that, in all patients with CTEPH, the assessment of operability and decisions regarding other treatment strategies be made by a multidisciplinary team of experts.	I	C	391, 398, 403, 412
Life-long anticoagulation is recommended in all patients with CTEPH.	I	C	412
Surgical PEA is recommended for patients with CTEPH.	I	C	412
Riociguat is recommended in symptomatic patients who have been classified as having inoperable CTEPH by a CTEPH team including at least one experienced PEA surgeon, or have persistent/recurrent CTEPH after surgical treatment.	I	B	411, 412
Off-label use of drugs approved for PAH may be considered in symptomatic patients who have been classified as having inoperable CTEPH by a CTEPH team including at least one experienced PEA surgeon.	IIb	B	412

CTEPH = chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension; PE = pulmonary embolism; PEA = pulmonary endarterectomy.
^aClass of recommendation.
^bLevel of evidence.
^cReferences.

8. Specific problems

8.1 Pregnancy

Pulmonary embolism is the leading cause of pregnancy-related maternal death in developed countries.⁴¹⁵ The risk of PE is higher in the post-partum period, particularly after a caesarean section. Recommendations for the management of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy are included in the 2011 ESC Guidelines on the management of cardiovascular diseases during pregnancy.⁴¹⁶ The present section is in agreement with those guidelines.

Pregnancy does not alter the clinical features of PE but, as pregnant women often complain of breathlessness, this symptom should be interpreted with caution. Arterial blood should be drawn with the patient in the upright position, as the partial pressure of oxygen may be lower in the supine position during the third trimester. Data on the validity of clinical prediction rules for PE in pregnancy are lacking, but a recent retrospective series of 125 pregnant women who were referred for CT angiography showed that no patient with an original Wells score of <6 points had a PE.⁴¹⁷ These data need to be confirmed in large-scale prospective studies.

8.1.1 Diagnosis of pulmonary embolism in pregnancy

Exposure of the foetus to ionizing radiation is a concern when investigating suspected PE during pregnancy; although this concern is largely overruled by the hazards of missing a potentially fatal diagnosis. This is particularly true for pregnant patients with suspected high-risk PE. Moreover, erroneously assigning a diagnosis of PE to a pregnant woman is also fraught with risks, since it unnecessarily exposes the mother and foetus to the risks of anticoagulant treatment and will impact on delivery plans, future contraception, and thromboprophylaxis during future pregnancies. Therefore, investigations should aim at diagnostic certainty.

The usefulness of D-dimer in pregnancy is controversial. A normal D-dimer value has the same exclusion value for PE in pregnant women as for other patients with suspected PE but is found more rarely, because plasma D-dimer levels physiologically increase throughout pregnancy.^{127,418} Study of a series of pregnant patients with suspected DVT found that an agglutination assay would have ruled out the disease in 55% of the cases with a negative predictive value of 100%.⁴¹⁸ The same study attempted to establish higher cut-off levels in pregnancy for several commonly used D-dimer assays.⁴¹⁹ These thresholds await prospective validation and, in the meantime, the usual D-dimer cut-off value should apply to rule out PE in pregnancy. If the D-dimer result is abnormal, diagnostic work-up may continue with lower-limb CUS, since proximal DVT warrants anticoagulation treatment and makes thoracic imaging unnecessary. If ultrasonography is negative, the diagnosis should be pursued.

The amount of radiation absorbed by the foetus during different diagnostic tests is shown in *Table 14*. The danger threshold for injury to the foetus is considered to be 50 mSv (50 000 μ Gy),⁴²⁰ and all radiological tests fall well below this figure; nevertheless lung scintigraphy, when available, may be preferred over CT because it avoids the high radiation dose delivered to the female breast by CT angiography and the resulting small but significant increase of the lifetime risk of breast cancer.⁴²¹ As a rule, a ventilation lung scan is unnecessary as the chest X-ray is usually normal, thus

Table 14 Estimated radiation absorbed in procedures used for diagnosing PE (adapted from Bajc et al. (2009)⁴³⁰ and Chunilal et al. (2009)).⁴³¹

Test	Estimated foetal radiation exposure (mSv)	Estimated maternal radiation exposure to breast tissue (mSv)
Chest X-ray	<0.01	0.01
Perfusion lung scan with technetium-99m labelled albumin		
Low dose: 40 MBq	0.11–0.20	0.28–0.50
High dose: 200 MBq	0.20–0.60	1.20
Ventilation lung scan	0.10–0.30	<0.01
Computed tomographic angiography	0.24–0.66	10–70

mSv = millisievert; PE = pulmonary embolism

further limiting radiation exposure. The diagnostic yield of scintigraphy is around 80%, with 70% of tests yielding normal perfusion scans and 5–10% yielding high-probability scans.^{422–428} This is at least as high as that of CT in this particular population, due to a higher proportion of inconclusive CT scans during pregnancy.⁴²⁵ A normal perfusion scan and a negative CT are equally safe for ruling out PE in pregnancy, as shown by several retrospective series.^{427,429}

Conventional pulmonary angiography carries a significantly higher radiation exposure for the foetus (2.2–3.7 mSv) and should be avoided during pregnancy.⁴²⁰

8.1.2 Treatment of pulmonary embolism in pregnancy

The treatment of PE in pregnancy is based on heparin anticoagulation, because heparin does not cross the placenta and is not found in breast milk in significant amounts. Increasing experience suggests that LMWHs are safe in pregnancy,^{432–435} and their use is endorsed in several reports.^{436,437} Treatment should consist of a weight-adjusted dose of LMWH. Adaptation according to anti-Xa monitoring may be considered in women at extremes of body weight or with renal disease, but routine monitoring is generally not justified.^{279,436,437} Unfractionated heparin is not contraindicated in pregnancy, although it requires aPTT monitoring and is probably more likely to cause osteoporosis if used for longer periods. Fondaparinux should not be used in pregnancy due to the lack of data. VKAs cross the placenta and are associated with a well-defined embryopathy during the first trimester. Administration of VKAs in the third trimester can result in foetal and neonatal haemorrhage, as well as placental abruption. Warfarin may be associated with central nervous system anomalies throughout pregnancy. New oral anticoagulants are contraindicated in pregnant patients.

The management of labour and delivery require particular attention. Epidural analgesia cannot be used unless LMWH has been discontinued at least 12 hours before delivery. Treatment can be resumed 12–24 hours after removal of the epidural catheter. Close collaboration between the obstetrician, the anaesthesiologist, and the attending physician is recommended.

After delivery, heparin treatment may be replaced by anticoagulation with VKA. Anticoagulant treatment should be administered for

at least 6 weeks after delivery and with a minimum overall treatment duration of 3 months. VKAs can be given to breast-feeding mothers.

Published data on 28 pregnant women treated with thrombolytic agents—mainly with rtPA at the dose of 100 mg over 2 hours—suggest that the risk of complications for the mother may be similar to that in the non-pregnant population.⁴³⁸ Thrombolytic treatment should not be used peripartum, except for critical cases.

Recommendations for pulmonary embolism in pregnancy

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref ^c
Suspicion of PE in pregnancy warrants formal diagnostic assessment with validated methods.	I	C	
D-dimer measurement may be performed in order to avoid unnecessary irradiation, as a negative result has a similar clinical significance as in non-pregnant patients.	IIb	C	418, 419
Venous compression ultrasonography may be considered in order to avoid unnecessary irradiation, as a diagnosis of proximal DVT confirms PE.	IIb	C	
Perfusion scintigraphy may be considered to rule out suspected PE in pregnant women with normal chest X-ray.	IIb	C	
CT angiography should be considered if the chest X-ray is abnormal or if lung scintigraphy is not readily available.	IIa	C	
A weight-adjusted dose of LMWH is the recommended therapy during pregnancy in patients without shock or hypotension.	I	B	432, 433

CT = computed tomographic; DVT = deep vein thrombosis; LMWH = low molecular weight heparin; PE = pulmonary embolism.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cReferences.

8.2 Pulmonary embolism and cancer

The overall risk of venous thromboembolism in cancer patients is four times as great as in the general population.⁸ Although the largest absolute numbers of VTE episodes occur in patients with lung, colon, and prostate cancer, the relative risk for VTE is highest in multiple myeloma, brain, and pancreatic cancer (46-, 20-, and 16-fold increased vs. healthy controls, respectively).⁴³⁹ In the metastatic stage, stomach, bladder, uterine, renal, and lung cancer are also associated with a high incidence of VTE.¹⁷

Patients receiving chemotherapy have a six-fold increase in the adjusted risk ratio for VTE compared with a healthy population.⁸ Nevertheless, prophylactic anticoagulation is not routinely recommended during ambulatory anti-cancer chemotherapy, with the exception of thalidomide- or lenalidomide-based regimens in multiple myeloma.^{440,441} LMWH or VKA are not effective in preventing thrombosis related to the use of permanent central venous lines in cancer patients.⁴⁴¹

The risk of VTE increases over 90-fold in the first 6 weeks after cancer surgery, compared with that in healthy controls, and is second only to the risk of VTE after hip or knee replacement surgery. Notably, the risk of VTE after cancer surgery remains elevated (up to 30-fold) between the fourth and twelfth post-operative month.⁴⁴² Continued vigilance is therefore necessary, as currently recommended prophylactic anticoagulation covers only the first 30 days after cancer surgery.

8.2.1 Diagnosis of pulmonary embolism in patients with cancer

Malignancy is taken into account in the estimation of clinical probability of PE (see Section 3). A negative D-dimer test has the same diagnostic value as in non-cancer patients. On the other hand, D-dimer levels are non-specifically increased in many patients with cancer. In one study, raising the D-dimer cut-off level to 700 µg/L, or using age-dependent cut-off levels, increased the proportion of cancer patients in whom PE could be ruled out from 8.4 to 13% and 12%, respectively; the corresponding false-negative rates appeared acceptable.⁴⁴³ This strategy needs further validation.

The widespread use of CT scanners has resulted in an increasing number of incidentally diagnosed, asymptomatic PEs in cancer patients.⁴⁴⁴ Their significance, particularly if limited to segmental or sub-segmental arteries, is unclear; however, in view of the high risk of an adverse outcome reported by uncontrolled studies,^{445–449} the treatment strategies recommended for symptomatic PE should be also considered for incidental PE found in patients with malignancy.

8.2.2 Prognosis for pulmonary embolism in patients with cancer

Cancer is a risk factor for an adverse outcome in acute PE. In a multivariate analysis of 570 patients with PE, the presence of cancer tripled the 30-day risk of death, shock, or recurrence of PE.²⁵⁷ In the RIETE registry, in patients with and without cancer, all-cause three-month mortality were 26.4% and 4.1% respectively ($P < 0.001$). Among over 35 000 patients with VTE, cancer was the strongest independent risk factor for both all-cause and PE-related mortality.²⁰ The worse outcome is due to the increased bleeding risk during anticoagulation therapy and to the high rate of recurrence of VTE.^{450–454}

The risk of recurrence of PE in cancer was recently assessed in a cohort study of 543 patients and was validated in an independent set of 819 patients.⁴⁵³ A suggested score predicting risk of recurrence included breast cancer (minus 1 point), tumour node metastasis Stage I or II (minus 1 point), female sex, lung cancer, and previous VTE (plus 1 point each). Patients with a score ≤ 0 were at low risk ($\leq 4.5\%$) and those with a score > 1 were at high risk ($\geq 19\%$) of recurrence of VTE.⁴⁵³ The score may assist in making individually tailored decisions regarding the duration of anticoagulant treatment.

8.2.3 Management of pulmonary embolism in patients with cancer

When selecting the mode of anticoagulation in patients with cancer and acute PE, LMWH administered in the acute phase (except for high-risk PE) and continued over the first 3–6 months should be considered as first-line therapy. However, this strategy is based largely on the results of a single trial with an observed 50% reduction in the rate of recurrence of VTE without increased bleeding risk, as compared with the early transition from heparin to VKA.^{376,377} Evidence

regarding treatment of cancer-related PE with fondaparinux and the new oral anticoagulants is limited.

Chronic anticoagulation may consist of continuation of LMWH, transition to VKA, or discontinuation of anticoagulation. The decisions should be made on a case-by-case basis after considering the success of anti-cancer therapy, the estimated risk of recurrence of VTE, the bleeding risk, and the preference of the patient. Periodic reassessment of the risk–benefit ratio of chronic anticoagulant treatment is a reasonable strategy.

Recurrence of VTE in cancer patients on VKA or LMWH therapy may be managed by changing to the highest permitted dose of LMWH or opting for *vena cava* filter placement.⁴⁵⁵ Venous filters should primarily be considered when anticoagulation is impossible due to haemorrhage; however, the risk of filter thrombosis in the absence of anticoagulation may be particularly high in cancer patients. In a recent prospective, randomized trial in cancer patients with DVT or PE, no clinical advantage was found in placement of a *vena cava* filter in addition to anticoagulation with fondaparinux.⁴⁵⁶

8.2.4 Occult cancer presenting as unprovoked pulmonary embolism

Approximately 10% of patients presenting with unprovoked PE will develop cancer within the next 5–10 years, with the majority of cases appearing in the first 1–2 years after diagnosis of PE.⁴⁵⁷ Recently, Sorensen *et al.* reported that cancer will emerge with a similarly high incidence after unprovoked VTE and after that provoked by surgery, but more often than after post-traumatic VTE.⁴⁵⁸ The evidence supporting screening for occult cancer after unprovoked VTE is inconclusive. Di Nisio *et al.* recommended, as the most effective and least harmful approach for such patients, a screening strategy of performing pelvic and abdominal CT combined with mammography and sputum cytology.⁴⁵⁹ However, when such an extensive screening strategy was compared with basic clinical evaluation, no benefit was found in terms of 5-year survival.⁴⁶⁰ Therefore, the search for occult cancer after an episode of VTE may be restricted to careful history, physical examination, basic laboratory tests, and a chest X-ray.^{461,462}

Recommendations for pulmonary embolism in cancer

Recommendations	Class ^a	Level ^b	Ref ^c
Incidental PE in patients with cancer should be managed in the same manner as symptomatic PE.	Ila	C	447–449, 463
Negative D-dimer levels have the same negative diagnostic value as in non-cancer patients.	Ila	B	98, 443
For patients with PE and cancer, weight-adjusted subcutaneous LMWH should be considered for the first 3–6 months.	Ila	B	278, 376, 377
For patients with PE and cancer, extended anticoagulation (beyond the first 3–6 months) should be considered for an indefinite period or until the cancer is cured.	Ila	C	

LMWH = low molecular weight heparin; PE = pulmonary embolism.

^aClass of recommendation.

^bLevel of evidence.

^cReferences.

8.3 Non-thrombotic pulmonary embolism

Different cell types can cause non-thrombotic embolization, including adipocytes, haematopoietic, amniotic, trophoblastic, and tumour cells. In addition, bacteria, fungi, parasites, foreign materials, and gas can lead to PE. Symptoms are similar to those of acute VTE and include dyspnoea, tachycardia, chest pain, cough, and occasionally haemoptysis, cyanosis, and syncope.

Diagnosis of non-thrombotic PE can be a challenge.⁴⁶⁴ In the case of small particles, microemboli cannot be detected on CT images. An overview of typical imaging findings for the various types of non-thrombotic PE has been provided.⁴⁶⁵ Given the rarity of this disease, clinical evidence is limited and mainly based on small case series.

8.3.1 Septic embolism

Septic embolism to the pulmonary circulation is a relatively rare clinical event and is commonly associated with right-sided endocarditis. Risk factors include intravenous drug abuse and infected indwelling catheters or pacemaker wires. Other causes include septic thrombophlebitis from the tonsils and the jugular, dental, and pelvic regions. The diagnosis is based on identifying the source of septic emboli, positive blood culture tests, and chest X-ray or CT after considering the clinical context. Although *Staphylococcus aureus* is the most common bacterial pathogen, the increasing number of immunocompromised patients—and those with indwelling catheters and vascular prostheses—leads to a rise in the incidence of anaerobic gram positive and -negative bacteria, bacterioide species, and fungi.⁴⁶⁶ Specific treatment of the responsible bacterial or fungal micro-organism is necessary.

8.3.2 Foreign-material pulmonary embolism

The increasing use of interventional techniques in modern medicine has drastically increased the incidence of foreign-material PE.⁴⁶⁷ Examples of foreign material include silicone, broken catheters, guide wires, *vena cava* filters, coils for embolization, and endovascular stent components. If possible, intravascular foreign objects should be removed, since the material may cause further thrombosis and sepsis.

8.3.3 Fat embolism

Embolization of fat occurs in almost all patients with pelvic or long-bone fractures and in those undergoing endomedullary nailing or placement of knee and hip prostheses, but also during lipid and propofol infusion, intra-osseous infusion and bone marrow harvest, and in sickle cell disease, fatty liver disease, pancreatitis, and after liposuction. Pulmonary involvement is not only due to vascular obstruction but also to the release of substances triggering an inflammatory cascade, thus explaining why some patients with fat embolism develop acute respiratory distress syndrome.⁴⁶⁸

The classical triad of fat embolization is characterized by altered mental status, respiratory distress, and petechial rash occurring typically 12–36 hours after injury. Fat globules can be found in blood, urine, sputum, broncho-alveolar lavage, and cerebrospinal fluid.⁴⁶⁹ In most cases the condition is self-limiting. Treatment should be supportive. Although the successful use of high doses of methyl prednisolone has been reported in humans, along with the positive effects of phorbol myristate acetate and sivelestat in animals, there is no evidence that these drugs alter the course of the disease.⁴⁷⁰

8.3.4 Air embolism

Although air embolism can occur in both the venous and arterial systems, venous emboli are more common. Venous air embolization is often an iatrogenic complication of the manipulation of central venous and haemodialysis catheters. The lethal volume of air after injection in humans is estimated to range from 100 to 500 mL.⁴⁷¹ The major effect of venous air embolism is the obstruction of the right ventricular outflow tract, or of the pulmonary arterioles, by a mixture of air bubbles and fibrin. Although the diagnosis can be made by X-ray or echocardiography, CT scanning may be the most sensitive diagnostic test, showing a unique picture of round or mirror-shaped densities localized ventrally in the supine patient.⁴⁶⁵ Treatment includes maintenance of the circulation, prevention of further entry of gas, and volume expansion. The patient should be placed in the left lateral decubitus position to prevent right ventricular outflow obstruction by airlock.⁴⁷² In the case of large amounts of central air, aspiration with the use of a central venous catheter might be an option. Administration of up to 100% oxygen can decrease bubble size by establishing a diffusion gradient that favours elimination of the gas.⁴⁷¹

8.3.5 Amniotic fluid embolism

Amniotic fluid embolism is a rare but catastrophic complication unique to pregnancy. Estimated incidences, obtained through validated case identification, range between 1.9 and 2.5 cases per 100 000 maternities.⁴⁷³ The most likely mechanism is that amniotic fluid is forced into the uterine veins during normal labour or when the placenta is disrupted by surgery or trauma. As a consequence, pulmonary vessels are obstructed by cell groups and meconium, and an inflammatory reaction occurs due to the release of active metabolites. The majority of patients develop seizures. Some patients are diagnosed with pulmonary oedema and acute respiratory distress syndrome later in the course of the event. Mortality is high—up to 21%, even in recent cohort studies.⁴⁷³ Management should be supportive.

8.3.6 Tumour embolism

Pulmonary intravascular tumour emboli are seen in up to 26% of autopsies of patients with solid malignancies, although the diagnosis is rarely made before death.⁴⁷⁴ Carcinoma of the prostate gland, digestive system, liver, and breast is most commonly implicated. Radiologically, tumour microembolism may mimic many lung conditions, including pneumonia, tuberculosis, and interstitial lung disease,

whereas tumour macroembolism is indistinguishable from VTE. Treatment should target the underlying malignant disease.

9. Appendix

ESC National Cardiac Societies actively involved in the review process of the 2014 ESC Guidelines on the diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism

Austria, Austrian Society of Cardiology, Nika Skoro-Sajer – **Azerbaijan**, Azerbaijan Society of Cardiology, Ruslan Najafov – **Belarus**, Belorussian Scientific Society of Cardiologists, Svetlana Sudzhaeva – **Belgium**, Belgian Society of Cardiology, Michel De Pauw – **Bosnia and Herzegovina**, Association of Cardiologists of Bosnia & Herzegovina, Fahir Baraković – **Bulgaria**, Bulgarian Society of Cardiology, Mariya Tokmakova – **Croatia**, Croatian Cardiac Society, Bosko Skoric – **Czech Republic**, Czech Society of Cardiology, Richard Rokyta – **Denmark**, Danish Society of Cardiology, Morten Lock Hansen – **Estonia**, Estonian Society of Cardiology, Märt Elmet – **Finland**, Finnish Cardiac Society, Veli-Pekka Harjola – **France**, French Society of Cardiology, Guy Meyer – **Georgia**, Georgian Society of Cardiology, Archil Chukhrukidze – **Germany**, German Cardiac Society, Stephan Rosenkranz – **Greece**, Hellenic Cardiological Society, Aristides Androulakis – **Hungary**, Hungarian Society of Cardiology, Tamás Forster – **Italy**, Italian Federation of Cardiology, Francesco Fedele – **Kyrgyzstan**, Kyrgyz Society of Cardiology, Talant Sooronbaev – **Latvia**, Latvian Society of Cardiology, Aija Maca – **Lithuania**, Lithuanian Society of Cardiology, Egle Ereminiene – **Malta**, Maltese Cardiac Society, Josef Micallef – **Norway**, Norwegian Society of Cardiology, Arne Andreasen – **Poland**, Polish Cardiac Society, Marcin Kurzyna – **Portugal**, Portuguese Society of Cardiology, Daniel Ferreira – **Romania**, Romanian Society of Cardiology, Antoniu Octavian Petris – **Russia**, Russian Society of Cardiology, Sergey Dzemeshkevich – **Serbia**, Cardiology Society of Serbia, Milika Asanin – **Slovakia**, Slovak Society of Cardiology, Iveta Šimkova – **Spain**, Spanish Society of Cardiology, Manuel Anguita – **Sweden**, Swedish Society of Cardiology, Christina Christersson – **The Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia**, Macedonian FYR Society of Cardiology, Nela Kostova – **Tunisia**, Tunisian Society of Cardiology and Cardio-Vascular Surgery, Hedi Baccar – **Turkey**, Turkish Society of Cardiology, Leyla Elif Sade – **Ukraine**, Ukrainian Association of Cardiology, Alexander Parkhomenko – **United Kingdom**, British Cardiovascular Society, Joanna Pepke-Zaba



The CME text '2014 ESC Guidelines on diagnosis and management of acute pulmonary embolism' is accredited by the European Board for Accreditation in Cardiology (EBAC). EBAC works according to the quality standards of the European Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (EACCME), which is an institution of the European Union of Medical Specialists (UEMS). In compliance with EBAC/EACCME Guidelines, all authors participating in this programme have disclosed any potential conflicts of interest that might cause a bias in the article. The Organizing Committee is responsible for ensuring that all potential conflicts of interest relevant to the programme are declared to the participants prior to the CME activities.

CME questions for this article are available at: European Heart Journal <http://www.oxforde-learning.com/eurheartj> and European Society of Cardiology <http://www.escardio.org/guidelines>.

References

1. Heit JA. The epidemiology of venous thromboembolism in the community. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2008;**28**(3):370–372.
2. Cohen AT, Agnelli G, Anderson FA, Arcelus JJ, Bergqvist D, Brecht JG, Greer IA, Heit JA, Hutchinson JL, Kakkar AK, Mottier D, Oger E, Samama MM, Spannagl M.

Venous thromboembolism (VTE) in Europe. The number of VTE events and associated morbidity and mortality. *Thromb Haemost* 2007;**98**(4):756–764.

3. Klok FA, van Kralingen KW, van Dijk AP, Heyning FH, Vliegen HW, Kaptein AA, Huisman MV. Quality of life in long-term survivors of acute pulmonary embolism. *Chest* 2010;**138**(6):1432–1440.

4. Bonderman D, Wilkens H, Wakounig S, Schäfers HJ, Jansa P, Lindner J, Simkova I, Martischinig AM, Dudczak J, Sadushi R, Skoro-Sajer N, Klepetko W, Lang IM. Risk factors for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Respir J* 2009; **33**(2):325–331.
5. Condliffe R, Kiely DG, Gibbs JS, Corris PA, Peacock AJ, Jenkins DP, Goldsmith K, Coghlan JG, Pepke-Zaba J. Prognostic and aetiological factors in chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Respir J* 2009; **33**(2):332–338.
6. Fanikos J, Piazza G, Zayaruzny M, Goldhaber SZ. Long-term complications of medical patients with hospital-acquired venous thromboembolism. *Thromb Haemost* 2009; **102**(4):688–693.
7. Stein PD, Henry JW. Prevalence of acute pulmonary embolism among patients in a general hospital and at autopsy. *Chest* 1995; **108**(4):978–981.
8. Heit JA III, Silverstein MD, Mohr DN, Petterson TM, O'Fallon WM, Melton LJ III. Risk factors for deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism: a population-based case-control study. *Arch Intern Med* 2000; **160**(6):809–815.
9. Anderson FA Jr, Spencer FA. Risk factors for venous thromboembolism. *Circulation* 2003; **107**(23 Suppl 1):I9–I16.
10. Biss TT, Brandão LR, Kahr WH, Chan AK, Williams S. Clinical features and outcome of pulmonary embolism in children *Br J Haematol* 2008; **142**(5):808–818.
11. Andrew M, David M, Adams M, Ali K, Anderson R, Barnard D, Bernstein M, Brisson L, Cairney B, DeSai D, Grant R, Israels S, Jardine L, Luke B, Massicotte P, Silva M. Venous thromboembolic complications (VTE) in children: first analyses of the Canadian Registry of VTE. *Blood* 1994; **83**(5):1251–1257.
12. Stein PD, Kayali F, Olson RE. Incidence of venous thromboembolism in infants and children: data from the National Hospital Discharge Survey. *J Pediatr* 2004; **145**(4):563–565.
13. van Ommen CH, Heijboer H, Büller HR, Hirasig RA, Heijmans HS, Peters M. Venous thromboembolism in childhood: a prospective two-year registry in The Netherlands. *J Pediatr* 2001; **139**(5):676–681.
14. Kearon C, Akl EA. Duration of anticoagulant therapy for deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. *Blood* 2014; **123**(12):1794–1801.
15. Rogers MA, Levine DA, Blumberg N, Flanders SA, Chopra V, Langa KM. Triggers of hospitalization for venous thromboembolism. *Circulation* 2012; **125**(17):2092–2099.
16. Ku GH, White RH, Chew HK, Harvey DJ, Zhou H, Wun T. Venous thromboembolism in patients with acute leukemia: incidence, risk factors, and effect on survival. *Blood* 2009; **113**(17):3911–3917.
17. Chew HK, Wun T, Harvey D, Zhou H, White RH. Incidence of venous thromboembolism and its effect on survival among patients with common cancers. *Arch Intern Med* 2006; **166**(4):458–464.
18. Blom JW, Doggen CJ, Osanto S, Rosendaal FR. Malignancies, prothrombotic mutations, and the risk of venous thrombosis. *JAMA* 2005; **293**(6):715–722.
19. Timp JF, Braekkan SK, Versteeg HH, Cannegieter SC. Epidemiology of cancer-associated venous thrombosis. *Blood* 2013; **122**(10):1712–1723.
20. Gussoni G, Frasson S, La Regina M, Di Micco P, Monreal M. Three-month mortality rate and clinical predictors in patients with venous thromboembolism and cancer. Findings from the RIETE registry. *Thromb Res* 2013; **131**(1):24–30.
21. Blanco-Molina A, Rota LL, Di Micco P, Brenner B, Trujillo-Santos J, Ruiz-Gamietea A, Monreal M. Venous thromboembolism during pregnancy, postpartum or during contraceptive use. *Thromb Haemost* 2010; **103**(2):306–311.
22. Blanco-Molina A, Trujillo-Santos J, Tirado R, Cañas I, Riera A, Valdés M, Monreal M. Venous thromboembolism in women using hormonal contraceptives. Findings from the RIETE Registry. *Thromb Haemost* 2009; **101**(3):478–482.
23. Pomp ER, Lenselink AM, Rosendaal FR, Doggen CJ. Pregnancy, the postpartum period and prothrombotic defects: risk of venous thrombosis in the MEGA study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2008; **6**(4):632–637.
24. Henriksson P, Westerlund E, Wallén H, Brandt L, Hovatta O, Ekblom A. Incidence of pulmonary and venous thromboembolism in pregnancies after *in vitro* fertilisation: cross sectional study. *BMJ* 2013; **346**:e8632.
25. Sweetland S, Beral V, Balkwill A, Liu B, Benson VS, Canonico M, Green J, Reeves GK. Venous thromboembolism risk in relation to use of different types of postmenopausal hormone therapy in a large prospective study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2012; **10**(11):2277–2286.
26. Clayton TC, Gaskin M, Meade TW. Recent respiratory infection and risk of venous thromboembolism: case-control study through a general practice database. *Int J Epidemiol* 2011; **40**(3):819–827.
27. Smeeth L, Cook C, Thomas S, Hall AJ, Hubbard R, Vallance P. Risk of deep vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism after acute infection in a community setting. *Lancet* 2006; **367**(9516):1075–1079.
28. Khorana AA, Kuderer NM, Culakova E, Lyman GH, Francis CW. Development and validation of a predictive model for chemotherapy-associated thrombosis. *Blood* 2008; **111**(10):4902–4907.
29. Dijk FN, Curtin J, Lord D, Fitzgerald DA. Pulmonary embolism in children. *Paediatr Respir Rev* 2012; **13**(2):112–122.
30. Piazza G, Goldhaber SZ. Venous thromboembolism and atherothrombosis: an integrated approach. *Circulation* 2010; **121**(19):2146–2150.
31. Severinsen MT, Kristensen SR, Johnsen SP, Dethlefsen C, Tjønneland A, Overvad K. Smoking and venous thromboembolism: a Danish follow-up study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2009; **7**(8):1297–1303.
32. Steffen LM, Cushman M, Peacock JM, Heckbert SR, Jacobs DR Jr, Rosamond WD, Folsom AR. Metabolic syndrome and risk of venous thromboembolism: Longitudinal Investigation of Thromboembolism Etiology. *J Thromb Haemost* 2009; **7**(5):746–751.
33. Ageno W, Becattini C, Brighton T, Selby R, Kamphuisen PW. Cardiovascular risk factors and venous thromboembolism: a meta-analysis. *Circulation* 2008; **117**(1):93–102.
34. Montecucco F, Mach F. Should we focus on “venous vulnerability” instead of “plaque vulnerability” in symptomatic atherosclerotic patients? *Thromb Haemost* 2011; **106**(6):995–996.
35. Piazza G, Goldhaber SZ, Lessard DM, Goldberg RJ, Emery C, Spencer FA. Venous thromboembolism in patients with symptomatic atherosclerosis. *Thromb Haemost* 2011; **106**(6):1095–1102.
36. Greslele P, Momi S, Migliacci R. Endothelium, venous thromboembolism and ischaemic cardiovascular events. *Thromb Haemost* 2010; **103**(1):56–61.
37. Fox EA, Kahn SR. The relationship between inflammation and venous thrombosis. A systematic review of clinical studies. *Thromb Haemost* 2005; **94**(2):362–365.
38. Enga KF, Braekkan SK, Hansen-Krone IJ, Le Cessie S, Rosendaal FR, Hansen JB. Cigarette smoking and the risk of venous thromboembolism: the Tromsø Study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2012; **10**(10):2068–2074.
39. Wattanakit K, Lutsey PL, Bell EJ, Gornik H, Cushman M, Heckbert SR, Rosamond WD, Folsom AR. Association between cardiovascular disease risk factors and occurrence of venous thromboembolism. A time-dependent analysis. *Thromb Haemost* 2012; **108**(3):508–515.
40. Sørensen HT, Horvath-Puho E, Lash TL, Christiansen CF, Pesavento R, Pedersen L, Baron JA, Prandoni P. Heart disease may be a risk factor for pulmonary embolism without peripheral deep venous thrombosis. *Circulation* 2011; **124**(13):1435–1441.
41. Prandoni P, Pesavento R, Sorensen HT, Genaro N, Dalla VF, Minotto I, Perina F, Pengo V, Pagnan A. Prevalence of heart diseases in patients with pulmonary embolism with and without peripheral venous thrombosis: findings from a cross-sectional survey. *Eur J Intern Med* 2009; **20**(5):470–473.
42. Sorensen HT, Horvath-Puho E, Pedersen L, Baron JA, Prandoni P. Venous thromboembolism and subsequent hospitalisation due to acute arterial cardiovascular events: a 20-year cohort study. *Lancet* 2007; **370**(9601):1773–1779.
43. Kakkar VV, Howe CT, Flanc C, Clarke MB. Natural history of postoperative deep-vein thrombosis. *Lancet* 1969; **2**(7614):230–232.
44. Dalen JE. Pulmonary embolism: what have we learned since Virchow? Natural history, pathophysiology, and diagnosis. *Chest* 2002; **122**(4):1440–1456.
45. Kearon C. Natural history of venous thromboembolism. *Circulation* 2003; **107**(23 Suppl 1):I22–I30.
46. Aujesky D, Obrosky DS, Stone RA, Auble TE, Perrier A, Cornuz J, Roy PM, Fine MJ. A prediction rule to identify low-risk patients with pulmonary embolism. *Arch Intern Med* 2006; **166**(2):169–175.
47. Laporte S, Mismetti P, Décousus H, Uresandi F, Otero R, Lobo JL, Monreal M. Clinical predictors for fatal pulmonary embolism in 15,520 patients with venous thromboembolism: findings from the Registro Informatizado de la Enfermedad TromboEmbolica venosa (RIETE) Registry. *Circulation* 2008; **117**(13):1711–1716.
48. Goldhaber SZ, Visani L, De Rosa M. Acute pulmonary embolism: clinical outcomes in the International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registry (ICOPE). *Lancet* 1999; **353**(9162):1386–1389.
49. Miniati M, Monti S, Bottai M, Scoscia E, Bauleo C, Tonelli L, Dainelli A, Giuntini C. Survival and restoration of pulmonary perfusion in a long-term follow-up of patients after acute pulmonary embolism. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2006; **85**(5):253–262.
50. Cosmi B, Nijkeuter M, Valentino M, Huisman MV, Barozzi L, Palareti G. Residual emboli on lung perfusion scan or multidetector computed tomography after a first episode of acute pulmonary embolism. *Intern Emerg Med* 2011; **6**(6):521–528.
51. Sanchez O, Helley D, Couchon S, Roux A, Delaval A, Trinquent L, Collignon MA, Fischer AM, Meyer G. Perfusion defects after pulmonary embolism: risk factors and clinical significance. *J Thromb Haemost* 2010; **8**(6):1248–1255.
52. Becattini C, Agnelli G, Pesavento R, Silingardi M, Poggio R, Taliani MR, Ageno W. Incidence of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension after a first episode of pulmonary embolism. *Chest* 2006; **130**(1):172–175.
53. Pengo V, Lensing AW, Prins MH, Marchiori A, Davidson BL, Tiozzo F, Albanese P, Biasiolo A, Pegoraro C, Iliceto S, Prandoni P. Incidence of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension after pulmonary embolism. *N Engl J Med* 2004; **350**(22):2257–2264.
54. Kyrle PA, Rosendaal FR, Eichinger S. Risk assessment for recurrent venous thrombosis. *Lancet* 2010; **376**(9757):2032–2039.

55. Zhu T, Martinez I, Emmerich J. Venous thromboembolism: risk factors for recurrence. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2009;**29**(3):298–310.
56. Heit JA. Predicting the risk of venous thromboembolism recurrence. *Am J Hematol* 2012;**87** Suppl 1:S63–S67.
57. Heit JA, Lahr BD, Petterson TM, Bailey KR, Ashrani AA, Melton LJ III. Heparin and warfarin anticoagulation intensity as predictors of recurrence after deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism: a population-based cohort study. *Blood* 2011;**118**(18):4992–4999.
58. Iorio A, Kearon C, Filippucci E, Marcucci M, Macura A, Pengo V, Siragusa S, Palareti G. Risk of recurrence after a first episode of symptomatic venous thromboembolism provoked by a transient risk factor: a systematic review. *Arch Intern Med* 2010;**170**(19):1710–1716.
59. Douketis J, Tosetto A, Marcucci M, Baglin T, Cosmi B, Cushman M, Kyrle P, Poli D, Tait RC, Iorio A. Risk of recurrence after venous thromboembolism in men and women: patient level meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2011;**342**:d813.
60. Lijfering WM, Veeger NJ, Middeldorp S, Hamulyák K, Prins MH, Büller HR, van der Meer J. A lower risk of recurrent venous thrombosis in women compared with men is explained by sex-specific risk factors at time of first venous thrombosis in thrombophilic families. *Blood* 2009;**114**(10):2031–2036.
61. Cosmi B, Legnani C, Tosetto A, Pengo V, Ghirarduzzi A, Testa S, Prisco D, Poli D, Tripodi A, Marongiu F, Palareti G. Usefulness of repeated D-dimer testing after stopping anticoagulation for a first episode of unprovoked venous thromboembolism: the PROLONG II prospective study. *Blood* 2010;**115**(3):481–488.
62. Rodger MA, Kahn SR, Wells PS, Anderson DA, Chagnon I, Le GG, Solymoss S, Crowther M, Perrier A, White R, Vickars L, Ramsay T, Betancourt MT, Kovacs MJ. Identifying unprovoked thromboembolism patients at low risk for recurrence who can discontinue anticoagulant therapy. *CMAJ* 2008;**179**(5):417–426.
63. Eichinger S, Minar E, Bialonczyk C, Hirschl M, Quehenberger P, Schneider B, Weltermann A, Wagner O, Kyrle PA. D-dimer levels and risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism. *JAMA* 2003;**290**(8):1071–1074.
64. Coppens M, Reijnders JH, Middeldorp S, Doggen CJ, Rosendaal FR. Testing for inherited thrombophilia does not reduce the recurrence of venous thrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2008;**6**(9):1474–1477.
65. Kearon C, Julian JA, Kovacs MJ, Anderson DR, Wells P, Mackinnon B, Weitz JI, Crowther MA, Dolan S, Turpie AG, Geerts W, Solymoss S, van Nguyen P, Demers C, Kahn SR, Kassis J, Rodger M, Hambleton J, Gent M, Ginsberg JS. Influence of thrombophilia on risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism while on warfarin: results from a randomized trial. *Blood* 2008;**112**(12):4432–4436.
66. McIntyre KM, Sasahara AA. The hemodynamic response to pulmonary embolism in patients without prior cardiopulmonary disease. *Am J Cardiol* 1971;**28**(3):288–294.
67. Smulders YM. Pathophysiology and treatment of haemodynamic instability in acute pulmonary embolism: the pivotal role of pulmonary vasoconstriction. *Cardiovasc Res* 2000;**48**(1):23–33.
68. Delcroix M, Mélot C, Lejeune P, Leeman M, Naeije R. Effects of vasodilators on gas exchange in acute canine embolic pulmonary hypertension. *Anesthesiology* 1990;**72**(1):77–84.
69. Huet Y, Brun-Buisson C, Lemaire F, Teisseire B, Lhoste F, Rapin M. Cardiopulmonary effects of ketanserin infusion in human pulmonary embolism. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1987;**135**(1):114–117.
70. Lankhaar JW, Westerhof N, Faes TJ, Marques KM, Marcus JT, Postmus PE, Vonk-Noordegraaf A. Quantification of right ventricular afterload in patients with and without pulmonary hypertension. *Am J Physiol Heart Circ Physiol* 2006;**291**(4):H1731–H1737.
71. Molloy WD, Lee KY, Girling L, Schick U, Prewitt RM. Treatment of shock in a canine model of pulmonary embolism. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1984;**130**(5):870–874.
72. Marcus JT, Gan CT, Zwanenburg JJ, Boonstra A, Allaart CP, Götte MJ, Vonk-Noordegraaf A. Interventricular mechanical asynchrony in pulmonary arterial hypertension: left-to-right delay in peak shortening is related to right ventricular overload and left ventricular underfilling. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;**51**(7):750–757.
73. Mauritz GJ, Marcus JT, Westerhof N, Postmus PE, Vonk-Noordegraaf A. Prolonged right ventricular post-systolic isovolumic period in pulmonary arterial hypertension is not a reflection of diastolic dysfunction. *Heart* 2011;**97**(6):473–478.
74. Begieneman MP, van de Goot FR, van der Bilt IA, Vonk-Noordegraaf A, Spreeuwenberg MD, Paulus WJ, van Hinsbergh VV, Visser FC, Niessen HW. Pulmonary embolism causes endomyocarditis in the human heart. *Heart* 2008;**94**(4):450–456.
75. Hull RD, Raskob GE, Hirsh J, Jay RM, Leclerc JR, Geerts WH, Rosenbloom D, Sackett DL, Anderson C, Harrison L. Continuous intravenous heparin compared with intermittent subcutaneous heparin in the initial treatment of proximal-vein thrombosis. *N Engl J Med* 1986;**315**(18):1109–1114.
76. Lankeit M, Jiménez D, Kostrubiec M, Dellas C, Hasenfuss G, Pruszczyk P, Konstantinides S. Predictive value of the high-sensitivity troponin T assay and the simplified pulmonary embolism severity index in hemodynamically stable patients with acute pulmonary embolism: a prospective validation study. *Circulation* 2011;**124**(24):2716–2724.
77. Lankeit M, Kempf T, Dellas C, Cuny M, Tapken H, Peter T, Olschewski M, Konstantinides S, Wollert KC. Growth differentiation factor-15 for prognostic assessment of patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;**177**(9):1018–1025.
78. Mehta NJ, Jani K, Khan IA. Clinical usefulness and prognostic value of elevated cardiac troponin I levels in acute pulmonary embolism. *Am Heart J* 2003;**145**(5):821–825.
79. Burrowes KS, Clark AR, Tawhai MH. Blood flow redistribution and ventilation-perfusion mismatch during embolic pulmonary arterial occlusion. *Pulm Circ* 2011;**1**(3):365–376.
80. Konstantinides S, Geibel A, Kasper W, Olschewski M, Blümel L, Just H. Patent foramen ovale is an important predictor of adverse outcome in patients with major pulmonary embolism [see comments]. *Circulation* 1998;**97**(19):1946–1951.
81. Miniati M, Prediletto R, Formichi B, Marini C, Di Ricco G, Tonelli L, Allesscia G, Pistolesi M. Accuracy of clinical assessment in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999;**159**(3):864–871.
82. Pollack CV, Schreiber D, Goldhaber SZ, Slattery D, Fanikos J, O'Neil BJ, Thompson JR, Hiestand B, Briese BA, Pendleton RC, Miller CD, Kline JA. Clinical characteristics, management, and outcomes of patients diagnosed with acute pulmonary embolism in the emergency department: initial report of EMPEROR (Multi-center Emergency Medicine Pulmonary Embolism in the Real World Registry). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2011;**57**(6):700–706.
83. Wells PS, Ginsberg JS, Anderson DR, Kearon C, Gent M, Turpie AG, Bormanis J, Weitz J, Chamberlain M, Bowie D, Barnes D, Hirsh J. Use of a clinical model for safe management of patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;**129**(12):997–1005.
84. Thames MD, Alpert JS, Dalen JE. Syncope in patients with pulmonary embolism. *JAMA* 1977;**238**(23):2509–2511.
85. Stein PD, Henry JW. Clinical characteristics of patients with acute pulmonary embolism stratified according to their presenting syndromes. *Chest* 1997;**112**(4):974–979.
86. White RH. The epidemiology of venous thromboembolism. *Circulation* 2003;**107**(23 Suppl 1):I4–I8.
87. Rodger MA, Carrier M, Jones GN, Rasuli P, Raymond F, Djunaedi H, Wells PS. Diagnostic Value of Arterial Blood Gas Measurement in Suspected Pulmonary Embolism. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000;**162**(6):2105–2108.
88. Stein PD, Goldhaber SZ, Henry JW, Miller AC. Arterial blood gas analysis in the assessment of suspected acute pulmonary embolism. *Chest* 1996;**109**(1):78–81.
89. Elliott CG, Goldhaber SZ, Visani L, DeRosa M. Chest radiographs in acute pulmonary embolism. Results from the International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registry. *Chest* 2000;**118**(1):33–38.
90. Geibel A, Zehender M, Kasper W, Olschewski M, Klima C, Konstantinides SV. Prognostic value of the ECG on admission in patients with acute major pulmonary embolism. *Eur Respir J* 2005;**25**(5):843–848.
91. Miniati M, Pistolesi M, Marini C, Di Ricco G, Formichi B, Prediletto R, Allesscia G, Tonelli L, Sostman HD, Giuntini C. Value of perfusion lung scan in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism: results of the Prospective Investigative Study of Acute Pulmonary Embolism Diagnosis (PISA-PED). *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1996;**154**(5):1387–1393.
92. Musset D, Parent F, Meyer G, Maître S, Girard P, Leroyer C, Revel MP, Carette MF, Laurent M, Charbonnier B, Laurent F, Mal H, Nonent M, Lancar R, Grenier P, Simonneau G. Diagnostic strategy for patients with suspected pulmonary embolism: a prospective multicentre outcome study. *Lancet* 2002;**360**(9349):1914–1920.
93. Le Gal G, Righini M, Roy PM, Sanchez O, Aujesky D, Bounameaux H, Perrier A. Prediction of pulmonary embolism in the emergency department: the revised Geneva score. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;**144**(3):165–171.
94. PIOPED Investigators. Value of the ventilation/perfusion scan in acute pulmonary embolism. Results of the prospective investigation of pulmonary embolism diagnosis (PIOPED). *JAMA* 1990;**263**(20):2753–2759.
95. Wells PS, Anderson DR, Rodger M, Ginsberg JS, Kearon C, Gent M, Turpie AG, Bormanis J, Weitz J, Chamberlain M, Bowie D, Barnes D, Hirsh J. Derivation of a simple clinical model to categorize patients probability of pulmonary embolism: increasing the models utility with the SimpliRED D-dimer. *Thromb Haemost* 2000;**83**(3):416–420.
96. Anderson DR, Kovacs MJ, Dennie C, Kovacs G, Stiell I, Dreyer J, McCarron B, Pleasance S, Burton E, Cartier Y, Wells PS. Use of spiral computed tomography contrast angiography and ultrasonography to exclude the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism in the emergency department. *J Emerg Med* 2005;**29**(4):399–404.
97. Kearon C, Ginsberg JS, Douketis J, Turpie AG, Bates SM, Lee AY, Crowther MA, Weitz JI, Brill-Edwards P, Wells P, Anderson DR, Kovacs MJ, Linkins LA, Julian JA, Bonilla LR, Gent M. An evaluation of D-dimer in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;**144**(11):812–821.

98. Söhne M, Kamphuisen PW, van Mierlo PJ, Büller HR. Diagnostic strategy using a modified clinical decision rule and D-dimer test to rule out pulmonary embolism in elderly in- and outpatients. *Thromb Haemost* 2005;**94**(1):206–210.
99. van Belle A, Büller HR, Huisman MV, Huisman PM, Kaasjager K, Kamphuisen PW, Kramer MH, Kruij MJ, Kwakkel-van Erp JM, Leebeek FW, Nijkeuter M, Prins MH, Sohne M, Tick LW. Effectiveness of managing suspected pulmonary embolism using an algorithm combining clinical probability, D-dimer testing, and computed tomography. *JAMA* 2006;**295**(2):172–179.
100. Wells PS, Anderson DR, Rodger M, Stiell I, Dreyer JF, Barnes D, Forgie M, Kovacs G, Ward J, Kovacs MJ. Excluding pulmonary embolism at the bedside without diagnostic imaging: management of patients with suspected pulmonary embolism presenting to the emergency department by using a simple clinical model and d-dimer. *Ann Intern Med* 2001;**135**(2):98–107.
101. Rodger MA, Maser E, Stiell I, Howley HE, Wells PS. The interobserver reliability of pretest probability assessment in patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Res* 2005;**116**(2):101–107.
102. Runyon MS, Webb WB, Jones AE, Kline JA. Comparison of the unstructured clinician estimate of pretest probability for pulmonary embolism to the Canadian score and the Charlotte rule: a prospective observational study. *Acad Emerg Med* 2005;**12**(7):587–593.
103. Wolf SJ, McCubbin TR, Feldhaus KM, Faragher JP, Adcock DM. Prospective validation of Wells Criteria in the evaluation of patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *Ann Emerg Med* 2004;**44**(5):503–510.
104. Ceriani E, Combesure C, Le Gal G, Nendaz M, Perneger T, Bounameaux H, Perrier A, Righini M. Clinical prediction rules for pulmonary embolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2010;**8**(5):957–970.
105. Douma RA, Mos IC, Erkens PM, Nizet TA, Durian MF, Hovens MM, van Houten AA, Hofstee HM, Klok FA, ten Cate H, Ullmann EF, Büller HR, Kamphuisen PW, Huisman MV. Performance of 4 clinical decision rules in the diagnostic management of acute pulmonary embolism: a prospective cohort study. *Ann Intern Med* 2011;**154**(11):709–718.
106. Lucassen W, Geersing GJ, Erkens PM, Reitsma JB, Moons KG, Büller H, van Weert HC. Clinical decision rules for excluding pulmonary embolism: a meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 2011;**155**(7):448–460.
107. Gibson NS, Sohne M, Kruij MJ, Tick LW, Gerdes VE, Bossuyt PM, Wells PS, Büller HR. Further validation and simplification of the Wells clinical decision rule in pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Haemost* 2008;**99**(1):229–234.
108. Klok FA, Mos IC, Nijkeuter M, Righini M, Perrier A, Le Gal G, Huisman MV. Simplification of the revised Geneva score for assessing clinical probability of pulmonary embolism. *Arch Intern Med* 2008;**168**(19):2131–2136.
109. Douma RA, Gibson NS, Gerdes VE, Büller HR, Wells PS, Perrier A, Le Gal G. Validity and clinical utility of the simplified Wells rule for assessing clinical probability for the exclusion of pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Haemost* 2009;**101**(1):197–200.
110. Di Nisio M, Squizzato A, Rutjes AW, Büller HR, Zwiderman AH, Bossuyt PM. Diagnostic accuracy of D-dimer test for exclusion of venous thromboembolism: a systematic review. *J Thromb Haemost* 2007;**5**(2):296–304.
111. Stein PD, Hull RD, Patel KC, Olson RE, Ghali WA, Brant R, Biel RK, Bharadia V, Kalra NK. D-dimer for the exclusion of acute venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med* 2004;**140**(8):589–602.
112. Perrier A, Roy PM, Aujesky D, Chagnon I, Howarth N, Gourdier AL, Leftheriotis G, Barghouth G, Cornuz J, Hayoz D, Bounameaux H. Diagnosing pulmonary embolism in outpatients with clinical assessment, D-dimer measurement, venous ultrasound, and helical computed tomography: a multicenter management study. *Am J Med* 2004;**116**(5):291–299.
113. Perrier A, Roy PM, Sanchez O, Le Gal G, Meyer G, Gourdier AL, Furber A, Revel MP, Howarth N, Davido A, Bounameaux H. Multidetector-row computed tomography in suspected pulmonary embolism. *N Engl J Med* 2005;**352**(17):1760–1768.
114. Perrier A, Desmarais S, Miron MJ, de Moerloose P, Lepage R, Slosman D, Didier D, Unger PF, Patenaude JV, Bounameaux H. Non-invasive diagnosis of venous thromboembolism in outpatients. *Lancet* 1999;**353**(9148):190–195.
115. Kruij MJ, Slob MJ, Schijen JH, van der Hulle C, Büller HR. Use of a clinical decision rule in combination with D-dimer concentration in diagnostic workup of patients with suspected pulmonary embolism: a prospective management study. *Arch Intern Med* 2002;**162**(14):1631–1635.
116. Righini M, Le Gal G, Aujesky D, Roy PM, Sanchez O, Verschuren F, Rutschmann O, Nonent M, Cornuz J, Thys F, Le Manach CP, Revel MP, Poletti PA, Meyer G, Mottier D, Perneger T, Bounameaux H, Perrier A. Diagnosis of pulmonary embolism by multidetector CT alone or combined with venous ultrasonography of the leg: a randomised non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* 2008;**371**(9621):1343–1352.
117. Carrier M, Righini M, Djurabi RK, Huisman MV, Perrier A, Wells PS, Rodger M, Wuillemin WA, Le Gal G. VIDAS D-dimer in combination with clinical pre-test probability to rule out pulmonary embolism. A systematic review of management outcome studies. *Thromb Haemost* 2009;**101**(5):886–892.
118. Geersing GJ, Erkens PM, Lucassen WA, Büller HR, Cate HT, Hoes AW, Moons KG, Prins MH, Oudega R, van Weert HC, Stoffers HE. Safe exclusion of pulmonary embolism using the Wells rule and qualitative D-dimer testing in primary care: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2012;**345**:e6564.
119. Righini M, Goehring C, Bounameaux H, Perrier A. Effects of age on the performance of common diagnostic tests for pulmonary embolism. *Am J Med* 2000;**109**(5):357–361.
120. Douma RA, Le GG, Sohne M, Righini M, Kamphuisen PW, Perrier A, Kruij MJ, Bounameaux H, Büller HR, Roy PM. Potential of an age adjusted D-dimer cut-off value to improve the exclusion of pulmonary embolism in older patients: a retrospective analysis of three large cohorts. *BMJ* 2010;**340**:c1475.
121. Penalzo A, Roy PM, Kline J, Verschuren F, Le Gal G, Quentin-Georget S, Delvaun N, Thys F. Performance of age-adjusted D-dimer cut-off to rule out pulmonary embolism. *J Thromb Haemost* 2012;**10**(7):1291–1296.
122. Schouten HJ, Geersing GJ, Koek HL, Zuihthoff NP, Janssen KJ, Douma RA, van Delden JJ, Moons KG, Reitsma JB. Diagnostic accuracy of conventional or age adjusted D-dimer cut-off values in older patients with suspected venous thromboembolism: systematic review and meta-analysis. *BMJ* 2013;**346**:f2492.
123. Righini M, Van Es J, den Exter PL, Roy PM, Verschuren F, Ghuyens A, Rutschmann OT, Sanchez O, Jaffrelot M, Trinh-Duc A, Le Gall C, Moustafa F, Principe A, van Houten AA, Ten Wolde M, Douma RA, Hazelaar G, Erkens PM, van Kralingen KW, Grootenboers MJ, Durian MF, Cheung YW, Meyer G, Bounameaux H, Huisman MV, Kamphuisen PW, Le Gal G. Age-adjusted D-dimer cutoff levels to rule out pulmonary embolism: the ADJUST-PE study. *JAMA* 2014;**311**(11):1117–1124.
124. Di Nisio M, Söhne M, Kamphuisen PW, Büller HR. D-Dimer test in cancer patients with suspected acute pulmonary embolism. *J Thromb Haemost* 2005;**3**(6):1239–1242.
125. Righini M, Le Gal G, De Lucia S, Roy PM, Meyer G, Aujesky D, Bounameaux H, Perrier A. Clinical usefulness of D-dimer testing in cancer patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Haemost* 2006;**95**(4):715–719.
126. Miron MJ, Perrier A, Bounameaux H, de MP, Slosman DO, Didier D, Junod A. Contribution of noninvasive evaluation to the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism in hospitalized patients. *Eur Respir J* 1999;**13**(6):1365–1370.
127. Chaboz P, Reber G, Boehlen F, Hohlfeld P, de Moerloose P. TAFI antigen and D-dimer levels during normal pregnancy and at delivery. *Br J Haematol* 2001;**115**(1):150–152.
128. Francalanci I, Comeglio P, Liotta AA, Cellai AP, Fedi S, Parretti E, Mello G, Prisco D, Abbate R. D-dimer concentrations during normal pregnancy, as measured by ELISA. *Thromb Res* 1995;**78**(5):399–405.
129. Leclercq MG, Lutsan JG, van Marwijk Kooy M, Kuipers BF, Oostdijk AH, van der Leur JJ, Büller HR. Ruling out clinically suspected pulmonary embolism by assessment of clinical probability and D-dimer levels: a management study. *Thromb Haemost* 2003;**89**(1):97–103.
130. Ten Wolde M, Hagen PJ, Macgillavry MR, Pollen IJ, Mairuhu AT, Koopman MM, Prins MH, Hoekstra OS, Brandjes DP, Postmus PE, Büller HR. Non-invasive diagnostic work-up of patients with clinically suspected pulmonary embolism; results of a management study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2004;**2**(7):1110–1117.
131. Ghaye B, Szapiro D, Mastora I, Delannoy V, Duhamel A, Remy J, Remy-Jardin M. Peripheral pulmonary arteries: how far in the lung does multi-detector row spiral CT allow analysis? *Radiology* 2001;**219**(3):629–636.
132. Patel S, Kazerooni EA, Cascade PN. Pulmonary embolism: optimization of small pulmonary artery visualization at multi-detector row CT. *Radiology* 2003;**227**(2):455–460.
133. Remy-Jardin M, Remy J, Wattinne L, Giraud F. Central pulmonary thromboembolism: diagnosis with spiral volumetric CT with the single-breath-hold technique: comparison with pulmonary angiography. *Radiology* 1992;**185**(2):381–387.
134. Stein PD, Fowler SE, Goodman LR, Gottschalk A, Hales CA, Hull RD, Leeper KV Jr., Popovich J Jr., Quinn DA, Sos TA, Sostman HD, Tapson VF, Wakefield TW, Weg JG, Woodard PK. Multidetector computed tomography for acute pulmonary embolism. *N Engl J Med* 2006;**354**(22):2317–2327.
135. Anderson DR, Kahn SR, Rodger MA, Kovacs MJ, Morris T, Hirsch A, Lang E, Stiell I, Kovacs G, Dreyer J, Dennie C, Cartier Y, Barnes D, Burton E, Pleasance S, Skedgel C, O'Rouke K, Wells PS. Computed tomographic pulmonary angiography vs. ventilation-perfusion lung scanning in patients with suspected pulmonary embolism: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2007;**298**(23):2743–2753.
136. Carrier M, Righini M, Wells PS, Perrier A, Anderson DR, Rodger MA, Pleasance S, Le Gal G. Subsegmental pulmonary embolism diagnosed by computed tomography: incidence and clinical implications. A systematic review and meta-analysis of the management outcome studies. *J Thromb Haemost* 2010;**8**(8):1716–1722.
137. Stein PD, Goodman LR, Hull RD, Dalen JE, Matta F. Diagnosis and management of isolated subsegmental pulmonary embolism: review and assessment of the options. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost* 2012;**18**(1):20–26.
138. Goodman LR, Stein PD, Matta F, Sostman HD, Wakefield TW, Woodard PK, Hull R, Yankelevitz DF, Beemath A. CT venography and compression sonography are

- diagnostically equivalent: data from PLOPED II. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2007;**189**(5): 1071–1076.
139. Brenner DJ, Hall EJ. Computed tomography: an increasing source of radiation exposure. *N Engl J Med* 2007;**357**(22):2277–2284.
 140. Farrell C, Jones M, Girvin F, Ritchie G, Murchison JT. Unsuspected pulmonary embolism identified using multidetector computed tomography in hospital outpatients. *Clin Radiol* 2010;**65**(1):1–5.
 141. Jia CF, Li YX, Yang ZQ, Zhang ZH, Sun XX, Wang ZQ. Prospective evaluation of unsuspected pulmonary embolism on coronary computed tomographic angiography. *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2012;**36**(2):187–190.
 142. Palla A, Rossi G, Falaschi F, Marconi L, Pistolesi M, Prandoni P. Is incidentally detected pulmonary embolism in cancer patients less severe? A case-control study. *Cancer Invest* 2012;**30**(2):131–134.
 143. Sahut D'Izarn M, Caumont Prim A, Planquette B, Revel MP, Avillach P, Chatellier G, Sanchez O, Meyer G. Risk factors and clinical outcome of unsuspected pulmonary embolism in cancer patients: a case-control study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2012;**10**(10): 2032–2038.
 144. Kearon C, Akl EA, Comerota AJ, Prandoni P, Bounameaux H, Goldhaber SZ, Nelson ME, Wells PS, Gould MK, Dentali F, Crowther M, Kahn SR. Antithrombotic Therapy for VTE Disease: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest* 2012;**141**(2 Suppl):e419S–e494S.
 145. Alderson PO. Scintigraphic evaluation of pulmonary embolism. *Eur J Nucl Med* 1987; 13 Suppl:S6–10.
 146. Miller RF, O'Doherty MJ. Pulmonary nuclear medicine. *Eur J Nucl Med* 1992;**19**(5): 355–368.
 147. Roach PJ, Schembri GP, Bailey DL. V/Q scanning using SPECT and SPECT/CT. *J Nucl Med* 2013;**54**(9):1588–1596.
 148. Schembri GP, Miller AE, Smart R. Radiation dosimetry and safety issues in the investigation of pulmonary embolism. *Semin Nucl Med* 2010;**40**(6):442–454.
 149. Reid JH, Coche EE, Inoue T, Kim EE, Dondi M, Watanabe N, Mariani G. Is the lung scan alive and well? Facts and controversies in defining the role of lung scintigraphy for the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism in the era of MDCT. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2009;**36**(3):505–521.
 150. Gottschalk A, Sostman HD, Coleman RE, Juni JE, Thrall J, McKusick KA, Froelich JW, Alavi A. Ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy in the PLOPED study. Part II. Evaluation of the scintigraphic criteria and interpretations. *J Nucl Med* 1993;**34**(7):1119–1126.
 151. Sostman HD, Coleman RE, DeLong DM, Newman GE, Paine S. Evaluation of revised criteria for ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy in patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *Radiology* 1994;**193**(1):103–107.
 152. Bajc M, Olsson B, Palmer J, Jonson B. Ventilation/Perfusion SPECT for diagnostics of pulmonary embolism in clinical practice. *J Intern Med* 2008;**264**(4):379–387.
 153. Glaser JE, Chamrath M, Haramati LB, Esses D, Freeman LM. Successful and safe implementation of a trinary interpretation and reporting strategy for V/Q lung scintigraphy. *J Nucl Med* 2011;**52**(10):1508–1512.
 154. Sostman HD, Stein PD, Gottschalk A, Matta F, Hull R, Goodman L. Acute pulmonary embolism: sensitivity and specificity of ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy in PLOPED II study. *Radiology* 2008;**246**(3):941–946.
 155. Stein PD, Terrin ML, Gottschalk A, Alavi A, Henry JW. Value of ventilation/perfusion scans vs. perfusion scans alone in acute pulmonary embolism. *Am J Cardiol* 1992; **69**(14):1239–1241.
 156. Miniati M, Monti S, Bauleo C, Scoscia E, Tonelli L, Dainelli A, Catapano G, Formichi B, Di Ricco G, Prediletto R, Carrozzi L, Marini C. A diagnostic strategy for pulmonary embolism based on standardized pretest probability and perfusion lung scanning: a management study. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2003;**30**(11): 1450–1456.
 157. Roy PM, Colombet I, Durieux P, Chatellier G, Sors H, Meyer G. Systematic review and meta-analysis of strategies for the diagnosis of suspected pulmonary embolism. *BMJ* 2005;**331**(7511):259.
 158. Collart JP, Roelants V, Vanpee D, Lacrosse M, Trigaux JP, Delaunois L, Gillet JB, De Coster P, Vander Borght T. Is a lung perfusion scan obtained by using single photon emission computed tomography able to improve the radionuclide diagnosis of pulmonary embolism? *Nucl Med Commun* 2002;**23**(11):1107–1113.
 159. Corbus HF, Seitz JP, Larson RK, Stobbe DE, Wooten W, Sayre JW, Chavez RD, Unguez CE. Diagnostic usefulness of lung SPET in pulmonary thromboembolism: an outcome study. *Nucl Med Commun* 1997;**18**(10):897–906.
 160. Reinartz P, Wildberger JE, Schaefer W, Nowak B, Mahnken AH, Buell U. Tomographic imaging in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism: a comparison between V/Q lung scintigraphy in SPECT technique and multislice spiral CT. *J Nucl Med* 2004;**45**(9):1501–1508.
 161. Gutte H, Mortensen J, Jensen CV, Johnbeck CB, von der Recke P, Petersen CL, Kjaergaard J, Kristoffersen US, Kjaer A. Detection of pulmonary embolism with combined ventilation-perfusion SPECT and low-dose CT: head-to-head comparison with multidetector CT angiography. *J Nucl Med* 2009;**50**(12):1987–1992.
 162. Reinartz P, Kaiser HJ, Wildberger JE, Gordji C, Nowak B, Buell U. SPECT imaging in the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism: automated detection of match and mismatch defects by means of image-processing techniques. *J Nucl Med* 2006;**47**(6):968–973.
 163. van Beek EJ, Reekers JA, Batchelor DA, Brandjes DP, Büller HR. Feasibility, safety and clinical utility of angiography in patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *Eur Radiol* 1996;**6**(4):415–419.
 164. Diffin DC, Leyendecker JR, Johnson SP, Zucker RJ, Grebe PJ. Effect of anatomic distribution of pulmonary emboli on interobserver agreement in the interpretation of pulmonary angiography. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1998;**171**(4):1085–1089.
 165. Stein PD, Henry JW, Gottschalk A. Reassessment of pulmonary angiography for the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism: relation of interpreter agreement to the order of the involved pulmonary arterial branch. *Radiology* 1999;**210**(3):689–691.
 166. Miller GA, Sutton GC, Kerr IH, Gibson RV, Honey M. Comparison of streptokinase and heparin in treatment of isolated acute massive pulmonary embolism. *Br Heart J* 1971;**33**(4):616.
 167. Stein PD, Athanasoulis C, Alavi A, Greenspan RH, Hales CA, Saltzman HA, Vreim CE, Terrin ML, Weg JG. Complications and validity of pulmonary angiography in acute pulmonary embolism. *Circulation* 1992;**85**(2):462–468.
 168. Wan S, Quinlan DJ, Agnelli G, Eikelboom JW. Thrombolysis compared with heparin for the initial treatment of pulmonary embolism: a meta-analysis of the randomized controlled trials. *Circulation* 2004;**110**(6):744–749.
 169. Engelberger RP, Kucher N. Catheter-based reperfusion treatment of pulmonary embolism. *Circulation* 2011;**124**(19):2139–2144.
 170. Revel MP, Sanchez O, Couchon S, Planquette B, Hernigou A, Niarra R, Meyer G, Chatellier G. Diagnostic accuracy of magnetic resonance imaging for an acute pulmonary embolism: results of the 'IRM-EP' study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2012;**10**(5): 743–750.
 171. Stein PD, Chenevert TL, Fowler SE, Goodman LR, Gottschalk A, Hales CA, Hull RD, Jablonski KA, Leeper KV Jr., Naidich DP, Sak DJ, Sostman HD, Tapson VF, Weg JG, Woodard PK. Gadolinium-enhanced magnetic resonance angiography for pulmonary embolism: a multicenter prospective study (PLOPED III). *Ann Intern Med* 2010;**152**(7):434–3.
 172. Grifoni S, Olivetto I, Cecchini P, Pieralli F, Camaiti A, Santoro G, Conti A, Agnelli G, Berni G. Short-term clinical outcome of patients with acute pulmonary embolism, normal blood pressure, and echocardiographic right ventricular dysfunction. *Circulation* 2000;**101**(24):2817–2822.
 173. Torbicki A, Kurzyrna M, Ciurzynski M, Pruszczyk P, Pacho R, Kuch-Wocial A, Szulc M. Proximal pulmonary emboli modify right ventricular ejection pattern. *Eur Respir J* 1999;**13**(3):616–621.
 174. Bova C, Greco F, Misuraca G, Serafini O, Crocco F, Greco A, Noto A. Diagnostic utility of echocardiography in patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *Am J Emerg Med* 2003;**21**(3):180–183.
 175. Kurzyrna M, Torbicki A, Pruszczyk P, Burakowska B, Fijałkowska A, Kober J, Onisz K, Kuca P, Tomkowski W, Burakowski J, Wawrzyńska L. Disturbed right ventricular ejection pattern as a new Doppler echocardiographic sign of acute pulmonary embolism. *Am J Cardiol* 2002;**90**(5):507–511.
 176. Casazza F, Bongarzone A, Capozzi A, Agostoni O. Regional right ventricular dysfunction in acute pulmonary embolism and right ventricular infarction. *Eur J Echocardiogr* 2005;**6**(1):11–14.
 177. Pruszczyk P, Goliszek S, Lichodziejewska B, Kostrubiec M, Ciurzyński M, Kurnicka K, Dzikowska-Diduch O, Palczewski P, Wyzgal A. Prognostic Value of Echocardiography in Normotensive Patients With Acute Pulmonary Embolism. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2014;**7**(6):553–560.
 178. Rudski LG, Lai WW, Afilalo J, Hua L, Handschumacher MD, Chandrasekaran K, Solomon SD, Louie EK, Schiller NB. Guidelines for the echocardiographic assessment of the right heart in adults: a report from the American Society of Echocardiography endorsed by the European Association of Echocardiography, a registered branch of the European Society of Cardiology, and the Canadian Society of Echocardiography. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2010;**23**(7):685–713.
 179. Platz E, Hassanein AH, Shah A, Goldhaber SZ, Solomon SD. Regional right ventricular strain pattern in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Echocardiography* 2012;**29**(4):464–470.
 180. Sugiura E, Dohi K, Onishi K, Takamura T, Tsuji A, Ota S, Yamada N, Nakamura M, Nobori T, Ito M. Reversible right ventricular regional non-uniformity quantified by speckle-tracking strain imaging in patients with acute pulmonary thromboembolism. *J Am Soc Echocardiogr* 2009;**22**(12):1353–1359.
 181. Hsiao SH, Chang SM, Lee CY, Yang SH, Lin SK, Chiou KR. Usefulness of tissue Doppler parameters for identifying pulmonary embolism in patients with signs of pulmonary hypertension. *Am J Cardiol* 2006;**98**(5):685–690.
 182. Kucher N, Luder CM, Dörrhöfer T, Windecker S, Meier B, Hess OM. Novel management strategy for patients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *Eur Heart J* 2003;**24**(4):366–376.
 183. Mansencal N, Attias D, Caille V, Desperremonts J, Guider J, El Hajjam M, Lacombe P, Abi N, I, Jardin F, Vieillard-Baron A, Dubourg O. Computed

- tomography for the detection of free-floating thrombi in the right heart in acute pulmonary embolism. *Eur Radiol* 2011;**21**(2):240–245.
184. Torbicki A, Galie N, Covezzoli A, Rossi E, De Rosa M, Goldhaber SZ. Right heart thrombi in pulmonary embolism: results from the International Cooperative Pulmonary Embolism Registry. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2003;**41**(12):2245–2251.
 185. Casazza F, Bongarzone A, Centonze F, Morpurgo M. Prevalence and prognostic significance of right-sided cardiac mobile thrombi in acute massive pulmonary embolism. *Am J Cardiol* 1997;**79**(10):1433–1435.
 186. Mollazadeh R, Ostovan MA, Abdi Ardekani AR. Right cardiac thrombus in transit among patients with pulmonary thromboemboli. *Clin Cardiol* 2009;**32**(6):E27–E31.
 187. Rose PS, Punjabi NM, Pearse DB. Treatment of right heart thromboemboli. *Chest* 2002;**121**(3):806–814.
 188. Krivec B, Voga G, Zuran I, Skale R, Pareznik R, Podbregar M, Noc M. Diagnosis and treatment of shock due to massive pulmonary embolism: approach with transesophageal echocardiography and intrapulmonary thrombolysis. *Chest* 1997;**112**(5):1310–1316.
 189. Pruszczyk P, Torbicki A, Kuch-Wocial A, Szulc M, Pachó R. Diagnostic value of transoesophageal echocardiography in suspected haemodynamically significant pulmonary embolism. *Heart* 2001;**85**(6):628–634.
 190. Pruszczyk P, Torbicki A, Pachó R, Chlebus M, Kuch-Wocial A, Pruszczyk B, Gurba H. Noninvasive diagnosis of suspected severe pulmonary embolism: transesophageal echocardiography vs. spiral CT. *Chest* 1997;**112**(3):722–728.
 191. Hull RD, Hirsh J, Carter CJ, Jay RM, Dodd PE, Ockelford PA, Coates G, Gill GJ, Turpie AG, Doyle DJ, Büller HR, Raskob GE. Pulmonary angiography, ventilation lung scanning, and venography for clinically suspected pulmonary embolism with abnormal perfusion lung scan. *Ann Intern Med* 1983;**98**(6):891–899.
 192. Kearon C, Ginsberg JS, Hirsh J. The role of venous ultrasonography in the diagnosis of suspected deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;**129**(12):1044–1049.
 193. Perrier A, Bounameaux H. Ultrasonography of leg veins in patients suspected of having pulmonary embolism. *Ann Intern Med* 1998;**128**(3):243–245.
 194. Le Gal G, Righini M, Sanchez O, Roy PM, Baba-Ahmed M, Perrier A, Bounameaux H. A positive compression ultrasonography of the lower limb veins is highly predictive of pulmonary embolism on computed tomography in suspected patients. *Thromb Haemost* 2006;**95**(6):963–966.
 195. Elias A, Colombier D, Victor G, Elias M, Arnaud C, Juchet H, Ducassé JL, Didier A, Colin C, Rousseau H, Nguyen F, Joffe F. Diagnostic performance of complete lower limb venous ultrasound in patients with clinically suspected acute pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Haemost* 2004;**91**(1):187–195.
 196. Righini M, Le GG, Aujesky D, Roy PM, Sanchez O, Verschuren F, Kossovsky M, Bressollette L, Meyer G, Perrier A, Bounameaux H. Complete venous ultrasound in outpatients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *J Thromb Haemost* 2009;**7**(3):406–412.
 197. Kline JA, Webb WB, Jones AE, Hernandez-Nino J. Impact of a rapid rule-out protocol for pulmonary embolism on the rate of screening, missed cases, and pulmonary vascular imaging in an urban US emergency department. *Ann Emerg Med* 2004;**44**(5):490–502.
 198. Roy PM, Meyer G, Vielle B, Le Gall C, Verschuren F, Carpentier F, Leveau P, Furber A. Appropriateness of diagnostic management and outcomes of suspected pulmonary embolism. *Ann Intern Med* 2006;**144**(3):157–164.
 199. Ferrari E, Benhamou M, Berthier F, Baudouy M. Mobile thrombi of the right heart in pulmonary embolism: delayed disappearance after thrombolytic treatment. *Chest* 2005;**127**(3):1051–1053.
 200. Pierre-Justin G, Pierard LA. Management of mobile right heart thrombi: a prospective series. *Int J Cardiol* 2005;**99**(3):381–388.
 201. Vieillard-Baron A, Qanadli SD, Antakly Y, Fourme T, Loubières Y, Jardin F, Dubourg O. Transesophageal echocardiography for the diagnosis of pulmonary embolism with acute cor pulmonale: a comparison with radiological procedures. *Intensive Care Med* 1998;**24**(5):429–433.
 202. Righini M, Aujesky D, Roy PM, Cornuz J, de Moerloose P, Bounameaux H, Perrier A. Clinical usefulness of D-dimer depending on clinical probability and cutoff value in outpatients with suspected pulmonary embolism. *Arch Intern Med* 2004;**164**(22):2483–2487.
 203. Perrier A, Miron MJ, Desmarais S, de Moerloose P, Slosman D, Didier D, Unger PF, Junod A, Patenaude JV, Bounameaux H. Using clinical evaluation and lung scan to rule out suspected pulmonary embolism: Is it a valid option in patients with normal results of lower-limb venous compression ultrasonography? *Arch Intern Med* 2000;**160**(4):512–516.
 204. Stein PD, Sostman HD, Dalen JE, Bailey DL, Bajc M, Goldhaber SZ, Goodman LR, Gottschalk A, Hull RD, Matta F, Pistolesi M, Tapson VF, Weg JG, Wells PS, Woodard PK. Controversies in diagnosis of pulmonary embolism. *Clin Appl Thromb Hemost* 2011;**17**(2):140–149.
 205. den Exter PL, Van Es J, Klokk FA, Kroft LJ, Kruijff MJ, Kamphuisen PW, Büller HR, Huisman MV. Risk profile and clinical outcome of symptomatic subsegmental acute pulmonary embolism. *Blood* 2013;**122**(7):1144–1149.
 206. Wiener RS, Schwartz LM, Woloshin S. When a test is too good: how CT pulmonary angiograms find pulmonary emboli that do not need to be found. *BMJ* 2013;**347**:f3368.
 207. Tsai J, Grosse SD, Grant AM, Hooper WC, Atrash HK. Trends in in-hospital deaths among hospitalizations with pulmonary embolism. *Arch Intern Med* 2012;**172**(12):960–961.
 208. Wiener RS, Schwartz LM, Woloshin S. Time trends in pulmonary embolism in the United States: evidence of overdiagnosis. *Arch Intern Med* 2011;**171**(9):831–837.
 209. Ayaram D, Bellolio MF, Murad MH, Laack TA, Sadosty AT, Erwin PJ, Hollander JE, Montori VM, Stiell IG, Hess EP. Triple rule-out computed tomographic angiography for chest pain: a diagnostic systematic review and meta-analysis. *Acad Emerg Med* 2013;**20**(9):861–871.
 210. Jiménez D, Aujesky D, Díaz G, Monreal M, Otero R, Martí D, Marin E, Aracil E, Sueiro A, Yusen RD. Prognostic significance of deep vein thrombosis in patients presenting with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;**181**(9):983–991.
 211. Chan CM, Woods C, Shorr AF. The validation and reproducibility of the pulmonary embolism severity index. *J Thromb Haemost* 2010;**8**(7):1509–1514.
 212. Donzé J, Le Gal G, Fine MJ, Roy PM, Sanchez O, Verschuren F, Cornuz J, Meyer G, Perrier A, Righini M, Aujesky D. Prospective validation of the Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index. A clinical prognostic model for pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Haemost* 2008;**100**(5):943–948.
 213. Vanni S, Nazerian P, Pepe G, Baioni M, Rizzo M, Grifoni G, Viviani G, Grifoni S. Comparison of two prognostic models for acute pulmonary embolism: clinical vs. right ventricular dysfunction-guided approach. *J Thromb Haemost* 2011;**9**(10):1916–1923.
 214. Aujesky D, Obrosky DS, Stone RA, Auble TE, Perrier A, Cornuz J, Roy PM, Fine MJ. Derivation and validation of a prognostic model for pulmonary embolism. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2005;**172**(8):1041–1046.
 215. Jiménez D, Yusen RD, Otero R, Uresandi F, Nauffal D, Laserna E, Conget F, Oribe M, Cabezedo MA, Díaz G. Prognostic models for selecting patients with acute pulmonary embolism for initial outpatient therapy. *Chest* 2007;**132**(1):24–30.
 216. Wicki J, Perrier A, Perneger TV, Bounameaux H, Junod AF. Predicting adverse outcome in patients with acute pulmonary embolism: a risk score. *Thromb Haemost* 2000;**84**(4):548–552.
 217. Aujesky D, Roy PM, Verschuren F, Righini M, Osterwalder J, Egloff M, Renaud B, Verhamme P, Stone RA, Legall C, Sanchez O, Pugh NA, N'gako A, Cornuz J, Hugli O, Beer HJ, Perrier A, Fine MJ, Yealy DM. Outpatient versus inpatient treatment for patients with acute pulmonary embolism: an international, open-label, randomised, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* 2011;**378**(9785):41–48.
 218. Jiménez D, Aujesky D, Moores L, Gómez V, Lobo JL, Uresandi F, Otero R, Monreal M, Muriel A, Yusen RD. Simplification of the pulmonary embolism severity index for prognostication in patients with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism. *Arch Intern Med* 2010;**170**(15):1383–1389.
 219. Righini M, Roy PM, Meyer G, Verschuren F, Aujesky D, Le Gal G. The Simplified Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index (PESI): validation of a clinical prognostic model for pulmonary embolism. *J Thromb Haemost* 2011;**9**(10):2115–2117.
 220. Sam A, Sánchez D, Gómez V, Wagner C, Kopečna D, Zamarró C, Moores L, Aujesky D, Yusen R, Jiménez CD. The shock index and the simplified PESI for identification of low-risk patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Eur Respir J* 2011;**37**(4):762–766.
 221. Lankeit M, Gomez V, Wagner C, Aujesky D, Recio M, Briongos S, Moores LK, Yusen RD, Konstantinides S, Jimenez D. A strategy combining imaging and laboratory biomarkers in comparison with a simplified clinical score for risk stratification of patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Chest* 2012;**141**(4):916–922.
 222. Spirk D, Aujesky D, Husmann M, Hayoz D, Baldi T, Frauchiger B, Banyai M, Baumgartner I, Kucher N. Cardiac troponin testing and the simplified Pulmonary Embolism Severity Index. The SWISS Venous Thromboembolism Registry (SWIVTER). *Thromb Haemost* 2011;**106**(5):978–984.
 223. Kreit JW. The impact of right ventricular dysfunction on the prognosis and therapy of normotensive patients with pulmonary embolism. *Chest* 2004;**125**(4):1539–1545.
 224. Kucher N, Rossi E, De Rosa M, Goldhaber SZ. Prognostic role of echocardiography among patients with acute pulmonary embolism and a systolic arterial pressure of 90 mm Hg or higher. *Arch Intern Med* 2005;**165**(15):1777–1781.
 225. ten Wolde M, Söhne M, Quak E, Mac Gillavry MR, Büller HR. Prognostic value of echocardiographically assessed right ventricular dysfunction in patients with pulmonary embolism. *Arch Intern Med* 2004;**164**(15):1685–1689.
 226. Coutance G, Cauderlier E, Ehtisham J, Hamon M, Hamon M. The prognostic value of markers of right ventricular dysfunction in pulmonary embolism: a meta-analysis. *Crit Care* 2011;**15**(2):R103.
 227. Sanchez O, Trinquart L, Colombet I, Durieux P, Huisman MV, Chatellier G, Meyer G. Prognostic value of right ventricular dysfunction in patients with

- haemodynamically stable pulmonary embolism: a systematic review. *Eur Heart J* 2008;**29**(12):1569–1577.
228. Becattini C, Agnelli G, Vedovati MC, Pruszczyk P, Casazza F, Grifoni S, Salvi A, Bianchi M, Douma R, Konstantinides S, Lankeit M, Duranti M. Multidetector computed tomography for acute pulmonary embolism: diagnosis and risk stratification in a single test. *Eur Heart J* 2011;**32**(13):1657–1663.
 229. Trujillo-Santos J, den Exter PL, Gómez V, Del CH, Moreno C, van der Hulle T, Huisman MV, Monreal M, Yusen RD, Jiménez D. Computed tomography-assessed right ventricular dysfunction and risk stratification of patients with acute non-massive pulmonary embolism: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2013;**11**(10):1823–1832.
 230. Becattini C, Agnelli G, Germini F, Vedovati MC. Computed tomography to assess risk of death in acute pulmonary embolism: a meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2014;**43**(6):1678–1690.
 231. Henzler T, Roeger S, Meyer M, Schoepf UJ, Nance JW Jr., Haghi D, Kaminski WE, Neumaier M, Schoenberg SO, Fink C. Pulmonary embolism: CT signs and cardiac biomarkers for predicting right ventricular dysfunction. *Eur Respir J* 2012;**39**(4):919–926.
 232. Klok FA, Mos IC, Huisman MV. Brain-type natriuretic peptide levels in the prediction of adverse outcome in patients with pulmonary embolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2008;**178**(4):425–430.
 233. Kucher N, Goldhaber SZ. Cardiac biomarkers for risk stratification of patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Circulation* 2003;**108**(18):2191–2194.
 234. Lankeit M, Jimenez D, Kostrubiec M, Dellas C, Kuhnert K, Hasenfuss G, Pruszczyk P, Konstantinides S. Validation of N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide cut-off values for risk stratification of pulmonary embolism. *Eur Respir J* 2014;**43**(6):1669–1677.
 235. Vuilleumier N, Le Gal G, Verschuren F, Perrier A, Bounameaux H, Turck N, Sanchez JC, Mensi N, Perneger T, Hochstrasser D, Righini M. Cardiac biomarkers for risk stratification in non-massive pulmonary embolism: a multicenter prospective study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2009;**7**(3):391–398.
 236. Kostrubiec M, Pruszczyk P, Bochowicz A, Pacho R, Szulc M, Kaczynska A, Styczynski G, Kuch-Wocial A, Abramczyk P, Bartoszewicz Z, Berent H, Kuczynska K. Biomarker-based risk assessment model in acute pulmonary embolism. *Eur Heart J* 2005;**26**(20):2166–2172.
 237. Agterof MJ, Schutgens RE, Snijder RJ, Epping G, Peltenburg HG, Posthuma EF, Hardeman JA, van der Griend R, Koster T, Prins MH, Biesma DH. Out of hospital treatment of acute pulmonary embolism in patients with a low NT-proBNP level. *J Thromb Haemost* 2010;**8**(6):1235–1241.
 238. Coma-Canella I, Gamallo C, Martinez-Onsurbe P, Lopez-Sendon J. Acute right ventricular infarction secondary to massive pulmonary embolism. *Eur Heart J* 1988;**9**(5):534–540.
 239. Becattini C, Vedovati MC, Agnelli G. Prognostic value of troponins in acute pulmonary embolism: a meta-analysis. *Circulation* 2007;**116**(4):427–433.
 240. Jiménez D, Uresandi F, Otero R, Lobo JL, Monreal M, Martí D, Zamora J, Muriel A, Aujesky D, Yusen RD. Troponin-based risk stratification of patients with acute non-massive pulmonary embolism: systematic review and metaanalysis. *Chest* 2009;**136**(4):974–982.
 241. Lankeit M, Friesen D, Aschoff J, Dellas C, Hasenfuss G, Katus H, Konstantinides S, Giannitsis E. Highly sensitive troponin T assay in normotensive patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Eur Heart J* 2010;**31**(15):1836–1844.
 242. Boscheri A, Wunderlich C, Langer M, Schoen S, Wiedemann B, Stolte D, Elmer G, Barthel P, Strasser RH. Correlation of heart-type fatty acid-binding protein with mortality and echocardiographic data in patients with pulmonary embolism at intermediate risk. *Am Heart J* 2010;**160**(2):294–300.
 243. Puls M, Dellas C, Lankeit M, Olschewski M, Binder L, Geibel A, Reiner C, Schäfer K, Hasenfuss G, Konstantinides S. Heart-type fatty acid-binding protein permits early risk stratification of pulmonary embolism. *Eur Heart J* 2007;**28**(2):224–229.
 244. Dellas C, Puls M, Lankeit M, Schafer K, Cuny M, Berner M, Hasenfuss G, Konstantinides S. Elevated heart-type fatty acid-binding protein levels on admission predict an adverse outcome in normotensive patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010;**55**(19):2150–2157.
 245. Lankeit M, Friesen D, Schafer K, Hasenfuss G, Konstantinides S, Dellas C. A simple score for rapid risk assessment of non-high-risk pulmonary embolism. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2013;**102**(1):73–80.
 246. Dellas C, Tschepe M, Seeber V, Zwiener I, Kuhnert K, Schafer K, Hasenfuss G, Konstantinides S, Lankeit M. A novel H-FABP assay and a fast prognostic score for risk assessment of normotensive pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Haemost* 2014;**111**(5).
 247. Kostrubiec M, Łabyk A, Pedowska-Włószek J, Pacho S, Wojciechowski A, Jankowski K, Ciurzyński M, Pruszczyk P. Assessment of renal dysfunction improves troponin-based short-term prognosis in patients with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism. *J Thromb Haemost* 2010;**8**(4):651–658.
 248. Kostrubiec M, Łabyk A, Pedowska-Włószek J, Dzikowska-Diduch O, Wojciechowski A, Garlińska M, Ciurzyński M, Pruszczyk P. Neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin, cystatin C and eGFR indicate acute kidney injury and predict prognosis of patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *Heart* 2012;**98**(16):1221–1228.
 249. Becattini C, Lignani A, Masotti L, Forte MB, Agnelli G. D-dimer for risk stratification in patients with acute pulmonary embolism. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2012;**33**(1):48–57.
 250. Lobo JL, Zorrilla V, Aizpuru F, Grau E, Jiménez D, Palareti G, Monreal M. D-dimer levels and 15-day outcome in acute pulmonary embolism. Findings from the RIETE Registry. *J Thromb Haemost* 2009;**7**(11):1795–1801.
 251. Aujesky D, Roy PM, Guy M, Cornuz J, Sanchez O, Perrier A. Prognostic value of D-dimer in patients with pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Haemost* 2006;**96**(4):478–482.
 252. Konstantinides S, Geibel A, Heusel G, Heinrich F, Kasper W. Heparin plus alteplase compared with heparin alone in patients with submassive pulmonary embolism. *N Engl J Med* 2002;**347**(15):1143–1150.
 253. Meyer G, Vicaut E, Danays T, Agnelli G, Becattini C, Beyer-Westendorf J, Bluhmki E, Bouvaist H, Brenner B, Couturaud F, Dellas C, Empen K, Franca A, Galiè N, Geibel A, Goldhaber SZ, Jimenez D, Kozak M, Kupatt C, Kucher N, Lang IM, Lankeit M, Meneveau N, Pacouret G, Palazzini M, Petris A, Pruszczyk P, Rugolotto M, Salvi A, Schellong S, Sebbane M, Sobkowicz B, Stefanovic BS, Thiele H, Torbicki A, Verschuren F, Konstantinides SV. Fibrinolysis for patients with intermediate-risk pulmonary embolism. *N Engl J Med* 2014;**370**(15):1402–1411.
 254. Jiménez D, Aujesky D, Moores L, Gómez V, Martí D, Briongos S, Monreal M, Barrios V, Konstantinides S, Yusen RD. Combinations of prognostic tools for identification of high-risk normotensive patients with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism. *Thorax* 2011;**66**(1):75–81.
 255. Agterof MJ, Schutgens RE, Moumli N, Eijkemans MJ, van der Griend R, Tromp EA, Biesma DH. A prognostic model for short term adverse events in normotensive patients with pulmonary embolism. *Am J Hematol* 2011;**86**(8):646–649.
 256. Becattini C, Casazza F, Forgiione C, Porro F, Fadin BM, Stucchi A, Lignani A, Conte L, Imperadore F, Bongarzone A, Agnelli G. Acute pulmonary embolism: external validation of an integrated risk stratification model. *Chest* 2013;**144**(5):1539–1545.
 257. Sanchez O, Trinquart L, Caille V, Couturaud F, Pacouret G, Meneveau N, Verschuren F, Roy PM, Parent F, Righini M, Perrier A, Lorut C, Tardy B, Benoit MO, Chatellier G, Meyer G. Prognostic factors for pulmonary embolism: the prep study, a prospective multicenter cohort study. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2010;**181**(2):168–173.
 258. Jiménez D, Kopecna D, Tapson V, Briese B, Schreiber D, Lobo JL, Monreal M, Aujesky D, Sanchez O, Meyer G, Konstantinides S, Yusen RD, on behalf of the Protect Investigators. Derivation and validation of multimarker prognostication for normotensive patients with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2014;**189**(6):718–726.
 259. Bova C, Sanchez O, Prandoni P, Lankeit M, Konstantinides S, Vanni S, Jiménez D. Identification of intermediate-risk patients with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism. *Eur Respir J* 2014.
 260. Binder L, Pieske B, Olschewski M, Geibel A, Klostermann B, Reiner C, Konstantinides S. N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide or troponin testing followed by echocardiography for risk stratification of acute pulmonary embolism. *Circulation* 2005;**112**(11):1573–1579.
 261. The PEITHO Steering Committee. Single-bolus tenecteplase plus heparin compared with heparin alone for normotensive patients with acute pulmonary embolism who have evidence of right ventricular dysfunction and myocardial injury: rationale and design of the Pulmonary Embolism Thrombolysis (PEITHO) trial. *Am Heart J* 2012;**163**(1):33–38.
 262. Sanchez O, Trinquart L, Planquette B, Couturaud F, Verschuren F, Caille V, Meneveau N, Pacouret G, Roy PM, Righini M, Perrier A, Bertolotti L, Parent F, Lorut C, Meyer G. Echocardiography and pulmonary embolism severity index have independent prognostic roles in pulmonary embolism. *Eur Respir J* 2013;**42**(3):681–688.
 263. Ghignone M, Girling L, Prewitt RM. Volume expansion versus norepinephrine in treatment of a low cardiac output complicating an acute increase in right ventricular afterload in dogs. *Anesthesiology* 1984;**60**(2):132–135.
 264. Mercat A, Diehl JL, Meyer G, Teboul JL, Sors H. Hemodynamic effects of fluid loading in acute massive pulmonary embolism. *Crit Care Med* 1999;**27**(3):540–544.
 265. Manier G, Castaing Y. Influence of cardiac output on oxygen exchange in acute pulmonary embolism. *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1992;**145**(1):130–136.
 266. Capellier G, Jacques T, Balvay P, Blasco G, Belle E, Barale F. Inhaled nitric oxide in patients with pulmonary embolism. *Intensive Care Med* 1997;**23**(10):1089–1092.
 267. Szold O, Houry W, Biderman P, Klausner JM, Halpern P, Weinbroum AA. Inhaled nitric oxide improves pulmonary functions following massive pulmonary embolism: a report of four patients and review of the literature. *Lung* 2006;**184**(1):1–5.
 268. Kerbaul F, Gariboldi V, Giorgi R, Mekkaoui C, Guieu R, Fesler P, Gouin F, Brimiouille S, Collart F. Effects of levosimendan on acute pulmonary embolism-induced right ventricular failure. *Crit Care Med* 2007;**35**(8):1948–1954.

269. Kjaergaard B, Rasmussen BS, de Neergaard S, Rasmussen LH, Kristensen SR. Extracorporeal cardiopulmonary support may be an efficient rescue of patients after massive pulmonary embolism. An experimental porcine study. *Thromb Res* 2012; **129**(4):e147–e151.
270. Delnoij TS, Accord RE, Weerwind PW, Donker DW. Atrial trans-septal thrombus in massive pulmonary embolism salvaged by prolonged extracorporeal life support after thromboemblectomy. A bridge to right-sided cardiovascular adaptation. *Acute Card Care* 2012; **14**(4):138–140.
271. Leick J, Liebetrau C, Szardien S, Willmer M, Rixe J, Nef H, Rolf A, Hamm C, Mollmann H. Percutaneous circulatory support in a patient with cardiac arrest due to acute pulmonary embolism. *Clin Res Cardiol* 2012; **101**(12):1017–1020.
272. Taniguchi S, Fukuda W, Fukuda I, Watanabe K, Saito Y, Nakamura M, Sakuma M. Outcome of pulmonary embolectomy for acute pulmonary thromboembolism: analysis of 32 patients from a multicentre registry in Japan. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg* 2012; **14**(1):64–67.
273. Cossette B, Pelletier ME, Carrier N, Turgeon M, Leclair C, Charron P, Echenberg D, Fayad T, Farand P. Evaluation of bleeding risk in patients exposed to therapeutic unfractionated or low-molecular-weight heparin: a cohort study in the context of a quality improvement initiative. *Ann Pharmacother* 2010; **44**(6):994–1002.
274. van Dongen CJ, van den Belt AG, Prins MH, Lensing AW. Fixed dose subcutaneous low molecular weight heparins vs. adjusted dose unfractionated heparin for venous thromboembolism. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2004;(4):CD001100.
275. Stein PD, Hull RD, Matta F, Yaekoub AY, Liang J. Incidence of thrombocytopenia in hospitalized patients with venous thromboembolism. *Am J Med* 2009; **122**(10):919–930.
276. Prandoni P, Siragusa S, Girolami B, Fabris F. The incidence of heparin-induced thrombocytopenia in medical patients treated with low-molecular-weight heparin: a prospective cohort study. *Blood* 2005; **106**(9):3049–3054.
277. Raschke RA, Gollihare B, Peirce JC. The effectiveness of implementing the weight-based heparin nomogram as a practice guideline. *Arch Intern Med* 1996; **156**(15):1645–1649.
278. Lee AY, Levine MN, Baker RI, Bowden C, Kakkar AK, Prins M, Rickles FR, Julian JA, Haley S, Kovacs MJ, Gent M. Low-molecular-weight heparin vs. a coumarin for the prevention of recurrent venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2003; **349**(2):146–153.
279. Middeldorp S. How I treat pregnancy-related venous thromboembolism. *Blood* 2011; **118**(20):5394–5400.
280. Samama MM, Poller L. Contemporary laboratory monitoring of low molecular weight heparins. *Clin Lab Med* 1995; **15**(1):119–123.
281. Büller HR, Davidson BL, Decousus H, Gallus A, Gent M, Piovella F, Prins MH, Raskob G, van den Berg-Segers AE, Cariou R, Leeuwenkamp O, Lensing AW. Subcutaneous fondaparinux versus intravenous unfractionated heparin in the initial treatment of pulmonary embolism. *N Engl J Med* 2003; **349**(18):1695–1702.
282. Warkentin TE, Maurer BT, Aster RH. Heparin-induced thrombocytopenia associated with fondaparinux. *N Engl J Med* 2007; **356**(25):2653–2655.
283. Garcia DA, Baglin TP, Weitz JI, Samama MM. Parenteral anticoagulants: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest* 2012; **141**(2 Suppl):e24S–e43S.
284. De Caterina R, Husted S, Wallentin L, Andreotti F, Arnesen H, Bachmann F, Baigent C, Huber K, Jespersen J, Kristensen SD, Lip GY, Morais J, Rasmussen LH, Siegbahn A, Verheugt FW, Weitz JI. Vitamin K antagonists in heart disease: Current status and perspectives (Section III). Position Paper of the ESC Working Group on Thrombosis - Task Force on Anticoagulants in Heart Disease. *Thromb Haemost* 2013; **110**(6):1087–1107.
285. British Thoracic Society. Optimum duration of anticoagulation for deep-vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. Research Committee of the British Thoracic Society. *Lancet* 1992; **340**(8824):873–876.
286. Carlquist JF, Anderson JL. Using pharmacogenetics in real time to guide warfarin initiation: a clinician update. *Circulation* 2011; **124**(23):2554–2559.
287. Epstein RS, Moyer TP, Aubert RE, O Kane DJ, Xia F, Verbrugge RR, Gage BF, Teagarden JR. Warfarin genotyping reduces hospitalization rates results from the MM-WES (Medco-Mayo Warfarin Effectiveness study). *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2010; **55**(25):2804–2812.
288. Jonas DE, McLeod HL. Genetic and clinical factors relating to warfarin dosing. *Trends Pharmacol Sci* 2009; **30**(7):375–386.
289. Anderson JL, Horne BD, Stevens SM, Woller SC, Samuelson KM, Mansfield JW, Robinson M, Barton S, Brunisholz K, Mower CP, Huntingtonhouse JA, Rollo JS, Siler D, Bair TL, Knight S, Muhlestein JB, Carlquist JF. A randomized and clinical effectiveness trial comparing two pharmacogenetic algorithms and standard care for individualizing warfarin dosing (CoumaGen-II). *Circulation* 2012; **125**(16):1997–2005.
290. Verhoef TI, Ragia G, de Boer A, Barallon R, Kolovou G, Kolovou V, Konstantinides S, Le Cessie S, Maltzoz E, van der Meer FJ, Redekop WK, Remkes M, Rosendaal FR, van Schie RM, Tavridou A, Tziakas D, Wadelius M, Manolopoulos VG, Maitland-van der Zee AH. A randomized trial of genotype-guided dosing of acenocoumarol and phenprocoumon. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **369**(24):2304–2312.
291. Kimmel SE, French B, Kasner SE, Johnson JA, Anderson JL, Gage BF, Rosenberg YD, Eby CS, Madigan RA, McBane RB, Abdel-Rahman SZ, Stevens SM, Yale S, Mohler ER III, Fang MC, Shah V, Horenstein RB, Limdi NA, Muldowney JA III, Gujral J, Delafontaine P, Desnick RJ, Ortel TL, Billett HH, Pendleton RC, Geller NL, Halperin JL, Goldhaber SZ, Caldwell MD, Califf RM, Ellenberg JH. A pharmacogenetic versus a clinical algorithm for warfarin dosing. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **369**(24):2283–2293.
292. Pirmohamed M, Burnside G, Eriksson N, Jorgensen AL, Toh CH, Nicholson T, Kesteven P, Christersson C, Wahlström B, Stafberg C, Zhang JE, Leathart JB, Kohnke H, Maitland-van der Zee AH, Williamson PR, Daly AK, Avery P, Kamali F, Wadelius M. A randomized trial of genotype-guided dosing of warfarin. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **369**(24):2294–2303.
293. Schulman S, Kearon C, Kakkar AK, Mismetti P, Schellong S, Eriksson H, Baanstra D, Schnee J, Goldhaber SZ. Dabigatran versus warfarin in the treatment of acute venous thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med* 2009; **361**(24):2342–2352.
294. Schulman S, Kakkar AK, Goldhaber SZ, Schellong S, Eriksson H, Mismetti P, Christiansen AV, Friedman J, Le MF, Peter N, Kearon C. Treatment of acute venous thromboembolism with dabigatran or warfarin and pooled analysis. *Circulation* 2014; **129**(7):764–772.
295. Bauersachs R, Berkowitz SD, Brenner B, Büller HR, Decousus H, Gallus AS, Lensing AW, Misselwitz F, Prins MH, Raskob GE, Segers A, Verhamme P, Wells P, Agnelli G, Bounameaux H, Cohen A, Davidson BL, Piovella F, Schellong S. Oral rivaroxaban for symptomatic venous thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med* 2010; **363**(26):2499–2510.
296. Büller HR, Prins MH, Lensin AW, Decousus H, Jacobson BF, Minar E, Chlumsky J, Verhamme P, Wells P, Agnelli G, Cohen A, Berkowitz SD, Bounameaux H, Davidson BL, Misselwitz F, Gallus AS, Raskob GE, Schellong S, Segers A. Oral rivaroxaban for the treatment of symptomatic pulmonary embolism. *N Engl J Med* 2012; **366**(14):1287–1297.
297. Agnelli G, Büller HR, Cohen A, Curto M, Gallus AS, Johnson M, Masiukiewicz U, Pak R, Thompson J, Raskob GE, Weitz JI. Oral apixaban for the treatment of acute venous thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **369**(9):799–808.
298. Büller HR, Decousus H, Grosso MA, Mercuri M, Middeldorp S, Prins MH, Raskob GE, Schellong SM, Schwocho L, Segers A, Shi M, Verhamme P, Wells P. Edoxaban versus warfarin for the treatment of symptomatic venous thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med* 2013; **369**(15):1406–1415.
299. van der Hulle T, Kooiman J, den Exter PL, Dekkers OM, Klokk FA, Huisman MV. Effectiveness and safety of novel oral anticoagulants as compared with vitamin K antagonists in the treatment of acute symptomatic venous thromboembolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2014; **12**(3):320–328.
300. Heidbuchel H, Verhamme P, Alings M, Antz M, Hacke W, Oldgren J, Sinnaeve P, Camm AJ, Kirchhof P. EHRA practical guide on the use of new oral anticoagulants in patients with non-valvular atrial fibrillation: executive summary. *Eur Heart J* 2013; **34**(27):2094–2106.
301. Dalla-Volta S, Palla A, Santolicandro A, Giuntini C, Pengo V, Visioli O, Zoncin P, Zanuttini D, Barbareis F, Agnelli G. PAIMS 2: alteplase combined with heparin versus heparin in the treatment of acute pulmonary embolism. Plasminogen activator Italian multicenter study 2. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992; **20**(3):520–526.
302. Goldhaber SZ, Haire WD, Feldstein ML, Miller M, Toltzis R, Smith JL, Taveira da Silva AM, Come PC, Lee RT, Parker JA. Alteplase versus heparin in acute pulmonary embolism: randomised trial assessing right-ventricular function and pulmonary perfusion. *Lancet* 1993; **341**(8844):507–511.
303. Becattini C, Agnelli G, Salvi A, Grifoni S, Pancaldi LG, Enea I, Balsemin F, Campanini M, Ghirarduzzi A, Casazza F. Bolus tenecteplase for right ventricle dysfunction in hemodynamically stable patients with pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Res* 2010; **125**(3):e82–e86.
304. Konstantinides S, Tiede N, Geibel A, Olschewski M, Just H, Kasper W. Comparison of alteplase versus heparin for resolution of major pulmonary embolism. *Am J Cardiol* 1998; **82**(8):966–970.
305. Goldhaber SZ, Kessler CM, Heit J, Markis J, Sharma GV, Dawley D, Nagel JS, Meyerovitz M, Kim D, Vaughan DE. Randomised controlled trial of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator versus urokinase in the treatment of acute pulmonary embolism. *Lancet* 1988; **2**(8606):293–298.
306. Meneveau N, Schiele F, Vuilleminot A, Valette B, Grollier G, Bernard Y, Bassand JP. Streptokinase vs. alteplase in massive pulmonary embolism. A randomized trial assessing right heart haemodynamics and pulmonary vascular obstruction. *Eur Heart J* 1997; **18**(7):1141–1148.
307. Meneveau N, Schiele F, Metz D, Valette B, Attali P, Vuilleminot A, Grollier G, Elaerts J, Mossard JM, Viel JF, Bassand JP. Comparative efficacy of a two-hour regimen of streptokinase versus alteplase in acute massive pulmonary embolism: immediate clinical and hemodynamic outcome and one-year follow-up. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1998; **31**(5):1057–1063.

308. Meyer G, Sors H, Charbonnier B, Kasper W, Bassand JP, Kerr IH, Lesaffre E, Vanhove P, Verstraete M. Effects of intravenous urokinase versus alteplase on total pulmonary resistance in acute massive pulmonary embolism: a European multicenter double-blind trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 1992;**19**(2):239–245.
309. Tebbe U, Graf A, Kamke W, Zahn R, Foryci F, Kratzsch G, Berg G. Hemodynamic effects of double bolus reteplase versus alteplase infusion in massive pulmonary embolism. *Am Heart J* 1999;**138**(1 Pt 1):39–44.
310. Tebbe U, Bramlage P, Graf A, Lechleitner P, Bode C, Riess FC, Clemens N, Al Rawi Y, Konstantinides S, Goldhaber SZ. Desmoteplase in acute massive pulmonary thromboembolism. *Thromb Haemost* 2009;**101**(3):557–562.
311. Kline JA, Nordenholz KE, Courtney DM, Kabrhel C, Jones AE, Rondina MT, Diercks DB, Klinger JR, Hernandez J. Treatment of submassive pulmonary embolism with tenecteplase or placebo: cardiopulmonary outcomes at three months (TOPCOAT): Multicenter double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized trial. *J Thromb Haemost* 2014.
312. van De Werf F, Ardissino D, Betriu A, Cokkinos DV, Falk E, Fox KA, Julian D, Lengyel M, Neumann FJ, Ruzyllo W, Thygesen C, Underwood SR, Vahanian A, Verheugt FW, Wijns W. Management of acute myocardial infarction in patients presenting with ST-segment elevation. The Task Force on the Management of Acute Myocardial Infarction of the European Society of Cardiology. *Eur Heart J* 2003;**24**(1):28–66.
313. Meneveau N, Séronde MF, Blonde MC, Legalery P, Didier-Petit K, Briand F, Caulfield F, Schiele F, Bernard Y, Bassand JP. Management of unsuccessful thrombolysis in acute massive pulmonary embolism. *Chest* 2006;**129**(4):1043–1050.
314. Daniels LB, Parker JA, Patel SR, Grodstein F, Goldhaber SZ. Relation of duration of symptoms with response to thrombolytic therapy in pulmonary embolism. *Am J Cardiol* 1997;**80**(2):184–188.
315. Stein PD, Matta F. Thrombolytic therapy in unstable patients with acute pulmonary embolism: saves lives but underused. *Am J Med* 2012;**125**(5):465–470.
316. Kanter DS, Mikkola KM, Patel SR, Parker JA, Goldhaber SZ. Thrombolytic therapy for pulmonary embolism. Frequency of intracranial hemorrhage and associated risk factors. *Chest* 1997;**111**(5):1241–1245.
317. Levine MN, Goldhaber SZ, Gore JM, Hirsh J, Califf RM. Hemorrhagic complications of thrombolytic therapy in the treatment of myocardial infarction and venous thromboembolism. *Chest* 1995;**108**(4 Suppl):291S–301S.
318. Mikkola KM, Patel SR, Parker JA, Grodstein F, Goldhaber SZ. Increasing age is a major risk factor for hemorrhagic complications after pulmonary embolism thrombolysis. *Am Heart J* 1997;**134**(1):69–72.
319. Sharifi M, Bay C, Skrocki L, Rahimi F, Mehdi-pour M. Moderate pulmonary embolism treated with thrombolysis (from the “MOPETT” Trial). *Am J Cardiol* 2013;**111**(2):273–277.
320. Wang C, Zhai Z, Yang Y, Wu Q, Cheng Z, Liang L, Dai H, Huang K, Lu W, Zhang Z, Cheng X, Shen YH. Efficacy and safety of low dose recombinant tissue-type plasminogen activator for the treatment of acute pulmonary thromboembolism: a randomized, multicenter, controlled trial. *Chest* 2010;**137**(2):254–262.
321. Chartier L, Béra J, Delomez M, Asseman P, Beregi JP, Bauchart JJ, Warembourg H, Théry C. Free-floating thrombi in the right heart: diagnosis, management, and prognostic indexes in 38 consecutive patients. *Circulation* 1999;**99**(21):2779–2783.
322. Kinney EL, Wright RJ. Efficacy of treatment of patients with echocardiographically detected right-sided heart thrombi: a meta-analysis. *Am Heart J* 1989;**118**(3):569–573.
323. Myers PO, Bounameaux H, Panos A, Lerch R, Kalangos A. Impending paradoxical embolism: systematic review of prognostic factors and treatment. *Chest* 2010;**137**(1):164–170.
324. Mathew TC, Ramsaran EK, Aragam JR. Impending paradoxical embolism in acute pulmonary embolism: diagnosis by transesophageal echocardiography and treatment by emergent surgery. *Am Heart J* 1995;**129**(4):826–827.
325. Kilic A, Shah AS, Conte JV, Yuh DD. Nationwide outcomes of surgical embolectomy for acute pulmonary embolism. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2013;**145**(2):373–377.
326. Malekan R, Saunders PC, Yu CJ, Brown KA, Gass AL, Spielvogel D, Lansman SL. Peripheral extracorporeal membrane oxygenation: comprehensive therapy for high-risk massive pulmonary embolism. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;**94**(1):104–108.
327. Takahashi H, Okada K, Matsumori M, Kano H, Kitagawa A, Okita Y. Aggressive surgical treatment of acute pulmonary embolism with circulatory collapse. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;**94**(3):785–791.
328. Leacche M, Unic D, Goldhaber SZ, Rawn JD, Aranki SF, Couper GS, Mihaljevic T, Rizzo RJ, Cohn LH, Aklog L, Byrne JG. Modern surgical treatment of massive pulmonary embolism: results in 47 consecutive patients after rapid diagnosis and aggressive surgical approach. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2005;**129**(5):1018–1023.
329. Aymard T, Kadner A, Widmer A, Basciani R, Tevearai H, Weber A, Schmidli J, Carrel T. Massive pulmonary embolism: surgical embolectomy versus thrombolytic therapy: should surgical indications be revisited? *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2013;**43**(1):90–94.
330. Fukuda I, Taniguchi S, Fukui K, Minakawa M, Daitoku K, Suzuki Y. Improved outcome of surgical pulmonary embolectomy by aggressive intervention for critically ill patients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2011;**91**(3):728–732.
331. Aklog L, Williams CS, Byrne JG, Goldhaber SZ. Acute pulmonary embolectomy: a contemporary approach. *Circulation* 2002;**105**(12):1416–1419.
332. Greelish JP, Leacche M, Solenkova NS, Ahmad RM, Byrne JG. Improved midterm outcomes for type A (central) pulmonary emboli treated surgically. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2011;**142**(6):1423–1429.
333. Vohra HA, Whistance RN, Mattam K, Kaarne M, Haw MP, Barlow CW, Tsang GM, Livesey SA, Ohri SK. Early and late clinical outcomes of pulmonary embolectomy for acute massive pulmonary embolism. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2010;**90**(6):1747–1752.
334. Kuo WT, Gould MK, Louie JD, Rosenberg JK, Sze DY, Hofmann LV. Catheter-directed therapy for the treatment of massive pulmonary embolism: systematic review and meta-analysis of modern techniques. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2009;**20**(11):1431–1440.
335. Engelberger RP, Kucher N. Ultrasound-assisted thrombolysis for acute pulmonary embolism: a systematic review. *Eur Heart J* 2014;**35**(12):758–764.
336. Kucher N, Boekstegers P, Müller OJ, Kupatt C, Beyer-Westendorf J, Heitzer T, Tebbe U, Horstkotte J, Müller R, Blessing E, Greif M, Lange P, Hoffmann RT, Werth S, Barmeyer A, Härtel D, Grünwald H, Empen K, Baumgartner I. Randomized, controlled trial of ultrasound-assisted catheter-directed thrombolysis for acute intermediate-risk pulmonary embolism. *Circulation* 2014;**129**(4):479–486.
337. Stein PD, Matta F, Keyes DC, Willyerd GL. Impact of vena cava filters on in-hospital case fatality rate from pulmonary embolism. *Am J Med* 2012;**125**(5):478–484.
338. Muriel A, Jiménez D, Aujesky D, Bertoletti L, Decousus H, Laporte S, Mismetti P, Muñoz FJ, Yusen R, Monreal M; RIETE Investigators. Survival effects of inferior vena cava filter in patients with acute symptomatic venous thromboembolism and a significant bleeding risk. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2014;**63**(16):1675–1683.
339. Hann CL, Streiff MB. The role of vena caval filters in the management of venous thromboembolism. *Blood Rev* 2005;**19**(4):179–202.
340. Kucher N. Clinical practice. Deep-vein thrombosis of the upper extremities. *N Engl J Med* 2011;**364**(9):861–869.
341. PREPIC Study Group. Eight-year follow-up of patients with permanent vena cava filters in the prevention of pulmonary embolism: the PREPIC (Prevention du Risque d'Embolie Pulmonaire par Interruption Cave) randomized study. *Circulation* 2005;**112**(3):416–422.
342. Failla PJ, Reed KD, Summer WR, Karam GH. Inferior vena caval filters: key considerations. *Am J Med Sci* 2005;**330**(2):82–87.
343. Zhu X, Tam MD, Bartholomew J, Newman JS, Sands MJ, Wang W. Retrieval and device-related complications of the G2 filter: a retrospective study of 139 filter retrievals. *J Vasc Interv Radiol* 2011;**22**(6):806–812.
344. Karmy-Jones R, Jurkovich GJ, Velmahos GC, Burdick T, Spaniolas K, Todd SR, McNally M, Jacoby RC, Link D, Janczyk RJ, Ivascu FA, McCann M, Obeid F, Hoff WS, McQuay N Jr., Tieu BH, Schreiber MA, Nirula R, Brasel K, Dunn JA, Gambrell D, Huckfeldt R, Harper J, Schaffer KB, Tominaga GT, Vines FY, Sperling D, Hoyt D, Coimbra R, Rosengart MR, Forsythe R, Cothren C, Moore EE, Haut ER, Hayanga AJ, Hird L, White C, Grossman J, Nagy K, Livaudais W, Wood R, Zengerink I, Kortbeek JB. Practice patterns and outcomes of retrievable vena cava filters in trauma patients: an AAST multicenter study. *J Trauma* 2007;**62**(1):17–24.
345. Pacouret G, Alison D, Pottier JM, Bertrand P, Charbonnier B. Free-floating thrombus and embolic risk in patients with angiographically confirmed proximal deep venous thrombosis. A prospective study. *Arch Intern Med* 1997;**157**(3):305–308.
346. Squizzato A, Donadini MP, Galli L, Dentali F, Aujesky D, Ageno W. Prognostic clinical prediction rules to identify a low-risk pulmonary embolism: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2012;**10**(7):1276–1290.
347. Zondag W, Mos IC, Creemers-Schild D, Hoogerbrugge AD, Dekkers OM, Dolsma J, Eijssvogel M, Faber LM, Hofstee HM, Hovens MM, Jonkers GJ, van Kralingen KW, Kruijff M, Vlasveld T, DE Vreede MJ, Huisman MV. Outpatient treatment in patients with acute pulmonary embolism: the Hestia Study. *J Thromb Haemost* 2011;**9**(8):1500–1507.
348. Lankeit M, Konstantinides S. Is it time for home treatment of pulmonary embolism? *Eur Respir J* 2012;**40**(3):742–749.
349. Otero R, Uresandi F, Jiménez D, Cabezudo MA, Oribe M, Nauffal D, Conget F, Rodríguez C, Cayuela A. Home treatment in pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Res* 2010;**126**(1):e1–e5.
350. Uresandi F, Otero R, Cayuela A, Cabezudo MA, Jimenez D, Laserna E, Conget F, Oribe M, Nauffal D. [A clinical prediction rule for identifying short-term risk of adverse events in patients with pulmonary thromboembolism]. *Arch Bronconeumol* 2007;**43**(11):617–622.
351. Zondag W, Kooiman J, Kloek FA, Dekkers OM, Huisman MV. Outpatient versus inpatient treatment in patients with pulmonary embolism: a meta-analysis. *Eur Respir J* 2013;**42**(1):134–144.

352. Brandjes DP, Heijboer H, Büller HR, de Rijk M, Jagt H, ten Cate JW. Acenocoumarol and heparin compared with acenocoumarol alone in the initial treatment of proximal-vein thrombosis. *N Engl J Med* 1992;**327**(21):1485–1489.
353. Büller HR, Davidson BL, Decousus H, Gallus A, Gent M, Piovella F, Prins MH, Raskob G, Segers AE, Cariou R, Leeuwenkamp O, Lensing AW. Fondaparinux or enoxaparin for the initial treatment of symptomatic deep venous thrombosis: a randomized trial. *Ann Intern Med* 2004;**140**(11):867–873.
354. Hull RD, Raskob GE, Rosenbloom D, Panju AA, Brill-Edwards P, Ginsberg JS, Hirsh J, Martin GJ, Green D. Heparin for 5 days as compared with 10 days in the initial treatment of proximal venous thrombosis. *N Engl J Med* 1990;**322**(18):1260–1264.
355. Decousus H, Leizorovicz A, Parent F, Page Y, Tardy B, Girard P, Laporte S, Faivre R, Charbonnier B, Barral FG, Huet Y, Simonneau G. A clinical trial of vena caval filters in the prevention of pulmonary embolism in patients with proximal deep-vein thrombosis. Prevention du Risque d'Embolie Pulmonaire par Interruption Cave Study Group [see comments]. *N Engl J Med* 1998;**338**(7):409–415.
356. Lee AY, Rickles FR, Julian JA, Gent M, Baker RI, Bowden C, Kakkar AK, Prins M, Levine MN. Randomized comparison of low molecular weight heparin and coumarin derivatives on the survival of patients with cancer and venous thromboembolism. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;**23**(10):2123–2129.
357. Kakkar AK, Levine MN, Kadziola Z, Lemoine NR, Low V, Patel HK, Rustin G, Thomas M, Quigley M, Williamson RC. Low molecular weight heparin, therapy with dalteparin, and survival in advanced cancer: the fragmin advanced malignancy outcome study (FAMOUS). *J Clin Oncol* 2004;**22**(10):1944–1948.
358. Agnelli G, Prandoni P, Becattini C, Silingardi M, Taliani MR, Miccio M, Imberti D, Poggio R, Ageno W, Pogliani E, Porro F, Zonzin P. Extended oral anticoagulant therapy after a first episode of pulmonary embolism. *Ann Intern Med* 2003;**139**(1):19–25.
359. Murin S, Romano PS, White RH. Comparison of outcomes after hospitalization for deep venous thrombosis or pulmonary embolism. *Thromb Haemost* 2002;**88**(3):407–414.
360. Schulman S, Granqvist S, Holmström M, Carlsson A, Lindmarker P, Nicol P, Eklund SG, Nordlander S, Lärfars G, Leijid B, Linder O, Loogna E. The duration of oral anticoagulant therapy after a second episode of venous thromboembolism. The Duration of Anticoagulation Trial Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1997;**336**(6):393–398.
361. Schulman S. The effect of the duration of anticoagulation and other risk factors on the recurrence of venous thromboembolisms. Duration of Anticoagulation Study Group. *Wien Med Wochenschr* 1999;**149**(2–4):66–69.
362. Douketis JD, Gu CS, Schulman S, Ghirarduzzi A, Pengo V, Prandoni P. The risk for fatal pulmonary embolism after discontinuing anticoagulant therapy for venous thromboembolism. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;**147**(11):766–774.
363. Schulman S, Rhedin AS, Lindmarker P, Carlsson A, Lärfars G, Nicol P, Loogna E, Svensson E, Ljungberg B, Walter H. A comparison of six weeks with six months of oral anticoagulant therapy after a first episode of venous thromboembolism. Duration of Anticoagulation Trial Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1995;**332**(25):1661–1665.
364. Joung S, Robinson B. Venous thromboembolism in cancer patients in Christchurch, 1995–1999. *N Z Med J* 2002;**115**(1155):257–260.
365. Hutten BA, Prins MH, Gent M, Ginsberg J, Tijssen JG, Büller HR. Incidence of recurrent thromboembolic and bleeding complications among patients with venous thromboembolism in relation to both malignancy and achieved international normalized ratio: a retrospective analysis. *J Clin Oncol* 2000;**18**(17):3078–3083.
366. Grifoni S, Vanni S, Magazzini S, Olivetto I, Conti A, Zanobetti M, Polidori G, Pieralli F, Peiman N, Becattini C, Agnelli G. Association of persistent right ventricular dysfunction at hospital discharge after acute pulmonary embolism with recurrent thromboembolic events. *Arch Intern Med* 2006;**166**(19):2151–2156.
367. Palareti G, Cosmi B, Legnani C, Tosetto A, Brusi C, Iorio A, Pengo V, Ghirarduzzi A, Pattacini C, Testa S, Lensing AW, Tripodi A. D-dimer testing to determine the duration of anticoagulation therapy. *N Engl J Med* 2006;**355**(17):1780–1789.
368. Becattini C, Agnelli G, Schenone A, Eichinger S, Bucherini E, Silingardi M, Bianchi M, Moia M, Ageno W, Vandelli MR, Grandone E, Prandoni P. Aspirin for preventing the recurrence of venous thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med* 2012;**366**(21):1959–1967.
369. Brighton TA, Eikelboom JW, Mann K, Mister R, Gallus A, Ockelford P, Gibbs H, Hague W, Xavier D, Diaz R, Kirby A, Simes J. Low-dose aspirin for preventing recurrent venous thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med* 2012;**367**(21):1979–1987.
370. Schulman S, Kearon C, Kakkar AK, Schellong S, Eriksson H, Baanstra D, Kvanne AM, Friedman J, Mismetti P, Goldhaber SZ. Extended use of dabigatran, warfarin, or placebo in venous thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med* 2013;**368**(8):709–718.
371. Agnelli G, Büller HR, Cohen A, Curto M, Gallus AS, Johnson M, Porcari A, Raskob GE, Weitz JI. Apixaban for extended treatment of venous thromboembolism. *N Engl J Med* 2013;**368**(8):699–708.
372. Levine MN, Hirsh J, Gent M, Turpie AG, Weitz J, Ginsberg J, Geerts W, LeClerc J, Neemeh J, Powers P. Optimal duration of oral anticoagulant therapy: a randomized trial comparing four weeks with three months of warfarin in patients with proximal deep vein thrombosis. *Thromb Haemost* 1995;**74**(2):606–611.
373. Optimum duration of anticoagulation for deep-vein thrombosis and pulmonary embolism. Research Committee of the British Thoracic Society. *Lancet* 1992;**340**(8824):873–876.
374. Campbell IA, Bentley DP, Prescott RJ, Routledge PA, Shetty HG, Williamson IJ. Anticoagulation for three versus six months in patients with deep vein thrombosis or pulmonary embolism, or both: randomised trial. *BMJ* 2007;**334**(7595):674.
375. Kearon C, Gent M, Hirsh J, Weitz J, Kovacs MJ, Anderson DR, Turpie AG, Green D, Ginsberg JS, Wells P, MacKinnon B, Julian JA. A comparison of three months of anticoagulation with extended anticoagulation for a first episode of idiopathic venous thromboembolism [published erratum appears in *N Engl J Med* 1999 Jul 22;341(4):298]. *N Engl J Med* 1999;**340**(12):901–907.
376. Akl EA, Labeledi N, Barba M, Terrenato I, Sperati F, Muti P, Schunemann H. Anticoagulation for the long-term treatment of venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011;(6):CD006650.
377. Akl EA, Vasireddi SR, Gunukula S, Barba M, Sperati F, Terrenato I, Muti P, Schunemann H. Anticoagulation for the initial treatment of venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011;(4):CD006649.
378. Pepke-Zaba J, Jansa P, Kim NH, Naeije R, Simonneau G. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: role of medical therapy. *Eur Respir J* 2013;**41**(4):985–990.
379. Galiè N, Hoeper MM, Humbert M, Torbicki A, Vachiery JL, Barbera JA, Beghetti M, Corris P, Gaine S, Gibbs JS, Gomez-Sanchez MA, Jondeau G, Klepetko W, Opitz C, Peacock A, Rubin L, Zellweger M, Simonneau G. Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Respir J* 2009;**34**(6):1219–1263.
380. Simonneau G, Gatzoulis MA, Adatia I, Celermajer D, Denton C, Ghofrani A, Gomez Sanchez MA, Krishna Kumar R, Landzberg M, Machado RF, Olschewski H, Robbins IM, Souza R. Updated clinical classification of pulmonary hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;**62**(25 Suppl):D34–D41.
381. Lang IM, Pesavento R, Bonderman D, Yuan JX. Risk factors and basic mechanisms of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: a current understanding. *Eur Respir J* 2013;**41**(2):462–468.
382. Lang IM, Simonneau G, Pepke-Zaba JW, Mayer E, Ambrož D, Blanco I, Torbicki A, Mellekjaer S, Yaici A, Delcroix M. Factors associated with diagnosis and operability of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. A case-control study. *Thromb Haemost* 2013;**110**(1):83–91.
383. Wong CL, Szyldo R, Gibbs S, Laffan M. Hereditary and acquired thrombotic risk factors for chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Blood Coagul Fibrinolysis* 2010;**21**(3):201–206.
384. Bonderman D, Jakowitsch J, Redwan B, Bergmeister H, Renner MK, Panzenbock H, Adlbrecht C, Georgopoulos A, Klepetko W, Kneussl M, Lang IM. Role for staphylococci in misguided thrombus resolution of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2008;**28**(4):678–684.
385. Quarck R, Nawrot T, Meyns B, Delcroix M. C-reactive protein: a new predictor of adverse outcome in pulmonary arterial hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2009;**53**(14):1211–1218.
386. Firth AL, Yao W, Ogawa A, Madani MM, Lin GY, Yuan JX. Multipotent mesenchymal progenitor cells are present in endarterectomized tissues from patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Am J Physiol Cell Physiol* 2010;**298**(5):C1217–C1225.
387. Yao W, Firth AL, Sacks RS, Ogawa A, Auger WR, Fedullo PF, Madani MM, Lin GY, Sakakibara N, Thistlethwaite PA, Jamieson SV, Rubin LJ, Yuan JX. Identification of putative endothelial progenitor cells (CD34+CD133+Flk-1+) in endarterectomized tissue of patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2009;**296**(6):L870–L878.
388. Morris TA, Marsh JJ, Chiles PG, Magaña MM, Liang NC, Soler X, Desantis DJ, Ngo D, Woods VL Jr. High prevalence of dysfibrinogenemia among patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Blood* 2009;**114**(9):1929–1936.
389. Bonderman D, Skoro-Sajer N, Jakowitsch J, Adlbrecht C, Dunkler D, Taghavi S, Klepetko W, Kneussl M, Lang IM. Predictors of outcome in chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Circulation* 2007;**115**(16):2153–2158.
390. Delcroix M, Vonk Noordegraaf A, Fadel E, Lang I, Simonneau G, Naeije R. Vascular and right ventricular remodelling in chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Respir J* 2013;**41**(1):224–232.
391. Madani MM, Auger WR, Pretorius V, Sakakibara N, Kerr KM, Kim NH, Fedullo PF, Jamieson SW. Pulmonary endarterectomy: recent changes in a single institution's experience of more than 2,700 patients. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2012;**94**(1):97–103.
392. Pepke-Zaba J, Delcroix M, Lang I, Mayer E, Jansa P, Ambrož D, Treacy C, D'Armini AM, Morsolini M, Snijder R, Bresser P, Torbicki A, Kristensen B, Lewczuk J, Simkova I, Barberà JA, de Perrot M, Hoeper MM, Gaine S, Speich R, Gomez-Sanchez MA, Kovacs G, Hamid AM, Jais X, Simonneau G. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH): results from an international prospective registry. *Circulation* 2011;**124**(18):1973–1981.

393. Berger RM, Beghetti M, Humpl T, Raskob GE, Ivy DD, Jing ZC, Bonnet D, Schulze-Neick I, Barst RJ. Clinical features of paediatric pulmonary hypertension: a registry study. *Lancet* 2012;**379**(9815):537–546.
394. Beghetti M, Berger RM, Schulze-Neick I, Day RW, Pulido T, Feinstein J, Barst RJ, Humpl T. Diagnostic evaluation of paediatric pulmonary hypertension in current clinical practice. *Eur Respir J* 2013;**42**(3):689–700.
395. Tunariu N, Gibbs SJ, Win Z, Gin-Sing W, Graham A, Gishen P, Al-Nahhas A. Ventilation-perfusion scintigraphy is more sensitive than multidetector CTPA in detecting chronic thromboembolic pulmonary disease as a treatable cause of pulmonary hypertension. *J Nucl Med* 2007;**48**(5):680–684.
396. Seferian A, Helal B, Jais X, Girerd B, Price LC, Günther S, Savale L, Dorfmueller P, Parent F, Sitbon O, Humbert M, Simonneau G, Montani D. Ventilation/perfusion lung scan in pulmonary veno-occlusive disease. *Eur Respir J* 2012;**40**(1):75–83.
397. Lang IM, Plank C, Sadushi-Kolici R, Jakovitsch J, Klepetko W, Maurer G. Imaging in pulmonary hypertension. *JACC Cardiovasc Imaging* 2010;**3**(12):1287–1295.
398. Mayer E, Jenkins D, Lindner J, D'Armini A, Kloek J, Meyns B, Ilkjaer LB, Klepetko W, Delcroix M, Lang I, Pepke-Zaba J, Simonneau G, Dartevelle P. Surgical management and outcome of patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: results from an international prospective registry. *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg* 2011;**141**(3):702–710.
399. Cummings KW, Bhalla S. Multidetector computed tomographic pulmonary angiography: beyond acute pulmonary embolism. *Radiol Clin North Am* 2010;**48**(1):51–65.
400. Sherrick AD, Swensen SJ, Hartman TE. Mosaic pattern of lung attenuation on CT scans: frequency among patients with pulmonary artery hypertension of different causes. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 1997;**169**(1):79–82.
401. Ley S, Ley-Zaporozhan J, Pitton MB, Schneider J, Wirth GM, Mayer E, Düber C, Kreitner KF. Diagnostic performance of state-of-the-art imaging techniques for morphological assessment of vascular abnormalities in patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension (CTEPH). *Eur Radiol* 2012;**22**(3):607–616.
402. Shure D, Gregoratos G, Moser KM. Fiberoptic angiography: role in the diagnosis of chronic pulmonary arterial obstruction. *Ann Intern Med* 1985;**103**(6) (Pt 1):844–850.
403. Jenkins DP, Madani M, Mayer E, Kerr K, Kim N, Klepetko W, Morsolini M, Dartevelle P. Surgical treatment of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Eur Respir J* 2013;**41**(3):735–742.
404. Vuylsteke A, Sharples L, Charman G, Kneeshaw J, Tsui S, Dunning J, Wheaton E, Klein A, Arrowsmith J, Hall R, Jenkins D. Circulatory arrest versus cerebral perfusion during pulmonary endarterectomy surgery (PEACOG): a randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2011;**378**(9800):1379–1387.
405. Mizoguchi H, Ogawa A, Munemasa M, Mikouchi H, Ito H, Matsubara H. Refined balloon pulmonary angioplasty for inoperable patients with chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2012;**5**(6):748–755.
406. Andreassen AK, Ragnarsson A, Gude E, Geiran O, Andersen R. Balloon pulmonary angioplasty in patients with inoperable chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Heart* 2013;**99**(19):1415–20.
407. Inami T, Kataoka M, Shimura N, Ishiguro H, Yanagisawa R, Taguchi H, Fukuda K, Yoshino H, Satoh T. Pulmonary Edema Predictive Scoring Index (PEPSI), a New Index to Predict Risk of Reperfusion Pulmonary Edema and Improvement of Hemodynamics in Percutaneous Transluminal Pulmonary Angioplasty. *JACC Cardiovasc Interv* 2013;**6**(7):725–736.
408. Kataoka M, Inami T, Hayashida K, Shimura N, Ishiguro H, Abe T, Tamura Y, Ando M, Fukuda K, Yoshino H, Satoh T. Percutaneous transluminal pulmonary angioplasty for the treatment of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv* 2012;**5**(6):756–762.
409. Pepke-Zaba J, Jansa P, Kim NH, Naeije R, Simonneau G. Chronic Thromboembolic Pulmonary Hypertension: Role of medical therapy. *Eur Respir J* 2013;**41**(4):985–990.
410. Jais X, D'Armini AM, Jansa P, Torbicki A, Delcroix M, Ghofrani HA, Hoepfer MM, Lang IM, Mayer E, Pepke-Zaba J, Perchenet L, Morganti A, Simonneau G, Rubin LJ. Bosentan for treatment of inoperable chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: BENEFIT (Bosentan Effects in iNoperable Forms of chronic Thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension), a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2008;**52**(25):2127–2134.
411. Ghofrani HA, D'Armini AM, Grimminger F, Hoepfer MM, Jansa P, Kim NH, Mayer E, Simonneau G, Wilkins MR, Fritsch A, Neuser D, Weimann G, Wang C. Riociguat for the treatment of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *N Engl J Med* 2013;**369**(4):319–329.
412. Kim NH, Delcroix M, Jenkins DP, Channick R, Dartevelle P, Jansa P, Lang I, Madani MM, Ogino H, Pengo V, Mayer E. Chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension. *J Am Coll Cardiol* 2013;**62**(25 Suppl):D92–D99.
413. Jamieson SW, Kapelanski DP, Sakakibara N, Manecke GR, Thistlethwaite PA, Kerr KM, Channick RN, Fedullo PF, Auger WR. Pulmonary endarterectomy: experience and lessons learned in 1,500 cases. *Ann Thorac Surg* 2003;**76**(5):1457–1462.
414. Rubin LJ, Hoepfer MM, Klepetko W, Galie N, Lang IM, Simonneau G. Current and future management of chronic thromboembolic pulmonary hypertension: from diagnosis to treatment responses. *Proc Am Thorac Soc* 2006;**3**(7):601–607.
415. Sullivan EA, Ford JB, Chambers G, Slaytor EK. Maternal mortality in Australia, 1973–1996. *Aust N Z J Obstet Gynaecol* 2004;**44**(5):452–457.
416. Regitz-Zagrosek V, Blomstrom LC, Borghi C, Cifkova R, Ferreira R, Foidart JM, Gibbs JS, Gohlke-Baerwolf C, Gorenek B, Iung B, Kirby M, Maas AH, Morais J, Nihoyannopoulos P, Pieper PG, Presbitero P, Roos-Hesselink JW, Schaufelberger M, Seeland U, Torracca L. ESC Guidelines on the management of cardiovascular diseases during pregnancy: the Task Force on the Management of Cardiovascular Diseases during Pregnancy of the European Society of Cardiology (ESC). *Eur Heart J* 2011;**32**(24):3147–3197.
417. O'Connor C, Moriarty J, Walsh J, Murray J, Coulter-Smith S, Boyd W. The application of a clinical risk stratification score may reduce unnecessary investigations for pulmonary embolism in pregnancy. *J Matern Fetal Neonatal Med* 2011;**24**(12):1461–1464.
418. Chan WS, Chunilal S, Lee A, Crowther M, Rodger M, Ginsberg JS. A red blood cell agglutination D-dimer test to exclude deep venous thrombosis in pregnancy. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;**147**(3):165–170.
419. Chan WS, Lee A, Spencer FA, Chunilal S, Crowther M, Wu W, Johnston M, Rodger M, Ginsberg JS. D-dimer testing in pregnant patients: towards determining the next 'level' in the diagnosis of deep vein thrombosis. *J Thromb Haemost* 2010;**8**(5):1004–1011.
420. Ginsberg JS, Hirsh J, Rainbow AJ, Coates G. Risks to the fetus of radiologic procedures used in the diagnosis of maternal venous thromboembolic disease. *Thromb Haemost* 1989;**61**(2):189–196.
421. Einstein AJ, Henzlova MJ, Rajagopalan S. Estimating risk of cancer associated with radiation exposure from 64-slice computed tomography coronary angiography. *JAMA* 2007;**298**(3):317–323.
422. Revel MP, Cohen S, Sanchez O, Collignon MA, Thiam R, Redheuil A, Meyer G, Frija G. Pulmonary embolism during pregnancy: diagnosis with lung scintigraphy or CT angiography? *Radiology* 2011;**258**(2):590–598.
423. Shahir K, Goodman LR, Tali A, Thorsen KM, Hellman RS. Pulmonary embolism in pregnancy: CT pulmonary angiography versus perfusion scanning. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2010;**195**(3):W214–W220.
424. Cahill AG, Stout MJ, Macones GA, Bhalla S. Diagnosing pulmonary embolism in pregnancy using computed-tomographic angiography or ventilation-perfusion. *Obstet Gynecol* 2009;**114**(1):124–129.
425. Ridge CA, McDermott S, Freyne BJ, Brennan DJ, Collins CD, Skehan SJ. Pulmonary embolism in pregnancy: comparison of pulmonary CT angiography and lung scintigraphy. *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2009;**193**(5):1223–1227.
426. Scarsbrook AF, Bradley KM, Gleeson FV. Perfusion scintigraphy: diagnostic utility in pregnant women with suspected pulmonary embolic disease. *Eur Radiol* 2007;**17**(10):2554–2560.
427. Chan WS, Ray JG, Murray S, Coady GE, Coates G, Ginsberg JS. Suspected pulmonary embolism in pregnancy: clinical presentation, results of lung scanning, and subsequent maternal and pediatric outcomes. *Arch Intern Med* 2002;**162**(10):1170–1175.
428. Balan KK, Critchley M, Vedavathy KK, Smith ML, Vinjamuri S. The value of ventilation-perfusion imaging in pregnancy. *Br J Radiol* 1997;**70**(832):338–340.
429. Bourjeily G, Khalil H, Raker C, Martin S, Auger P, Chalhoub M, Larson L, Miller M. Outcomes of negative multi-detector computed tomography with pulmonary angiography in pregnant women suspected of pulmonary embolism. *Lung* 2012;**190**(1):105–111.
430. Bajc M, Neilly JB, Miniati M, Schuemichen C, Meignan M, Jonson B. EANM guidelines for ventilation/perfusion scintigraphy: Part 1. Pulmonary imaging with ventilation/perfusion single photon emission tomography. *Eur J Nucl Med Mol Imaging* 2009;**36**(8):1356–1370.
431. Chunilal SD, Bates SM. Venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: diagnosis, management and prevention. *Thromb Haemost* 2009;**101**(3):428–438.
432. Romualdi E, Dentali F, Rancan E, Squizzato A, Steidl L, Middeldorp S, Ageno W. Anticoagulant therapy for venous thromboembolism during pregnancy: a systematic review and a meta-analysis of the literature. *J Thromb Haemost* 2013;**11**(2):270–281.
433. Greer IA, Nelson-Piercy C. Low-molecular-weight heparins for thromboprophylaxis and treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a systematic review of safety and efficacy. *Blood* 2005;**106**(2):401–407.
434. Rodie VA, Thomson AJ, Stewart FM, Quinn AJ, Walker ID, Greer IA. Low molecular weight heparin for the treatment of venous thromboembolism in pregnancy: a case series. *BJOG* 2002;**109**(9):1020–1024.
435. Lepercq J, Conard J, Borel-Derlon A, Darmon JY, Boudignat O, Francoual C, Priollet P, Cohen C, Yvelin N, Schved JF, Tournaire M, Borg JY. Venous thromboembolism during pregnancy: a retrospective study of enoxaparin safety in 624 pregnancies. *BJOG* 2001;**108**(11):1134–1140.

436. Bates SM, Greer IA, Middeldorp S, Veenstra DL, Prabulos AM, Vandvik PO. VTE, thrombophilia, antithrombotic therapy, and pregnancy: Antithrombotic Therapy and Prevention of Thrombosis, 9th ed: American College of Chest Physicians Evidence-Based Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Chest* 2012;**141**(2 Suppl):e691S–e736S.
437. Segal JB, Streiff MB, Hofmann LV, Thornton K, Bass EB. Management of venous thromboembolism: a systematic review for a practice guideline. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;**146**(3):211–222.
438. Leonhardt G, Gaul C, Nietsch HH, Buerke M, Schlessner E. Thrombolytic therapy in pregnancy. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2006;**21**(3):271–276.
439. Cronin-Fenton DP, Søndergaard F, Pedersen LA, Fryzek JP, Cetin K, Acquavella J, Baron JA, Sørensen HT. Hospitalisation for venous thromboembolism in cancer patients and the general population: a population-based cohort study in Denmark, 1997–2006. *Br J Cancer* 2010;**103**(7):947–953.
440. Palumbo A, Cavo M, Bringhen S, Zamagni E, Romano A, Patriarca F, Rossi D, Gentilini F, Crippa C, Galli M, Nozzoli C, Ria R, Marasca R, Montefusco V, Baldini L, Elice F, Callea V, Pulini S, Carella AM, Zambello R, Benevolo G, Magarotto V, Tacchetti P, Pescosta N, Cellini C, Polloni C, Evangelista A, Caravita T, Morabito F, Offidani M, Tosi P, Boccadoro M. Aspirin, warfarin, or enoxaparin thromboprophylaxis in patients with multiple myeloma treated with thalidomide: a phase III, open-label, randomized trial. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;**29**(8):986–993.
441. Akl EA, Vasireddi SR, Gunukula S, Yosucio VE, Barba M, Sperati F, Cook D, Schünemann H. Anticoagulation for patients with cancer and central venous catheters. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2011;**(4)**:CD006468.
442. Sweetland S, Green J, Liu B, Berrington de Gonzáles A, Canonico M, Reeves G, Beral V. Duration and magnitude of the postoperative risk of venous thromboembolism in middle aged women: prospective cohort study. *BMJ* 2009;**339**:b4583.
443. Douma RA, van Sluis GL, Kamphuisen PW, Sohne M, Leebeek FW, Bossuyt PM, Büller HR. Clinical decision rule and D-dimer have lower clinical utility to exclude pulmonary embolism in cancer patients. Explanations and potential ameliorations. *Thromb Haemost* 2010;**104**(4):831–836.
444. Dentali F, Ageno W, Becattini C, Galli L, Gianni M, Riva N, Imberti D, Squizzato A, Venco A, Agnelli G. Prevalence and clinical history of incidental, asymptomatic pulmonary embolism: a meta-analysis. *Thromb Res* 2010;**125**(6):518–522.
445. Engelke C, Manstein P, Rummeny EJ, Marten K. Suspected and incidental pulmonary embolism on multidetector-row CT: analysis of technical and morphological factors influencing the diagnosis in a cross-sectional cancer centre patient cohort. *Clin Radiol* 2006;**61**(1):71–80.
446. Paddon AJ. Incidental pulmonary embolism detected by routine CT in patients with cancer. *Cancer Imaging* 2005;**5**(1):25–26.
447. den Exter PL, Hooijer J, Dekkers OM, Huisman MV. Risk of recurrent venous thromboembolism and mortality in patients with cancer incidentally diagnosed with pulmonary embolism: a comparison with symptomatic patients. *J Clin Oncol* 2011;**29**(17):2405–2409.
448. Abdel-Razeq HN, Mansour AH, Ismael YM. Incidental pulmonary embolism in cancer patients: clinical characteristics and outcome: a comprehensive cancer center experience. *Vasc Health Risk Manag* 2011;**7**:153–158.
449. Sun JM, Kim TS, Lee J, Park YH, Ahn JS, Kim H, Kwon OJ, Lee KS, Park K, Ahn MJ. Unsuspected pulmonary emboli in lung cancer patients: the impact on survival and the significance of anticoagulation therapy. *Lung Cancer* 2010;**69**(3):330–336.
450. Ruiz-Giménez N, Suárez C, González R, Nieto JA, Todolí JA, Samperiz AL, Monreal M. Predictive variables for major bleeding events in patients presenting with documented acute venous thromboembolism. Findings from the RIETE Registry. *Thromb Haemost* 2008;**100**(1):26–31.
451. Nieto JA, Camara T, Gonzalez-Higuera E, Ruiz-Gimenez N, Guijarro R, Marchena PJ, Monreal M, RIETE I. Clinical outcome of patients with major bleeding after venous thromboembolism. Findings from the RIETE Registry. *Thromb Haemost* 2008;**100**(5):789–796.
452. Levitan N, Dowlati A, Remick SC, Tahsildar HI, Sivinski LD, Beyth R, Rimm AA. Rates of initial and recurrent thromboembolic disease among patients with malignancy versus those without malignancy. Risk analysis using Medicare claims data. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1999;**78**(5):285–291.
453. Louzada ML, Carrier M, Lazo-Langner A, Dao V, Kovacs MJ, Ramsay TO, Rodger MA, Zhang J, Lee AY, Meyer G, Wells PS. Development of a clinical prediction rule for risk stratification of recurrent venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer-associated venous thromboembolism. *Circulation* 2012;**126**(4):448–454.
454. Trujillo-Santos J, Nieto JA, Tiberio G, Piccoli A, Di Micco P, Prandoni P, Monreal M. Predicting recurrences or major bleeding in cancer patients with venous thromboembolism. Findings from the RIETE Registry. *Thromb Haemost* 2008;**100**(3):435–439.
455. Carrier M, Le Gal G, Cho R, Tierney S, Rodger M, Lee AY. Dose escalation of low molecular weight heparin to manage recurrent venous thromboembolic events despite systemic anticoagulation in cancer patients. *J Thromb Haemost* 2009;**7**(5):760–765.
456. Barginear MF, Gralla RJ, Bradley TP, Ali SS, Shapira I, Greben C, Nier-Shoulson N, Akerman M, Lesser M, Budman DR. Investigating the benefit of adding a vena cava filter to anticoagulation with fondaparinux sodium in patients with cancer and venous thromboembolism in a prospective randomized clinical trial. *Support Care Cancer* 2012;**20**(11):2865–2872.
457. Trujillo-Santos J, Prandoni P, Rivron-Guillot K, Román P, Sánchez R, Tiberio G, Monreal M. Clinical outcome in patients with venous thromboembolism and hidden cancer: findings from the RIETE Registry. *J Thromb Haemost* 2008;**6**(2):251–255.
458. Sørensen HT, Svaerke C, Farkas DK, Christiansen CF, Pedersen L, Lash TL, Prandoni P, Baron JA. Superficial and deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism and subsequent risk of cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 2012;**48**(4):586–593.
459. Di Nisio M, Otten HM, Piccoli A, Lensing AVW, Prandoni P, Büller HR, Prins MH. Decision analysis for cancer screening in idiopathic venous thromboembolism. *J Thromb Haemost* 2005;**3**(11):2391–2396.
460. van Doormaal FF, Terpstra W, Van Der Griend R, Prins MH, Nijziel MR, Van De Ree MA, Büller HR, Dutilh JC, ten Cate-Hoek A, Van Den Heiligenberg SM, Van Der Meer J, Otten JM. Is extensive screening for cancer in idiopathic venous thromboembolism warranted? *J Thromb Haemost* 2011;**9**(1):79–84.
461. Farge D, Deboureaud P, Beckers M, Baglin C, Bauersachs RM, Brenner B, Brillhante D, Falanga A, Gerotzafias GT, Haim N, Kakkar AK, Khorana AA, Lecumberri R, Mandala M, Marty M, Monreal M, Mousa SA, Noble S, Pabinger I, Prandoni P, Prins MH, Qari MH, Streiff MB, Syrigos K, Bounameaux H, Büller HR. International clinical practice guidelines for the treatment and prophylaxis of venous thromboembolism in patients with cancer. *J Thromb Haemost* 2013;**11**(1):56–70.
462. Mandala M, Falanga A, Roila F, ESMO Guidelines Working Group. Management of venous thromboembolism (VTE) in cancer patients: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines. *Ann Oncol* 2011;**22** Suppl 6:vi85–vi92.
463. Paddon AJ. Incidental pulmonary embolism detected by routine CT in patients with cancer. *Cancer Imaging* 2005;**5**(1):25–26.
464. Montagnana M, Cervellini G, Franchini M, Lippi G. Pathophysiology, clinics and diagnostics of non-thrombotic pulmonary embolism. *J Thromb Thrombolysis* 2011;**31**(4):436–444.
465. Bach AG, Restrepo CS, Abbas J, Villanueva A, Lorenzo Dus MJ, Schöpf R, Imanaka H, Lehmkuhl L, Tsang FH, Saad FF, Lau E, Rubio Alvarez J, Battal B, Behrmann C, Spielmann RP, Surov A. Imaging of nonthrombotic pulmonary embolism: biological materials, nonbiological materials, and foreign bodies. *Eur J Radiol* 2013;**82**(3):e120–e141.
466. Sakuma M, Sugimura K, Nakamura M, Takahashi T, Kitamukai O, Yazu T, Yamada N, Ota M, Kobayashi T, Nakano T, Shirato K. Unusual pulmonary embolism: septic pulmonary embolism and amniotic fluid embolism. *Circ J* 2007;**71**(5):772–775.
467. Wolf F, Scherthaner RE, Dirisamer A, Schoder M, Funovics M, Kettenbach J, Langenberger H, Stadler A, Loewe C, Lammer J, Cejna M. Endovascular management of lost or misplaced intravascular objects: experiences of 12 years. *Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol* 2008;**31**(3):563–568.
468. Parisi DM, Koval K, Egol K. Fat embolism syndrome. *Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ)* 2002;**31**(9):507–512.
469. Jorens PG, Van ME, Snoeckx A, Parizel PM. Nonthrombotic pulmonary embolism. *Eur Respir J* 2009;**34**(2):452–474.
470. Lin CC, Liu PH, Kao SJ, Chen HI. Effects of phorbol myristate acetate and sivelestat on the lung injury caused by fat embolism in isolated lungs. *J Biomed Sci* 2012;**19**:3.
471. Orebaugh SL. Venous air embolism: clinical and experimental considerations. *Crit Care Med* 1992;**20**(8):1169–1177.
472. Muth CM, Shank ES. Gas embolism. *N Engl J Med* 2000;**342**(7):476–482.
473. Knight M, Berg C, Brocklehurst P, Kramer M, Lewis G, Oats J, Roberts CL, Spong C, Sullivan E, van Roomsmaen J, Zwart J. Amniotic fluid embolism incidence, risk factors and outcomes: a review and recommendations. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth* 2012;**12**:7.
474. Roberts KE, Hamele-Bena D, Saqi A, Stein CA, Cole RP. Pulmonary tumor embolism: a review of the literature. *Am J Med* 2003;**115**(3):228–232.