Combining different treatment modalities in management of post acne scarring
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INTRODUCTION
Acne is a chronic inflammatory disease of the pilosebaceous units. Scarring is a consequence following damage of sebaceous follicle during acne inflammation. A cell-mediated immune response has been found to be involved in these inflammatory events. Acne scarring was found in 1.7 per 1000 for both sexes; 2.0 per 1000 in men and boys and 1.3 per 1000 in women and girls. Of those with acne, 74% wait greater than 1 year before seeking evaluation. Delay in treatment is thought to increases the probability of scarring. Inflammatory lesions may be superficial or deep, and many arise from non-inflamed lesions.

Scarring usually follows deep inflammatory lesions, but may happen after superficial lesions in scar-prone patients. Close inspection of acne can reveal some scarring in up to 90% of patients, but significant scarring occurs in about 22% of sufferers. Acne and post acne scarring lead to significant psychological problems.

Acne scars are broadly categorized as a result of increased tissue formation or, the more common, tissue loss. Atrophic scars are subdivided into 3 primary types by Jacob, et al. Icepick, rolling, and boxcar scars.

The diversity of treatment of post acne scars actually reflects that the wide variations of the scars and the difficulty of a single treatment alone to solve the problem. The aim of this study is to present the effectiveness of the triple therapy composed of excision, fat injection, and laser resurfacing in improving acne scarring.

PATIENTS AND METHODS
The Study was done over a period of 3 years at Plastic Surgery department, Ain Shams University. Thirty patients (24 females and 6 males) suffering from atrophic facial acne scars were included. Patients’ age ranged between 22-38 years with skin phototypes III-IV. Patients with infective or hypertrophic (keloidal) acne scars or with history of isotretinoin use, filler substance injection, within the previous year were excluded from the study.

Patients were divided randomly into three groups, ten in each group. Patients of the first group (I) were treated by external laser resurfacing using Er:YAG laser (2,940 nm, wavelength, 350 μsec pulse-width with energy fluence ranging from 10-15 J/cm²) in a single treating session. Er:YAG laser was used to ablate the acne scars with the surrounding normal skin (3-5 passes), till reaching macroscopic safe depth (upper reticular dermis).

Second group (II) were treated by lipo-filling the base of the scars at subdermal plane after excision of scar tissue using long bi-forked needle by sweep it back and forth repeatedly to free the skin from the underlying scar tissue. Fat was harvested without tumescent usually from abdomen or trochanteric areas as available in each patient. No tumescent was used. Fat was allowed to sink down in liposuction syringe with no centrifugation or washing. Then fat was injected using injection canula. The amount of injected fat ranged from 10-20 cc in each patient. Fat injection overcorrection by about 10-20% was done.

Patients in third group (III) were treated by combining both modalities of the first and second group (Er:YAG laser for resurfacing plus excision of scar tissue with lipo-filling at subdermal plane) at same session plus injecting more fat at deep subcutaneous and infra-muscular layers for augmentation and stretching the skin.
Group I patients were done under local anesthesia, while patients of Group II and III were done under general anesthesia. Extra-thin Duoderm dressing was applied after laser ablation for 5-7 days. Sunblock creams or lotions were advised to all patients after complete healing for three to six months. In addition, oral antibiotics and analgesics were used during the first week postoperative. All patients were followed up for a period ranged from six to twelve months. Results were interpreted by comparing pre-treatment and post-treatment digital pictures taken at least six months after treatment session and every six months using Nikon-coolpix 995 digital camera (3.3 Mpx, 5X optical zoom). Panel of three observers (physician, nurse, and patient relative) evaluated the degree of improvement by comparing the results without knowing the treatment modality that was used. Results were graded as excellent (>75%), good (51-75%), fair (26-50%), and poor (<25%) based on degree of improvement. Complications (erythema, dyspigmentation, scarring...) were graded into either absent (0), mild (1), or moderate to severe (2).

**RESULTS**

Patients of Group III had an overall improvement better than the other two groups (Table 1). Eight patients (80%) in Group III had good and excellent results compared to 3 (30%) patients in Group I and 5 (50%) patients in Group II. Group II patients had better results than Group I patients especially for deep scars. There was no correlation between treatment response and patients’ age, sex, previous treatment or duration of acne scars. There was no difference in healing time of resurfaced skin in group I and Group III (6-8 days). The recovery time was longer in patients received laser treatment (Group I and III). These patients took about 12-18 days to return their work after resolution of erythema. In group II, patients could return work in 7-9

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Summary of results in all groups.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group I</td>
<td>Laser resurfacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group II</td>
<td>Subcision &amp; fat injection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group III</td>
<td>Triple therapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
days after edema and mild bruising resolved. Only 2 patients experienced mild transient hyperpigmentation for 4 weeks (1 patient in Group I and 1 patient in Group III) that resolved by conservative treatment (topical hydroquinone and retinoic acid). No complications were recorded in Group II.

DISCUSSION

Acne is a common disorder experienced by up to 80% of people between age of 11 and 30 years and by up to 5% of older adults. For most patients acne remains a nuisance with occasional flares of lesions. Furthermore, the severe inflammatory response results in permanent scars. Post acne facial scarring affected up to 95% of both sexes. Acne scars can lead to severe psychologic problems. Once scarring has occurred, patients and physicians are left to struggle with the options available for improving skin appearance.

Acne scars are either tissue hypertrophy or more commonly tissue atrophy which often worsens by age. Atrophic scars are 3 primary types as described by Jacob, et al. icepick, rolling, and boxcar. The icepick scars are usually smaller in diameter and deep to the dermis or subcutaneous tissue. Treatment is frequently done by punch excision and closure by nonabsorbable suture. Boxcar scars are shallow or deep and have almost vertical walls. Shallow scars can be treated by resurfacing techniques or punch elevation. Deep scars are managed by punch excision, elevation, or other modality. Soft rolling scars can be circular or linear and have gently sloped edges. There may be dermal or subdermal tethering, so treatment is commonly by subcision. Many combining treatment modalities have been described including laser, surgery, peels, skin needling, fillers and dermabrasion.

A well known approach to treat acne is resurfacing of the epidermis and tightening of dermal collagen. This included dermplaning, dermabrasion, and laser skin resurfacing. The limited efficacy of dermabrasion and microdermabrasion in deep scars and postoperative complications of dermabrasion limited their use. Full-face laser skin improves overall texture and produces a homogeneous skin appearance. Nonablative and fractional lasers gained acceptance in the treatment of acne scars and photo-damaged skin. However their results do not approach those of ablative lasers.
Ablative lasers in acne included Carbon dioxide laser 3-35 Erbium:YAG laser 36-38 and combine Erbium and CO₂ lasers 39, 40. The Er:YAG laser is a more gentle ablative therapy than the carbon-dioxide laser in treatment of acne scars. The Erbium YAG laser with long pulse duration achieved better results than short pulse mode 41. In addition, traditional resurfacing is more effective than the new fractional resurfacing systems 42.

For these reasons, we used ablative erbium YAG laser in our study (Group I and II). It showed better results in superficial scars better than deep scars. It also showed low complication rate supporting its efficacy and safety. Lesions were treated as aesthetic units containing normal and scarred areas to obtain homogenous improvement.

Tissue Augmentation is another alternative for managing acne scarring. This includes numerous substances such as collagen, hyaluronic acid, synthetics, silicone, implants, and fat 4, 43, 44.

Autologous fat was first noted in 1893 to improve acne scars 45. Fat is an excellent augmentation material. It is cheap, available in most patients and will not be rejected nor suffer allergic reactions 21.

For all these reasons, we chose fat injection for tissue augmentation. Fat was injected subcutaneous as suggested by many Authors 21 as well as intramuscular injection which showed good fat survival and less resorption in other studies 46-49. Lipofilling was done in superficial and deep planes to add more stretch of the skin that makes scars less noticeable. Fat over-injection was performed by about 10-20% to overcome fat resorption. This mild overcorrection gave us good and seems a good choice. Fat resorption reached about 20-25% after 1 year; although higher resorption (70%) was reported in other studies 43.

Despite fat resorption, no patient in our study needed other cessions of fat injection. Subcision alone as a corrective technique was first described by Orentreich and Orentreich 50 in 1995 as a summation of the word "subcutaneous incisionless". In addition to scar release, controlled trauma and organization of hematoma are thought to stimulate connective tissue formation. However, it carries the risk of excess fibroplasias leading to nodule formation 9, 21. The technique of scars undermining has been used widely as an adjunct to other maneuvers 21.

In our study, subcision was combined with fat injection (Group II and III). This combination was more effective in deep scars than superficial scars. The complication of hematoma or nodule formation was not recorded in patients of Group II or Group III. However, direct scar excision seems more effective in very deep scars than subcision. This seems to produce more linear less noticeable scars. Although attacking skin from above by laser resurfacing and from below by subcision and fat injection seems very risky, we did not report any case with skin flap compromise. It is clear now that acne scars shows great variability. Addressing all these variable types of scars using single treatment seems illogic. This explains our approach using triple therapy composed of subcision to release fibrotic bands from below, fat injection in 2 planes (subdermal and deep subcutaneous) to fill the tissue loss and contour skin, and laser resurfacing that treats surface irregularities and improves skin quality via stimulation of new collagen production. It must be clear for patients that scar improvement rather than total elimination is the ultimate goal.

**CONCLUSION**

The combined treatment modality composed of simultaneous short pulse erbium YAG laser resurfacing, subcision, and fat injection is a safe and effective treatment modality of post acne scaring. The addition of selective excision of deep scars and variable pulse erbium YAG laser to the used modality may help in obtaining better and long lasting results.
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